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[^0]May 19, 2023


HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're on the record. Good morning, everyone. My name is Bradley Halloran. I'm the hearing officer with the Illinois Pollution Control Board. We're continuing this matter on record from yesterday, May 18th. Today is May 19th, 2023.

I want to -- you know, for the record, I want to announce that we have Vanessa Horton here today from the Board. And that's all I have to say.

Right now we are in the middle of direct as an adverse witness Miss Shealey; but, before that, I would like the Environmental Group and Midwest to introduce themselves for the record.

MS. BUGEL: Good morning. Faith Bugel representing Sierra Club.

MS. WACHSPRESS: Megan Wachspress representing Sierra Club.

MR. RUSS: Abel Russ representing Prairie Rivers Network.

MR. WANNIER: Greg Wannier representing Sierra Club.

[^1]MS. GALE: Good morning. Kristen Gale and my partner Jennifer Nijman and Drew Nishioka representing Midwest Generation, LLC.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
Miss Wachspress, you may proceed.
MS. WACHSPRESS: Yeah. So I'd like to introduce a new exhibit, 1480 -- exhibit -marked for identification as Exhibit 1408. (Complainants' Exhibit No. 1408 was introduced.)

WHEREUPON:
SHARENE SHEALEY
called as an adverse witness herein, pursuant to Section (4) Rule 611(c): 735 ILCS 5/2-1102 of the Illinois Rules of Evidence, having been previously duly sworn, resumed the stand, deposeth and saith as follows:
CROSS-EXAMINATION (Resumed)

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Do you recognize the document in front of you?
A. Yes, it appears -- it's a lot of pages, but it appears to be the Adjusted Standard Midwest
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Generation file for Waukegan Station AS21003.
Q. I believe it's actually the IEPA recommendation --
A. $\quad \mathrm{Oh}$.
Q. -- with respect to that.
A. I didn't read. I'm so sorry.
Q. That's okay.
A. Before -- in the matter of -- it is the IEPA's recommendation in that matter. Yes, I agree.
Q. And, in that matter, Midwest Generation sought a determination that an area directly west of the west pond is not a coal ash impoundment, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And that area is sometimes called the grassy field, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And in the finding in front of you, the Illinois IEPA describes certain conclusions it reached about the past use of this area, correct?
A. I wouldn't call this a finding. It's a recommendation, I believe.
Q. I'll rephrase. As part of this

[^2]recommendation, Illinois EPA describes certain conclusions it reached about the past use of this area, correct?
A. I believe so.
Q. And is it your understanding that Illinois EPA's recommendation was that the Board deny Midwest Generation's amended petition?
A. I am unsure whether they did with or without conditions, and I'm trying to get to their recommendation.
(Brief pause.)
BY THE WITNESS:
A. Yes. That is what they requested -- I'm sorry. Could you reask...

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. The Illinois EPA -- Illinois EPA's recommendation was that the Board deny Midwest Generation's amended petition?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And the Illinois EPA recommended that the board find that the grassy field is a CCR impoundment, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. If I could direct your attention

[^3]to Page 18 marked on the bottom.
A. I have it.
Q. Okay. And if you look -- oh, gosh. There's a block quote, and then three lines up from the block quote, you'll see it states: To date, the Agency is not aware of any actions voluntarily initiated by Midwest Generation to mitigate the release of contaminants from the grassy field; is that correct?
A. That's what this says, but I don't agree with the statement.
Q. Okay. What is the basis of your

## disagreement?

A. I believe that we offered the Agency a mitigation plan for the grassy field.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could you
speak up, please, Miss Shealey.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. I -- I believe we offered the Agency a mitigation plan for the grassy field.

Miss Wachspress, as -- as we discussed,
I think I mentioned yesterday, timing is a little fuzzy for me, whether we had at this point or after
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that point, I -- I don't recall because this is from -- oh, this is just 2022.

Yeah. Yeah. This is only -- yes, we had at this point.

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. So any mitigation plan you offered, with respect to the grassy field, would be in the materials you submitted to the Illinois EPA as part of the adjusted standard petition?
A. No.
Q. So you communicated information to the Illinois EPA regarding a mitigation plan outside of the filings of this docket?
A. I believe so, but I -- I would have to go through the docket to under- -- to know whether it's actually in it.
Q. Okay. Are you aware of any mitigation actions taken since October of 2022 when this was filed?
A. Again, timing is -- timing is -- is fuzzy for me. I -- I'm sure that we did an investigation of the grassy field.
Q. Midwest Generation has taken borings from the grassy field site, correct?

[^4]A. Which I would consider investigation, yes.
Q. Okay. Can you think of any other mitigation activities besides those borings?
A. This is in dispute. If it is a -- if the Board agrees that it is a CCR surface impoundment --
(Reporter interruption.)
BY THE WITNESS:
A. If the Board -- I -- rephrase.

If the Board agrees that it's a CRR surface impoundment, we would have different steps under regulations we would have to take than if it's not a regulated unit.

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. I understand, but I'm asking about actions Midwest Generation has taken.
A. If -- if the Board is -- I mean -- I'm sorry. If the Agency -- if the Board accepts the Agency's recommendation, we would be in violation of the public act that is the foundation of Part 845 if we acted on that area.
Q. So it's Midwest Generation's position that it cannot take any actions with respect to the
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grassy field site until the Board has acted with respect to the adjusted standard petition?
A. No --
(Reporter interruption.)
MS. WACHSPRESS: The adjusted standard petition.

BY THE WITNESS:
A. No. It is our position that -- our position that we cannot take any action without Agency agreement. BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Okay. And you do not have that agreement?
A. We do not.
Q. So the only physical actions that have been taken at the site, up until this date, is the borings at the grassy field?
A. Which I would characterize as an investigation, and I would characterize as significant information for us to understand to be able to act in the future.
Q. Okay. But the sentence I just read to you referred to mitigation, not investigation?
A. You have to investigate to be able to
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mitigate.
Q. Do you consider investigation to be a form of mitigation?
A. I believe it is a step to get to mitigation.
Q. Okay.

MS. WACHSPRESS: All right. I would
like to move for admission of Exhibit 1408.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And, like I
said yesterday, in the interest of full
disclosure, although this service list has
another hearing officer on it, I am actually assigned this case, AS21-3 and that I note I will not, and have not, taken any substantive rulings or decision in this case.

Miss Gale.
MS. GALE: We -- well, we would object to the admission of the entire document.

They pointed to a single sentence on a single page. They haven't demonstrated it's
relevant to this matter at all.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. I
think it's relevant to the extent the Board can weigh it, and it's on our website, so

[^5]overruled. I'll take Complainant
Exhibit 1408 over objection.
(Complainants' Exhibit No. 1408 was received in evidence.)
(Complainants' Exhibit No. 1409 was introduced.)

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Okay. I'd like to place before you marked for identification as 1409.
A. Can I set 1408 to the side?
Q. You can.

Do you recognize this document?
A. "Recognize" is strong, I'm sorry.

I -- I am aware of what it -- I believe
I know what it is.
Q. And what is it?
A. It looks like the Agency's recommendation in Adjusted Standard AS21001.
Q. And that relates to Joliet 29 , correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And this -- this recommendation is dated February 2022, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And this recommendation is in

[^6]response to Midwest Generation's request for adjusted standards for certain coal ash ponds at Joliet 29, correct?
A. I -- I would not characterize the ponds as coal ash ponds at Joliet 29. And, in fact, the Board agreed with us yesterday that Ponds 1 and 3 at Joliet 29 are not coal ash ponds.
Q. But it also sought an adjusted standard for Pond 2, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And the other ponds were not the subject of an adjusted standard but a --
A. Yes, they were --
Q. -- determination of applicability?
A. They're in here.
Q. No, I understand that they're in here, but it's a term- -- just a terminology, adjusted standard versus determination of applicability.
A. It was filed as an adjusted --
Q. It's an adjusted --
(Reporter interruption.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You can't
talk over each other --
MS. WACHSPRESS: Okay.

[^7]HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: -- folks. Thank you.

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Okay. Have you reviewed --
(Reporter interruption. Record clarified.)

MS. WACHSPRESS: For applicability.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. I considered them to be the -- a part of the adjusted standard. They were filed under AS2001 -- 2021-000. Those are part of this adjusted standard.

MS. WACHSPRESS: Sorry. Could we go
off the record for a moment?
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes.
(Discussion held off the record.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could you
read back the question regarding the case number of this exhibit, please.
(Record read as requested.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you, Lucy.

Miss Wachspress.
MS. WACHSPRESS: Thank you.
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BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Just for the record, Miss Shealey, I'd like to see -- if I could direct you to the case number here, it's AS2021-0001?
A. I thought I said that, but I misspoke.
Q. No worries.

And if you -- if you look to the left, it states: Petition of Midwest Generation for an adjusted standard and by --
(Reporter interruption.)
BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. (Continuing) -- for an adjusted standard from 845.274(a) and finding of inapplicability of Part 845; is that correct?
A. That's what it says.
Q. Okay.
A. I am not a lawyer.
Q. Did you participate in the preparation of this application?
A. Yes -- or wait. This -- not in the Agency's, no, not in the -- this is the Agency's document, or is this the petition? I'm sorry. I've lost -- this is the Agency's recommendation. I did not participate in the Agency's

[^8]recommendation, no.
Q. Did you participate in the application on which the IEPA is issuing a recommendation?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what was your role in that preparation?
A. Multiple. I'm sure I reviewed documents at Joliet. I attended the hearings. I do not recall that $I$ participated in the hearings for Joliet. So I've been involved since it started.
Q. And as part of the application, Midwest Generation sought an adjusted standard for pond 2, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And, specifically, Midwest Generation sought permission to retaining the historical HDPE liner in pond 2 rather than remove the liner and any contaminated soil beneath it, correct?
A. Yes, pond 2 does not contain ash.
Q. And the Illinois EPA recommended that the Board deny Midwest Generation's request with respect to pond 2 , correct?
A. They recommended -- my recollection -and, in fact, there was a Board order I believe two
\[
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weeks ago -- I believe it was in the month of May, it could have been in April.

The Board order picked up on the
Agency's recommendation that if the Board were to grant, that we would have to do additional sampling of the liner system at Pond 2. And that is a huge paraphrase of that Board order.
(Reporter interruption.)
THE WITNESS: Board order.
BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. But the IEPA's recommendation on which the Board acted was that the Board deny Midwest Generation's request?
A. I believe it was with conditions. But if you -- if you would like to point me to the page, I'll read it.
Q. Pond 2 was also lined with Poz-o-Pac when it was constructed?
A. I don't know what you mean by "when it was constructed." I am aware that Pond 2 has -has Poz-o-Pac in its liner system.
Q. As we sit here today?
A. As we sit here today.
Q. Okay. And Midwest Generation relined
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Pond 2 in 2007, correct?
A. They -- my notes say 2008 .
Q. Okay. Did Midwest Generation remove the Poz-o-Pac when it relined Pond 2?
A. I would have to read documents. It may have had some Poz-o-Pac removed. I -- I cannot say whether specifically Joliet 2 had any -- Joliet Pond 2 had any Poz-o-Pac removed. I would have to read because some ponds did, some ponds didn't. There are a lot of ponds.
Q. So you know that there is currently Poz-o-Pac currently? There may have been some Poz-o-Pac removed during the relining, but you don't know whether or how much?
A. There may have been some Poz-o-Pac removed during the history of operation of that pond. I would not know, as I sit here, when and how much. I'm certain there would be record of those things, though.
Q. Thank you.

So if I could direct your attention to Bates No. 121578.
A. I'm here.
Q. And this is marked --

[^9]A. No, I'm sorry. I am not. 1215...
Q. $\quad 78$.
A. This (indicating)?
Q. It's Exhibit -- it's marked as Exhibit G, right? And then on the following page, you'll see a document, and this is a letter from Sargent \& Lundy dated January 18, 2022, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And it's with regard to a construction chronology of Pond 2 at Joliet 29 generating station, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And if I could take you to Page Bates 121582, so just a few pages down.
A. I have it.
Q. Okay. And if you look at the second full paragraph, the second sentence beginning "Bottom ash," it states: Bottom ash or slag were only identified within the upper foot of KPRG borings JS29-GT-2 and JS-29- --
(Reporter interruption.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, we gotta slow down and speak up.

[^10]BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. (Continuing) -- It states: Bottom ash or slag were only identified within the upper foot of KPRG borings JS29-GT-2 and JS29-GT-3.

Is that correct?
A. That is what it says, yes.
Q. Okay. And then if you turn to the previous page and you see the heading: Pond 2 with Poz-o-Pac liner, and then two paragraphs down, the paragraph beginning: A total of eight borings have been drilled through Pond 2's embankments by three engineering consultants, JS29-GT-2 and JS29-GT-3 by KPRG in 2005.

And I'll stop there. And have I read that correctly?
A. Up until the semicolon, yes.
Q. Yes. So is it your understanding that KPRG found bottom ash and slag in Pond 2's embankments?

MS. GALE: Objection; mischaracterized document.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Rephrase. BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Is it true that KPRG took borings within

[^11]Pond 2's embankments?
A. That is what this states, yes.
Q. And is it true that bottom ash or slag were identified within the upper foot of two of those borings?
A. That is -- excuse me. Taking those two sentences in combination, that is what it appears to say, yes.
Q. So is it fair to say that KPRG found bottom ash and slag in Pond 2's embankments? MS. GALE: Again, objection to the mischaracterization of what those borings said.

I would also object that she hasn't reviewed the borings. She's -- she's just pointing her to a page on the document that was written by an engineer.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. You don't you have to make an argument on the record. You can cross on that.

Miss Wachspress, could you rephrase and see what happens.

MS. WACHSPRESS: Your Honor,
respectfully, I've established that the

[^12]borings were taken from the embankment. And I've established that the borings contained bottom ash and slag. I -- I don't know how to break it down any further.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Try one more time, and we'll see what happens. BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Does your memory of the coal ash -scratch that.

Does your memory of what is at Pond 2 differ from what's in this document?
A. I don't specifically have a memory of what's in Pond 2. This was done in 2005. I didn't even know Joliet station existed in 2005.
Q. The letter is dated 2022, however --
A. Oh, the borings were done in 2005, though, so -- that's what the letter states, and it was done by a professional engineer. I have confidence that the engineer did the due diligence to make those statements, yes.
Q. And has Midwest Generation removed any bottom ash or slag from Pond 2's embankments?
A. Not that I am aware.
Q. Are you aware of any groundwater
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monitoring wells that have been installed at Joliet 29 since June 2019?
A. June 2019, I do not believe -- and, again, I would have to check the records. We're talking about -- we have probably, across our fleet, 50, I'm guessing, monitoring wells. I -they're not cataloged when -- as to installation, in my memory.

As I sit here today, I do not believe that any monitoring wells have been installed at Joliet 29 since 2019.
Q. Understood. Okay. And that would include the area known as the northeast area of the site?
A. Correct.
Q. And that would also include the area known as the northwest area of the site?
A. My statement was inclusive of the entire property that is Joliet 29, yes.
Q. Has Midwest Generation taken any leach, l-e-a-c-h, tests at Joliet 29 since 2019?
A. I -- I would have to, again, go back to the records. I do not distinguish -- because I am not a geologist, nor am I a professional

[^13]engineer -- between $1-e-a-c-h$ or $l-e-a-f$. I cannot say that we have not take- -- whether we have taken any, l-e-a-c-h, leach testing since 2019.
Q. Can you recall any instance in which Midwest Generation took an l-e-a-f, LEAF, test since 2019?
A. I believe we did in -- since 2019?

Yeah, timing is, again, questionable; but, $I$ believe -- there may have been one or the other in the investigation around a monitoring well at Joliet 29.

And I believe there was an investi- -there may have been an alternate source demonstration at Joliet 29, which I -- I cannot say with certainty without, again, reviewing the records because we're talking about multiple years over multiple stations.
Q. And so that test would have been done pursuant to Part 257?
A. Not ne- -- no. The first -- the first was not.
Q. The...
A. The first that I mentioned was not done pursuant to Part 247. It was an investigation
around an exceedance of some contaminant. And, again, I would have to look at the records around a monitoring well at Joliet station --
Q. Okay. But that was with respect to an alternate source demonstration?
A. No.
Q. No? Okay.

So there was an investigation of an
exceedance not related to an alternate source demonstration?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you recall which monitoring well that involved?
A. I would need a map of the monitoring wells.
Q. Okay.
A. If I could see a map, I could tell you which one 'cause I know the location.
Q. Okay.
A. Or I can -- I can hopefully tell you which one.
Q. I think we can help you out with that.
(Brief pause.)
MS. WACHSPRESS: I'm handing an
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excerpt from Exhibit 901 to the witness.
For the record, I've placed before
the witness an excerpt from Exhibit 901 to refresh her recollection.

BY THE WITNESS:
A. I believe it was MW-9.

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. All right. Thank you.

Has Midwest Generation undertaken any steps to address the volume of ash at Joliet 29 since 2019?
A. Again, timestamps in my memory are not working. I do not know when ash was removed from Pond 2. I believe that was in 2019. It could have been 2020 .
Q. Has Midwest Generation removed ash from anywhere outside of the ponds at Joliet 29?
A. Since 2019?
Q. Since 2019?
A. Joliet stopped generating ash in 2016, so not to the best of my recollection.
Q. Okay. And so since 2016, to the best of your recollection, Midwest Generation has not removed ash from anywhere outside of the ponds?

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
312-419-9292
\end{gathered}
$$

A. That is not what I said. I said that we removed ash from Pond 2 in 2019 or ' 20.

In 2016 we would have most likely removed ash from ash silos. That's the best that I can remember right -- as I sit here right now.
Q. Okay. And I asked -- I, actually, asked about outside of the ponds. You -- you've mentioned silos.

Other than the silos and the ponds, to your knowledge, has Midwest Generation removed ash from anywhere at the Joliet 29 site since 2016 ?
A. I can't really timestamp it, but not to the best of my recollection right now, as I sit here.
Q. To the best of your recollection, has Midwest Generation installed any caps at Joliet 29 since 2019?
A. No.
Q. To the best of your recollection, has Midwest Generation installed any liners at Joliet 29 since 2019?
A. No.
Q. And for each of those questions, that includes anyone acting at Midwest Generation's

[^14]direction, correct?
A. Correct. It would be illegal for us to install a cap on coal ash impoundments at this point without Agency approval.
Q. Has Midwest Generation performed any soil testing at the Joliet 29 site for coal ash constituents since June of 2019?
A. I -- I cannot speak to the entire site, so I -- I am not aware of any, but I don't know. And -- I do not know the answer to that question in its entirety.
Q. But you're not aware of any?
A. That's what I said. I'm not aware of any.
Q. And that includes Midwest Generation directing someone else to have done so?
A. That would include that, yes.

MS. WACHSPRESS: Okay. I'd like to
move Exhibit 40- -- 1409, the IEPA
recommendation, into the record.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right.
Miss Gale?
MS. GALE: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.

[^15]Complainant's Exhibit 1409 is admitted.
(Complainants' Exhibit No. 1409 was received in evidence.)

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. All right. Let's talk about Will County. There are four -- I can also give you a moment.
A. Can I set this aside?
Q. Yeah.
A. I'm ready. Thank you.
Q. Thank you.

There are four CRR impoundments at the Will County site, correct?
A. Under state law, yes; under federal law there are only two.
Q. Okay. And those --
A. Or regulation, I'm sorry. Regulation.
Q. And those four ponds are called Pond 1 North, Pond 2 south, Pond 2, and Pond 3, correct?
A. No.
Q. All right. How would you refer to that?
A. 1 North, 1 South, 2 South, 3 South, or, I would say, $1 \mathrm{~N}, 1 \mathrm{~S}, 2 \mathrm{~S}$, or 3 S .
Q. And 2 S was relined in 2013, correct?
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A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And Midwest Generation understood in 2020 that the 2013 liner did not comply with the standards for CCR impoundments under Part 257, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And neither Pond 1N or Pond 1S has an HDPE liner, correct?
A. That is my understanding, yes.
Q. Okay. All right.

MS. WACHSPRESS: I'd like to introduce
exhibit -- or marked for identification
Exhibit 1410.
(Complainants' Exhibit No. 1410 was introduced.)

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Are you familiar with this document?
A. I'm aware of what it is, yes.
Q. Okay. And what is it?
A. An alternate closure demonstration under 40 CFR Part 257.103 for Will County station.
Q. Okay. And were you involved in the preparation of this document?
A. Most likely, yes.

[^16]Q. And do you recall --
A. And it -- I'm sorry. It is dated in 2020, so that's why I added the caveat "most likely."
Q. Do you recall what your involvement was?
A. Specifically, no. I'm sure I reviewed it -- or I would -- I would presume I reviewed it before it was submitted. Maybe I contributed a line or two. No idea.
Q. What was the purpose of this document?
A. Will County station did not -- at the time of this submittal, we believed that Will County station needed additional time to secure capacity for place- -- for an impoundment -- well, we needed an -- to be able to use an impoundment.
Q. And that additional time was with reference to an April 11th, 2021 deadline for closure, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that deadline for closure was due to the lack of Part 257 compliant liners at the ponds, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And, as part of this application,

Midwest Generation proposed clean closing south Pond 3, correct?
A. I have no idea what this application says --
Q. Okay.
A. -- with regard to that. You would have to point me to it.
Q. Okay. So let's go to Bates Stamp 77949. And I'd like to direct you to the bottom paragraph beginning with: Pursuant to the proposed Illinois CCR rule, south ash Pond 3's existing liner will also be removed. Prior to removing the liner, however, MWG will submit a closure construction permit application to the Illinois EPA pursuant to the proposed citation. After receiving a final permit from the Agency, the contractor hired to execute the pond closure will mobilize to the site and start excavating and/or dredging the existing liner materials and any underlying soils impacted by CCR.

Have I read that correctly?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And with your memory so refreshed, Midwest Generation proposed clean

[^17]closing Pond 3 as a part of this application?
A. Now that you've jogged my memory, it -because that area would have been used for a remote SCC system, submerged --
(Reporter interruption.)
BY THE WITNESS:
A. (Continuing) -- A remote SCC system, a submerged conveyer system, to process ash. So we were going to replace the pond with a conveyer system such that the impoundment wasn't needed.

This has since been -- this application has since been withdrawn, and Will County station has since ceased combustion of coal. BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. You anticipated my next questions.

The current closure plan for Pond 2
anticipates leaving impounded ash in place, correct?
A. To what?
Q. South Pond 2 anticipates leaving impounded ash in place, correct?
A. I believe so. I don't have the closure plan in front of me, yeah, but I believe so.

## Q. And the same --
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[^18]south ash Pond 3, and it's dated May 8th, 2023.

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. And if you'd like to refresh your recollection with this plan, I can -- I can point you --
A. Okay.
Q. -- at the bottom of Page 1 under 2.0, Closure Plan Narrative Description, the first sentence there states: Pursuant to 35 Illinois Administrative Code $845.750(\mathrm{a})$ and 40 CFR $257.102(\mathrm{~d})$ south ash Ponds 2 and 3 will be closed by leaving the CCR stored in each pond in place -(Reporter interruption.)

MS. WACHSPRESS: I'm sorry.
BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. (Continuing) -- Stored in each pond in place and installing a vinyl cover system over each impoundment.

Have I read that correctly?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. And does that refresh your recollection as to the current closure plans for Pond -- south Ponds 2 and 3?
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A. Yes, that is our plan as it stands today.
Q. Okay. If I could direct your attention back to the alternate closure demonstration and go to Bates No. 77945.
A. I have it.
Q. Okay. And in the second paragraph on the page, the second sentence, it states: As noted in Table 1, the floor and crest of south ash Pond 3 are at L582.5 feet and L590.5 feet respectively.

Per the groundwater contour maps provided in Appendix C2.2 the maximum static water elevation recorded at Will County's ash pond is L584.14 feet, and then in parens MW-5 on July 5th, 2019.

Have I read that correctly?
A. Yeah, I would have said the word "elevation," but...
Q. Okay. And so groundwater levels at Will County in the ash ponds extend up to a level of 584.14 feet, correct?
A. That does say the maximum, yes.
Q. And the bottom of Pond 3 is at 582.5 feet, correct?

[^19]A. I believe that's what that says, yes.
Q. So the bottom of south ash Pond 3 is a little bit less than two feet below the highest groundwater level recorded, according to this document, correct?
A. Foot and a half, yes.
Q. And so it's a fair inference from these two statements that groundwater extends a foot and a half into any contents of Pond 3, correct?

MS. GALE: Objection; mischaracterizes the document.

MS. WACHSPRESS: I'm -- I'm asking for her to --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could you rephrase, Miss Wachspress -- I mean, you don't have to, but -- talk to me, you can just try to rephrase and see what comes out. BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. How high into the contents of ash pond -- south ash Pond 3 does groundwater extend when it is recorded at its highest level?

MS. GALE: I would object to this line of questioning. There's no basis or foundation that she has the understanding to
interpret these sentences. This -- again, this was written by a professional engineer. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I think she can answer if she's able.

BY THE WITNESS:
A. How --

THE WITNESS: Could she read back the question?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could you
read back the question, please, Lucy. Thank you. Sorry.
(Record read as requested.)
BY THE WITNESS:
A. I would not characterize -- how high into the contents, I -- I would not characterize it that way.

Groundwater is -- can be or is -- can reach a maximum level that is higher than the base of the pond.

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. And so if there were material in the bottom of pond, would the groundwater extend above or into that material?
A. I don't know your hypothetical. I -- I
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can't answer your hypothetical.
Groundwater is above the base of the pond at its maximum level.
Q. If there were coal ash stored in the bottom of the pond, would that coal ash be saturated by groundwater?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Because there's a liner in the pond. (Brief pause.)

THE WITNESS: Can I -- I'm sorry. MS. WACHSPRESS: Do you need a break? BY THE WITNESS:
A. No, but I -- could you ask your question again to make sure I answered you correctly. BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Yeah. If there's coal ash in the bottom of the pond, at the highest level of groundwater, would the ash be submerged in groundwater?
A. Okay. No. Okay. I just wanted to make sure --
Q. And that's because the liner...
A. Protects the ash or protects the groundwater from --
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Q. And if the --
A. -- exposure, yes.
Q. And if there were a leak in the liner, could groundwater seep into the coal ash?
A. I don't know that. I'm not a geologist, nor am I a PE.
Q. All right. I'd like to direct your attention to Bates Stamp 78014. And this is a document produced by KPRG, correct?
A. I -- I'm not there.
Q. Oh, sorry. Take your time.
A. It -- I'm sorry, just to make sure, cross-section AA, November 22 nd?
Q. Yes. That's correct.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you'll notice in the bottom left there is an aerial photograph of Will County generating station, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And there are monitoring well locations marked on that aerial photograph, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And if you look on the left-hand side,
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do you see monitoring wells 7, 8, 9, and 10?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And these wells are located outside of the western edges of ash Ponds 2 S and ash Pond 3S, correct?
A. No.
Q. How would you describe the placement of those monitoring wells?
A. West of 1N, 1S, 2S, and 3S.
Q. All right. Thank you for that correction.

Okay. And if you look up at the cross-section on the top right of the page, you'll see it marked monitoring well $7,8,9,11,10$, and 12?

MS. GALE: Mr. Hearing Officer, we're gonna object to this line of questioning. Again, this is a KPRG document, as she stated. They had Mr. Gnat on the stand. He -- who could have answered questions about this. As Miss Shealey has testified, she's not a geologist. She has -- you know, she maybe reviews documents but certainly not into the nitty-gritty, particularly when the

[^20]witness that could have testified to this was here.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, I
think she's competently answering the questions. And she's stating that if she can't, she can't. So I would disagree and overrule. And you may continue,

Miss Wachspress.
BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. So you'll see on the top right there's a cross-section corresponding to the line indicated in the left-hand aerial photograph passing through each of the wells, correct?
A. I would really need to study this understand -- to be able to answer your question.
Q. Okay. You can take as much time as you need to answer.
A. What did you ask me?
Q. I asked that the cross-section on the right has the monitoring wells marked on it?
A. I see that part. I can agree to that.
Q. Okay. Great. Okay. And the cross-section, you'll see the top level; and it's faint, but it describes -- it's an area shaded with
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small diamonds, correct?
A. That's what it looks like, I guess.
Q. And it's labeled "fill"?
A. I do see the label fill, yes.
Q. And if you go down below, there's the -the shading indicated, and next to it it states:

Fill: Consisting of brown and black silty clay and silty sand mixed with gravel and crushed limestone. The fill may include coal, black cinders, and slack.

Have I read that correctly?
A. Yes, you have.
Q. And if you go to monitoring well 10, do you see that blue line extending across the cross-section?
A. I see a blue line.
Q. And that's marked below as "water
level," correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And would you agree that at monitoring
well 10 that blue line of the water level meets the shaded area called "fill"?
A. I have no idea. Like I said, I -- I -this is out of context. This is not my area of
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expertise. I would need the paragraphs that explain this to me to be able to really get to where you're going --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I think we can move on --

MS. WACHSPRESS: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: --
Miss Wachspress.
MS. WACHSPRESS: Sure.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. The current closure plan for Pond 1 north anticipates leaving impounded ash in place, correct?
A. Again, that's -- I haven't read it this week, so I -- I can only assume that that's what it -- it says. I don't know for sure.
Q. So you would need to rely on documents to -- to refresh your recollection?
A. Absolutely.
Q. And that's also true for Pond 1 south?
A. I would -- I would need to check the documents.

MS. WACHSPRESS: Okay. I'd like to
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move for admission of Exhibit 1410 at this time.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Gale.
MS. GALE: Again, we would object to its relevance. Not only is it a document related to the federal CRR rule -- which is not at issue in this case, as she testified -- this document has been withdrawn and is no longer relevant because the -- the plan in this document has -- is not happening.

And I believe she testified that the plan is not happening because Will County is no longer burning coal, so there's -- there's no relevance to the Board on the contents of this document.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Overruled.

The Board may find relevance. I think there might be a little. Miss Shealey has reviewed it and knows the purpose of the letter. It's a public document.

[^21]Complainant Exhibit 1410 is admitted over objection.
(Complainants' Exhibit No. 1410 was received in evidence.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Let's go off the record for a minute.
(Discussion held off the record.)
BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Are you aware of any soil testing performed at the Will County site for CRR constituents by Midwest Generation since June of 2019?
A. I believe Will County has a ASD -- an alternate source demonstration that would have required some testing. I don't know if there were -- that was soil testing or not.
Q. So other than the ASD has Midwest Generation performed any groundwater testing at the Will County site?
A. We test groundwater every quarter. We sample under -- I think Mr. Gnat -- on multiple programs. So, yeah, we test groundwater frequently.
Q. Has Midwest Generation installed any new
groundwater monitoring wells at the Will County site since 2019?
A. I believe -- I believe there are two new monitoring wells that were installed in '19 or ' 20.
Q. Okay.
A. 2019 or 2020 .
Q. Do you recall the location of those
wells?
A. I would -- again, similar to Joliet, I would need a map.
Q. Okay.
A. I think -- I think they may be marked.
Q. Okay. We can bring out the excerpt from Exhibit 901 again.
(Brief pause.)
THE WITNESS: If you're gonna --
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're off
the record, Lucy.
(Brief pause.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
We're back on the record.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. Thank you.

MS. WACHSPRESS: So, for the record,

I've handed the witness an excerpt from Exhibit 901, which is an aerial map of Will

County with monitoring wells indicated.
BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Since this document was produced, are there any monitoring wells on this map that are not indicated here?

MS. GALE: I would object to vague. I
mean, they're asking her to identify
monitoring wells that don't exist on a
document from 2018.
MS. WACHSPRESS: I'll rephrase, your Honor.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Okay. Has Midwest Generation installed any groundwater monitoring wells at Will County that are not indicated on this map?

MS. GALE: Same objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: It's the same question, yeah.

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Does this map contain all of the monitoring wells at Will County, to your knowledge?
A. No, it does not, to the best of my knowledge.
Q. Okay. Could you describe the monitoring wells that are missing from this map?
A. There are at least two. I do not know their location, and there may be more than two that were installed, I believe, after the effective date of Part 845. So they would have been --
(Reporter interruption.)
THE WITNESS: Part 845.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. (Continuing) -- Meaning they would have been installed in 2021. BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. So Midwest Generation has installed two monitoring wells at the Will County site?
A. I believe at least two I think is what I said.
Q. At least two, and those were installed pursuant to Part 845, correct?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. Okay. To your knowledge has Midwest Generation, or someone at Midwest Generation, removed -- excuse me. Scratch that.
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To your knowledge has Midwest Generation removed CCR from areas outside of the surface impoundments at the Will County site since June of 2019?
A. I am not aware of CCR outside of the impoundments at Will County site, so I would have to answer no.
Q. Okay. And the same answer for someone acting at Midwest Generation's direction?
A. Again, I am not aware of CCR outside of the impoundments at Will County site, so the answer would be no.
Q. Has Midwest Generation taken any leach, l-e-a-c-h, tests at the Will County site?
A. I haven't -- I cannot answer that, as I sit here today.
Q. You're not --
A. I would have to -- I would have to review records to be able to answer that.
Q. So you're not aware of any?
A. I would have to review records to be able to answer you.
Q. Has Midwest Generation performed any activities to assess the volume of ash outside of

[^22]the impoundments in the -- at the Will County site?
A. I stated I am not aware of any ash outside of the impoundments, so I would have to say no.
Q. But the basis of that is not based on anything Midwest Generation has done since 2019?

MS. GALE: Objection; vague.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I don't
think it's vague. I think it's a little confusing, but try to rephrase that.

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. The basis of your statement that there is no coal ash outside of any impoundments at the Will County site is not anything that Midwest Generation has done since 2019, correct?

MS. GALE: Same objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: She may
answer if she's able.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. I did not state that there is no ash outside of the impoundments at Will County station. I stated that I am not aware of any ash at -- at any of -- outside of the impoundments at Will County station.

[^23]BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. So you don't know whether there's ash outside the impoundments?
A. I am unaware at that -- I've said it -I think that's at least the third time I've said it.
Q. So if there were to be ash outside of the impoundments at Will County station, you would not be aware of it?

MS. GALE: Objection.
MS. NIJMAN: Objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah.
Miss Gale has an objection I
sustained.
MS. WACHSPRESS: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Okay. Has Midwest Generation installed any caps at Will County station since 2019?
A. Again, that would be in violation of public acts.
Q. And the same answer for liners?
A. No, we have not installed any liners since 2019.
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MS. WACHSPRESS: Okay. Okay. I'd
like to move for -- okay. All right. I'd like to introduce marked for identification Exhibit 1401 -- 1411. 1411.
(Complainants' Exhibit No. 1411 was introduced.)

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Do you recognize this document?
A. Oddly enough, no. I know what it is.
Q. What is it?
A. I'm sorry -- wait. I'm trying to catch up to what this is. It was filed in 2022. You've gotta give me a second.
Q. Of course.
(Witness peruses document.)
BY THE WITNESS:
A. August of 20- -- I believe this is my pre-filed testimony and the rulemaking that the Board undertook with regard to the public acts that I will refer to as Senate Bill -- that came from Senate Bill 9.
(Reporter interruption.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could you
speak up, please.
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THE WITNESS: Senate Bill 9.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. It is a public act that $I$ cannot remember the number of. Forgive me.

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. And that rulemaking culminated in Part 845, which we've been discussing, correct?
A. That's what it seems, yes.
Q. Okay. And as you've already noted, the date of this document is August 27th, 2020?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And your signature appears on this document, correct?
A. Not exactly, but, yes.
Q. Okay. An electronic signature?
A. Yes. I couldn't find the right terminology. Exactly, yes.
Q. Okay. And this document was true and accurate, to the best of your knowledge, at the time it was filed, correct?
A. I would have to say, yes, absolutely.
Q. And so if could I direct your attention to Footnote 1, which is on Page -- it's on the
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seventh page of the document, Footnote 1.
Are you there?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Okay. And it states: Illinois EPA has designated an additional seven areas that MWG contends are not CRR surface impoundments as that term is defined in the Act.

Could you say which those seven areas are?
A. Precisely, I -- I may not be able to be, but I can make an attempt.
Q. Okay.
A. My recollection is Pond 1N at Will

County; Pond 1S at Will County; Pond 1 at Joliet 29; Pond 3 at Joliet 29; the service water basin at Powerton. I can only get to six. And I believe at this point we did -- we contended that the metal cleaning basin at Powerton was not a CRR surface impoundment.
Q. And you say "at this point."

Has Midwest Generation's pos- -position on the metal cleaning basin since changed?
A. It did.
Q. Okay. And --
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A. I -- I wasn't -- I'm sorry. I only got to six.
Q. Okay.
A. Give me a second. The grassy area at Waukegan, that would be seven.
Q. And it is Midwest Generation's position that the seven areas you just named are not subject to the requirements of Part 485, correct?
A. No --

MS. GALE: Objection to the
characteri- -- mischaracterization of the document. She used the present tense.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You want to rephrase? Thanks.

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. With the exception of the metal cleaning basis at Powerton, is it Midwest Generation's position that the other six places you identified are not subject to the requirements of Part 845?
A. It is not --

MS. GALE: Same objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I think
it's still present tense. I think -- I'm not
sure if you want to do a past tense or...
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BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Is it Midwest Gen's current position that Pond 1N at Will County is subject to the requirements of Part 845?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Is it Midwest Gen's current position -- Midwest Generation's current position that Pond 1S at Will County is subject to the requirements of Part 845?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it Midwest Gen's current position that the pond -- that Pond 1 at Joliet is subject to the requirements of Part 485?
A. No, and the Board agreed with us yesterday.
Q. Is it Midwest Generation's position that the pond at -- or, excuse me, that Joliet 3 is subject to the requirements of Part 485?
A. No. And, again, the Board agreed with us yesterday, which I don't know the date, but it's May something. It's a Thursday in May.
Q. Is it Midwest Generation's position that the service water basin at Powerton is subject to the requirements of Part 485?
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A. No. And, again, the Board agreed with us, I believe, at -- a long time -- well, a long time ago. It's -- the date is -- escapes me. Escapes me.
Q. Is it Midwest Generation's position that the metal cleaning basin at Powerton is subject to the requirements of Part 845?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Okay. And is it Midwest Generation's position that the grassy field at Waukegan is subject to the requirements of Part 845?
A. No, it is not.
Q. And so sometime between when this document was written in 2020 and now Midwest Generation has changed its position with respect to -- gosh, I can't keep track.

The service -- no, the -- the metal cleaning basin, correct?

MS. GALE: Objection; argumentative and asked and answered.

MS. WACHSPRESS: Okay. I'll
move on -- I can move on.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sustained.
Thank you.
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THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, can we take a break?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sure. You're the boss. We're off the record.
(Short recess.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We can go back on the record, Lucy, when you're ready. We're on the record.

You may proceed, Miss Wachspress. MS. WACHSPRESS: Thank you. BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Miss Shealey, if I could direct your attention in -- within the document in front of you. It's -- the -- the pages aren't numbered, but if you go to Subsection III and then on to the next page, which, I believe, is the 11th page of the document.
A. I am here.
Q. Okay. And at the top of the page you'll see a partial sentence and then the first full sentence starts: For a CRR surface impoundment that is relatively small, removal of all CCR from a CCR surface impoundment will likely be the most effective and protective closure method.

[^24]Have I read that correctly?
A. Yes, you have.
Q. Do you still believe this to be the case?
A. No, I do not.
Q. When did you come to change your opinion on this?
A. Sometime between August of 2020 and today.
Q. Do you recall when your opinion changed on this?
A. Sometime between August of 2020 and today. I've learned a lot since then. I cannot tell you when I specifically have changed my mind about things, no -- or changed my opinions.
Q. What have you learned that has caused you to change your opinion on this?
A. Removal costs communities a lot. The traffic generated by removals is phenomenal, and that is a huge cost that I wasn't considering at this point in time, I believe.
Q. If I could direct your attention to the following page, the last full paragraph before Roman IV, you state: These types of considerations
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are critical in environmental justice communities, which will be directly affected by the closure not. A closure method that would require 50 trucks per day, operating 5 days a week and 48 weeks per year, for over 4- -- 20 years --
(Reporter interruption.) MS. WACHSPRESS: Sorry.

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. (Continuing) -- 48 weeks per year for over 20 years, would result in high volumes of traffic, and communities would absorb the impacts of trucks constantly rumbling through.

Have I read that correctly?
A. You have.
Q. So environmental justice concerns were a part of your consideration when you wrote this document, correct?
A. I did not mention environmental justice concerns in my previous answer, and I don't consider the impact -- I -- I didn't necessarily mean -- and maybe they are an environmental justice concern, as you just characterized them; but I mean a neighborhood receiving that truck traffic is an impact to that neighborhood, which is significant.
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And, in fact, there's an article in The Washington Post that I've read recently -- and by "recently" I mean in 2023 -- that describes a removal in Tennessee, and the impact is significant to those neighbors.
Q. So you discussed the significance of those impacts here in your testimony in 2020?
A. And I've learned since, yes.
Q. But despite considering those consider- -- or even with those considerations taken into account, you still state, on the previous page, that for a CRR surface impoundment that is relatively small, removal of all CRR from a CRR service im- -- surface impoundment will likely be the most effective and protective closure method.
A. I have learned since. That's what I'm -- my testimony today is I have learned -since August 27th of 2020, I have learned a lot.
Q. And so a surface impoundment of even less than one acre you believe that the traffic impacts, and the other impacts you described, would outweigh the benefit of removing that coal ash?
A. You --

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
312-419-9292
\end{gathered}
$$

MS. GALE: Objection to the
hypothetical.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: She can answer, if she's able. You can cross.

Thanks.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. It -- the size of the impoundment is not the only consideration for removal. The area that an impoundment is located in should also be considered. Rural impoundments have different challenges than urban impoundments, and we operate urban impoundments, for the most part. BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Do you know how many trucks it would take to remove coal ash from a basin of less than an acre?
A. It depends on the amount of ash in the basin.
Q. How about 725 cube yards?
A. I would need a calculator.
Q. Do you know how many cubic yards an individual truck can carry?
A. I believe it is 15, but, again, I'm not an engineer.
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Q. Okay. You mentioned that the CRR surface impoundments you handle are located in urban communities?
A. Generally, I'm sorry.
Q. Are any of the surface impoundments at Will County located within a mile of a environmental justice community, as defined under Illinois law?
A. They weren't -- I do not know what current Illinois law defines as an environmental justice community. They just ended session, or they -- they may still be in session. I have no idea if they've changed the definition in the past three months.

When we looked at environmental justice communities for compliance with Part 485, Will County did not meet that definition. Will County station did not meet that definition.
Q. When you looked for Joliet 29, did that meet the definition?
A. It did.
Q. When you looked for Waukegan, did that meet the definition?
A. Yes, it did.

[^25]Q. What about for Powerton?
A. It did not.
Q. Okay. So if I could direct your attention -- and it will take me a moment -- to what is PDF -- it is the 9th page of the document. It is the last sentence before the section labeled small B.
A. There are several small Bs.
Q. Okay. So --
A. I'm sorry.
Q. That's okay.
A. Are you counting these as pages (indicating)?
Q. Yes. So the 9th page -- because there's no page numbers.
A. I see a small $B$ on what $I$ consider -- I counted to be the $9 t h$ page, yes.
Q. And that small B says: CCR surface impoundments have been regulated for --
A. That is the one, yes.
Q. So the last sentence before then states: By 2013 MWG completed its installation of new HDPE liners in all nine of its CCR surface impoundments pursuant to construction permits issued by the

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

Illinois EPA.
What are those nine -- oh, have I read
that correctly?
A. Yes.
Q. What are those nine surface
impoundments?
A. Nine. That would be Waukegan east pond; Waukegan west pond; Will County 2S; Will County 3S; Joliet Pond 2; Powerton ash surge basin; Powerton ash bypass basin.

There were others relined, but I don't know if they're included because that would get me to more than nine.
Q. Okay. So was the metal cleaning basin at Powerton relined with HDPE?
A. I believe it was, but I -- as I stated earlier, at this point we were not counting that as a surface impoundment. So that's how I can't figure out how to get to nine.
Q. What about Joliet Pond 1?
A. It was relined. Again, it's not a CCR surface impoundment. And I know that we went into this not considering it a CCR surface impoundment.
Q. What about Pond 2?
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A. It was relined, and I just named it.
Q. Okay. Then Pond 3, excuse me.
A. It was also relined. And I'm certain that is not a CCR surface impoundment. And we went into this --
(Reporter interruption.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, you
have to slow down. She can't --
THE WITNESS: I'm so -- I'm sorry.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. (Continuing) -- I am certain that this
is not a CCR surface impoundment when we into -- at the point we went into this submittal -- or document.

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Okay. Okay. So...
A. So addition- -- in addition, Joliet 1, Joliet 3, Powerton service water, so that's how I get to more than nine 'cause I counted seven, and I get -- now I'm at ten. I have no idea what the nine were in this moment without reading the whole document.
Q. Right. So it's fair to say that Midwest Generation relined more than nine ponds, correct?

[^26]A. I think $I$ just counted ten.
Q. That at the time this was written, Midwest Generation contested the classification of some of those as surface impoundments, correct?
A. I -- I believe so.
Q. Okay. And -- okay. I'm -- I'm just trying to clarify the record, but I'll -- I'll move on. Okay.

Do you know if Midwest Generation
removed any soil or other materials before relining these ponds with HDPE?
A. I believe that they -- so I was not
there. I believe that they had to remove all of the ash that was contained within the pond to get to the base to be able to reline.
Q. And what is the base that you're referring to?
A. The bottom of the pond.
Q. But beneath that is it your understanding that Midwest Generation --
A. Again, I would have to review construction documents. I don't know.
Q. And Midwest Generation has never installed an HDPE liner at Pond 1N in Will County,

[^27]
## correct?

MS. GALE: Objection; misstates testimony.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could you read the question back, please, Lucy? I'm sorry.

MS. GALE: Oh, I'm sorry. It's my bad. I -- I heard Pond 1, and I thought Joliet 29. Forgive me.

Objection; mischaracterizes the pond on 1S.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Do you need the answer -- or question?

THE WITNESS: Oh, do you -- I -- so I can answer?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could you ask the question...

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I wasn't...
BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Has Midwest Generation ever installed an HDPE liner at Pond 1N at Will County?
A. My knowledge of that is that when -when the pond relinings were done, yes, at Will County 1N and 1S were out of service. They were
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specific to units 1 and 2 -- generating units 1 and 2 at Will County station.

And so as part of -- I believe it's in the CCA for Will County. As part of the CCA, instead of relining, they installed what $I$ would consider to be an under-drain system so that the pond cannot contain water.
Q. And is that also true of Pond 1S --
A. Yes.
Q. -- at Will County?

And Midwest Generation has never installed a lined -- an HDPE line- -- liner in the grassy field at Waukegan, correct?
A. Not to my knowledge, no.
Q. As we sit here today, is there any CCR in any coal ash pond at Waukegan?
A. Yes.
Q. Which ponds?
A. I hate to do this wrong. I'm sorry. (Brief pause.)

BY THE WITNESS:
A. I believe the east pond contains coal ash; the west a sig- -- a more significant amount of coal ash, which I really cannot quantify. The
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west pond only has a small amount of coal ash. I believe that's correct. I could have them flip-flopped.

One is nearly empty, one is not. One of the ponds is nearly empty; one of the ponds is not empty.

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. And the same question for Will County: As we sit here today, is there CCR in any coal ash pond at Will County?
A. $1 \mathrm{~N}, 1 \mathrm{~S}$ definitely contain ash. 1 N and 1S definitely contain ash. And, again, I may flip-flop -- yeah, I -- I cannot tell you -- one -one of either $2 S$ or $3 S$ would contain what $I$ would consider de minimis quantities of ash, I believe. The other one contains greater than de minimis quantities. I cannot -- my notes --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Greater
than what?
THE WITNESS: De minimis quantities.
Sorry.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. I cannot tell you one or the other. I would have to go back and review records.
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BY MS. WACHSPRESS:

## Q. And then Joliet 29?

A. None of the ponds at Joliet 29 contain ash.

MS. WACHSPRESS: Your Honor, I'd like to move to admit Exhibit 1411 into the record.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Gale?
MS. GALE: We would object to the exhibit as relevant [sic]. As she's testified, the information here is old. There is updated information, including recent Board orders, indicating certain ponds are not CCR surface impoundments. She has new information that has changed, and whatever she's stated in this document really isn't relevant to this proceeding. Oh, I'm sorry, one more thing.

Plus, it is related to the rulemaking of Part 257 and unrelated to the complaint in this case.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Your
objections are noted on the record, however, that -- the Board may find this relevant.
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The document is also on our -- our website. So over objection, Complainant's No. 1411 exhibit is admitted.
(Complainants' Exhibit No. 1411 was received in evidence.)

MS. WACHSPRESS: Your Honor, may we go off the record for a moment?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sure.
(Discussion held off the record.)
MS. WACHSPRESS: Your Honor, can we go back on the record?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, Miss Wachspress, we're back on the record.

MS. WACHSPRESS: Thank you.
Your Honor, just one more item.
We'd like to move for admission of Exhibit 1400, which was the document witness had -- the witness had in front of her for at least her testimony yesterday and has with her again today.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You know, I can't find it. I've been given many, many documents.

Thank you. Is this -- okay.
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Miss Gale, do you have any objection
for Exhibit 1400?
MS. GALE: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
Exhibit 1400 is admitted. No objection.
(Complainants' Exhibit No. 1400 was received in evidence.)

MS. GALE: And, Mr. Hearing Officer, may I correct, for the record, when I -- that I misspoke which part her testimony 1411...

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, you may.

MS. GALE: (Continuing) ...was related?

Yes, I -- I misspoke. It was related to Part 845, not Part 257.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: The record will so reflect. Thank you.

MS. WACHSPRESS: And, your Honor,
we've completed our direct examination of Miss Shealey.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right. Thank you.

[^28]Miss Gale, do you need a moment?
MS. GALE: Just for off the record for three seconds --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
MS. GALE: Two minutes.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're off the record.
(Brief pause.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right.
We're back on the record.
Miss Shealey is on the stand.
Miss Gale from Midwest is doing her cross.
You may begin when ready. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. GALE:
Q. Miss Shealey, can you pull out 1409, please? And it's the Illinois EPA recommendation for pond -- Joliet 29. It's -- it's a really big one.
A. I -- I have it. Yes, okay. I couldn't find Joliet 29 on the cover. That was my issue. Okay. Thank you.
Q. And we're gonna go back to the page she directed you to, which is Bates No. MWG13-15
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underscore 121582.
A. 121. Surprisingly, I have it, yes.
Q. You got it?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Miss Wachspress asked you about the first two sentences in the second full paragraph on that page; do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. And she didn't ask you about the remainder of that paragraph, did she?
A. No.
Q. Can you just read through the remainder of that paragraph on your own, and then we'll discuss.
(Witness peruses document.)
BY MS. GALE:
Q. Okay. And as it says in there, the remainder of the paragraph states: Given the absence of bottom ash beyond the depth of one foot and these borings, and a general absence of bottom ash in the other six borings, these two instances are more likely to be from bottom ash particles being present at the surface of the pond's access roads than ash being used as fill material; do you

[^29]see that?
A. I do.
Q. And would you agree with Mr. Dehlin, who's the drafter of this document's assessment of that ash?
A. Yes.

MS. WACHSPRESS: Objection; lack of
foundation.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Overruled.
She may ask.
BY MS. GALE:
Q. And given that this is ash on the top of the soil -- well, never mind. Strike that. Withdrawn.

You can put that document aside. Thank you.
A. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Which
exhibit was that, Miss Shealey?
THE WITNESS: 1409.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
BY MS. GALE:
Q. Put that away. You -- we're done with that. Take your time.
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A. Okay. Thank you.
Q. I just want to clarify something that was discussed this morning and clarify when -when -- you've been asked a few times about closure of the ponds -- the pond, excuse me, leaving $C R R$ in place; do you recall that testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. And that closure of the pond leaving CRR in place, that comes with a cap, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And it's an engineered cap, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And that it's an engineered cap engineered by professional engineers, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And it would be pursuant to the Federal Part 257, right?
A. Yes. And part -- the State Part 845, too. Yes.
Q. Thank you.
A. It would be pursuant to both.
Q. And -- well, I'll leave it there.

Oh, you were asked earlier about -- and you -- about sampling done at Joliet 29. And I
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just want to clarify something because you had discussed with Miss Wachspress an understanding of sampling done around monitoring well 9; do you recall that testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. And then later on -- and I recall her asking you again whether soil testing had been done at Joliet 29 since 2019, and I believe you stated that you weren't aware.

Do you want to correct your testimony?
A. I absolutely do. Soil testing was done with regard to the -- or with regard to the investigation around monitoring well 9 at Joliet -(Reporter interruption.)

BY THE WITNESS:
A. I stuttered and slurred. Forgive me.

Soil testing was done as part of the investigation around Joliet 29 monitoring well 9. BY MS. GALE:
Q. And are you aware of other sampling that's been done near Joliet 29?
A. Yes.
Q. What is that sampling?
A. I believe the Army Corps of Engineers --
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the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has sampled along the land that they own in the northeast area, and they have done sediment sampling in the Des Plaines River.
Q. Let's -- oh, yeah. Let's do that.

You were asked about -- we're talking about the northeast area, and you just mentioned the U.S. Army Corps. The Army Corps is interested in the northeast area; isn't that right?
A. Absolutely.
Q. And they're interested in it for a project related to Asian carp, correct?
A. Yeah. Invasive species, generally, yes.
Q. Right. Okay. Thank you for that correction.

And the Army Corps of Engineers also
have an understanding of the material in that -- in that area, don't they?
A. They -- they have said that to me, yes.
Q. What have they told you?
A. That it's mostly -- or that they -- they would -- they believe it is dredging from the river or -- well, from the river that could have been from constructing the lock that is adjacent,

[^30]including -- I'm sorry.
Dredging spoils from the Des Plaines
River, including when they built the lock that is adjacent to the northeast area at Joliet 29.
Q. And I think it's also been called "river spoils"?
A. River spoils. Thank you, yes.
Q. And the lock near Joliet 29 is called the Brandon Road Lock and Dam, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Miss Shealey, you've been shown a lot of documents today, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And yesterday, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And for those documents you described that you did review of them, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was a high-level review, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. 'Cause as you've testified you engage consultants and experts to assist you in complying with, for example, Part 257, right?

[^31]A. Absolutely, yes.
Q. And, similarly, in complying with

Part 845, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And -- so, for example, when you're reviewing boring logs, as you -- were done yesterday, you're not conducting any sort of analysis, right?
A. No.
Q. No. You would rely upon a geologist to do that; isn't that true?
A. Absolutely.
Q. 'Cause you're not a geologist?
A. Yeah. And if I could offer a metaphor?
Q. By all means.
A. My role is sort of like an air traffic controller. I'm not a pilot. I don't have that expertise. I ensure, metaphorically, that all the planes take off on time, that they're in the air with no interference with each other, and they land on time. I sort of just run -- I'm the traffic controller. I'm not the technical expert in any of these things.
Q. Right. So a traffic controller isn't a
pilot; is that what --
A. Correct.
Q. -- by extension that's your metaphor?
A. Yeah. Yeah.
Q. Great.
A. I hire -- I put the pilots in the area.
Q. Excellent.

And so just to close the loop on that, you know, when you're reviewing, say, a cross-section of KPRGs, that's not any sort of analysis, right?
A. No.
Q. And then, as part of your directing traffic, you said that you work with a team, right?
A. Absolutely.
Q. And a team at Midwest Generation as -you know, as well as its consultants, right?
A. Absolutely.
Q. And I believe yesterday you said you worked with -- you know, to get agreements on decisions, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's -- you're basically looking for a consensus; isn't that right?
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A. Yes, it is.

I'm sorry, if I could expand?
Q. By all means.
A. So yesterday $I$ was struggling with
defining my role, and that -- that's part of it -or what I'm responsible for because we move with consensus. Our -- our -- our mechanism is to gain consensus among the people who are involved. So no one person is really the decider in the way that we work.
Q. Thank you.

Yesterday you were also asked about the CCAs, which are, you know, called the Compliance Commitment Agreement; do you recall that testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. And when you joined Midwest Generation, if I recall correctly, it was -- really you -- it was, I think, the fall of 2014, but then you came here in 2015; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And at that time you became familiar with the CCAs, right?
A. After 20- -- sometime in 2015, yes.
Q. Yeah. But as we just discussed, your
understanding of the CCAs was as a high level, correct?
A. Oh, correct.
Q. Okay. And we're going to just show you previously admitted Exhibits 630, 661, 637, and 651. And we have them here for everybody. Give us a minute.
(Brief pause.)
MS. GALE: I'll note for the record
these were previously admitted in the first phase of the hearing, and they're so marked.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. BY MS. GALE:
Q. All right. Do you have those four in front of you?
A. I do.
Q. Great.

And these are the signed compliance
statements for the four CCAs, correct?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. And these indicate that the terms in the CCAs have been completed, correct?
A. Yes, they did.
Q. Okay. I think, actually, on the first

[^32]page it says "successfully completed."
A. It does on the second, too.
Q. Okay. Great.

And that -- you know, that includes establishing the GMZs, if you recall correctly, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Under the GMZs and under these CCAs, Midwest Generation continues to conduct the CCA sampling end of it, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So -- and your understanding, when you joined Midwest Generation, was that the CCAs were successfully completed other than continuing the CCA groundwater sampling pursuant to the GMZs, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And -- and these CCAs, they're in agreement with the Illinois EPA; isn't that right?
A. Yes, that is my understanding.
Q. And throughout your time with Midwest Generation, Midwest -- or, excuse me, Illinois EPA has never claimed that Midwest Generation has broken those agreements, correct?

[^33]A. No -- or correct. Sorry. That was a -correct.
Q. Let's do that again.

And throughout your time with Midwest Generation, Illinois EPA has never claimed that Midwest Gen has broken that agreement, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Thank you.

Miss Shealey, yesterday you were shown closure plans for impoundments from, for example, 2016; do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. And those closure plans, I mean, they were maybe two pages, sometimes six pages, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And those were the preliminary plans; isn't that true?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. And, in fact, you've seen the closure plans that have been submitted to the Agency as a part of the permit applications under Part 845, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And those are bigger, correct?
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A. Yes.
Q. And, as time passed, from the preparation of the preliminary closure plans, Midwest Generation learned more; isn't that right?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And -- and as part of that learning process was communicating with regulators such as U.S. EPA; isn't that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And part of the communication of the U.S. EPA is understanding how they interpret, for instance, Part 257, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And, similarly, as after Part 845 was passed, there are additional communications with Illinois EPA; isn't that correct?
A. Absolutely correct.
Q. And part of those communications is understanding how they understand their rule Part 485; isn't that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And as Midwest Gen learns more about how -- about its stations and how the two regulators, Illinois EPA and U.S. EPA, interpret
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their different rules, plans change, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Including the technical feasibility of any of the preliminary closure plans; isn't that right?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And that includes the economic reasonableness of any of the preliminary closure plans, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Yesterday, and I think today, as well, you've mentioned a few times that Midwest Generation requires a permit from Illinois EPA to do work at the CCR surface impoundments, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And, actually, they would -- and unrelated to Part 845, Midwest Generation, at times, needs permits from Illinois EPA to do other work, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Yeah. And by that it's a construction permit, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And I believe you also mentioned your --

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

you know, Senate Bill 9; do you recall that testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. And that bill, $I$ think, is commonly called the Coal Ash Protection Act; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And under that bill it added a new requirement to require a permit for CCR surface impoundments under the Illinois Environmental Protection Act, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And time is hard, but, I think we can remember, that bill was presented to the Illinois General Assembly around the winter of 2019; is that...
A. Actually, I specifically remember that one, yeah.
Q. And around 2019 -- you know, 2018 Midwest Generation was considering closing some of its surface impoundments under Part 257, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And it was working towards doing the construction of those closures around 2018, right?
A. Yes.

[^34]Q. And at that time you consulted with -excuse me. And "at that time," by that I mean, winter of 2019, you consulted with Illinois EPA about trying to close certain ponds under the federal program, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And what did you learn from Illinois EPA in that consulsion [sic]?
A. That they would consider it a violation of the unsigned, at that point, Act because the Act was very clear that you cannot close a surface impoundment without a permit.
Q. Right. So...
A. So I feared that we would -- that the Illinois EPA -- if we had attempted after -- and I would say after January of '19 or in January of '19 and beyond, if we would have attempted to close any CCR surface impoundment without Agency permission, we -- the Agency would have found us in violation of something. Of what, I don't know, but that was what was communicated to me.
Q. Great. Changing topics a bit. Yesterday with Miss -- excuse me.

Yesterday with Miss Wachspress you
discussed the plan for closing the Waukegan west pond; do you recall that discussion?
A. Yes.
Q. And I'll -- and the -- I think the discussion -- or if $I$ recall correctly, the discussion was related to closure by removal and using the pond as a low volume wastewater pond; do you remember that?
A. Yes. And my chart is helping me. Thank you.
Q. And Miss Wachspress used a term of "constructing" a low volume waste pond.

Would you agree with it that you're constructing a low volume waste pond?
A. No.
Q. No. The plan is to reuse the liner, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And that is the purpose of the petition for adjusted standard?
A. Yes.
Q. Great.

Yesterday you were also asked about the alternate closure demonstrations for Powerton; do
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you recall that discussion?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were asked about the purposes of the alternative closure demonstrations, right?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. And I believe the term and the question was asked was it was -- you were asked about the -the purpose of the demonstrations were a delay, Midwest Generation delaying compliance. Do you remember hearing "delay"?
A. No. I would say that we are in compliance. We have not delayed compliance. We are in compliance with Part 257.
Q. Right. So you didn't hear her use the term "delay"?
A. No.
Q. And, in fact, Midwest Generation's policy is to comply with the law, for example, of Part 257?
A. Fully.
Q. And but for the CCR and CCR surface impoundments, for the past few years, the law has not been consistent, right?
A. It has not, no.
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Q. And now, there are, just this week, new rules on the horizon; isn't that right?
A. That is correct.
Q. And I understand that we haven't had time to look at them, what is your understanding of those rules?
A. Those rules -- the proposed rules are for legacy surface impoundments --
(Reporter interruption.) BY THE WITNESS:
A. (Continuing) -- Legacy surface
impoundments. And I think they called them CCR Management Units, CCRMU. Yes, that's what those are for. BY MS. GALE:
Q. And those are for areas outside a CCR surface impoundment, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Under -- and you've actually known about the pendency, the likelihood, of those rules for a while, right?
A. Yes. I would guess since -- I think it was since '19 at least.
Q. 2019?

[^35]A. 2019. I'm sorry. It could have been '18, though, so I -- I shouldn't have said.
Q. 2018? 2019? Thereabouts?
A. Yes.

MS. GALE: Nothing further.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you, Miss Gale.

Miss Wachspress, redirect.
MS. WACHSPRESS: If I could have just a few moments to confer with co-counsel.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sure. Off the record.
(Brief pause.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Lucy, we're back on the record.

Miss Wachspress, your redirect. REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. Miss Shealey, on cross-exam you mentioned an Army Corps study; is that correct?
A. I'm not sure if it's a study. I mentioned testing done by the Army Corps.
Q. And how did you come to learn about that testing?
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A. The Army Corps is interested in purchasing the land that is -- well, not the Corps, I'm sorry.

IDNR, as the Corps' local partner, is interested in purchasing land that is within or all of the northeast area at Joliet 29 station as part of the construction of the fish barrier that they will install at Brandon Road lock.
Q. And how did that fact come to you learning about the study?
A. How did I learn --
Q. I asked how you came to learn about it, and you -- and you told me why they were conducting the study. I'm interested in how you came to find out about the Army Corps sampling.
A. IDNR told me.
Q. And when did IDNR tell you?
A. I believe it was this month. It could have been in April.
Q. And so you've never spoken directly to the Army Corps, correct?
A. I have spoken to the Army Corps many times. This is -- this has been going on forever, as far as my little memory goes.
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Q. So I'm -- I'm just trying to figure out your testimony.

I asked when -- how you came to learn about the testing by the Army Corps, correct?
A. That is what you asked me.
Q. And you said you came to learn about it through the IDNR's plan to purchase a certain area near Joliet 29, correct?
A. The IDNR's desire to purchase or own area -- land at Joliet 29 that we currently own.
Q. And I asked you when you had spoken to IDNR about that.
A. I said within the past month, I believe.
Q. Okay. And so then I asked if you had ever spoken to the Army Corps directly?
A. "Ever" is a very long time. I've spoken to the Army Corps many, many times.
Q. About...
A. You didn't ask that.
Q. You got me.

Have you ever spoken to the Army Corps directly about this sampling?
A. About that sampling, no. I was not aware of that sampling until, like I said, a month

[^36]ago.
The Corps -- I didn't -- I knew that the Corps was doing sampling in the waterway. I did not -- but -- and my recollection it was around the floodplain, so I don't -- I didn't really know what they were sampling.

If you look at a map at Joliet 29, there's, I believe -- I believe it's a peninsula. It could be an island in the Des Plaines -- between the shores that is, I believe, in the floodplain. And they -- the Corps was trying to change a floodplain map or something of that sort. It's beyond my specific knowledge. I didn't specifically care.

But we own -- under Illinois law we own at least part of the riverbed, so they contacted us for that reason, I believe.
Q. Okay. And during the cross-examination, you testified that you had been told by the Army Corps certain information about the findings of that study -- or of the sampling, correct?
A. No. That's not what I said.
Q. Do you -- you testified that the Army Corps of Engineers hypothesized that dredging from

[^37]
## the river or river spoils were responsible for something?

A. Which is independent --

MS. WACHSPRESS: Objection --
BY THE WITNESS:
A. -- of that sampling.

MS. GALE: It mischaracterizes --
(Reporter interruption.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Let's -- we can't talk over each other.
Miss Wachspress, you want to ask the question again? Should I have Lucy read it back? We have to slow down and speak up.

Do you want Lucy to read your question back before Miss Gale objected?

MS. WACHSPRESS: If you would, please.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
(Record read as requested.)
MS. GALE: Objection; mischaracterizes
testimony. She did not testify that Army
Corps said anything about responsibility, two separate issues.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I don't
remember that at all, so sustained.
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BY MS. WACHSPRESS:
Q. You testified about river spoils in the area around Joliet 29, correct?
A. Every river has river spoils; but, yes, I specifically spoke to the -- Des Plaines around Joliet 29.
Q. Do you recall that testimony?
A. Yeah.
Q. What was it?
A. Oh, ver- -- verbatim? I don't know.

You would have to read the transcript.
What are you asking me? I'm -- I'm unclear.
Q. Generally, what is your understanding of what the Army Corps believes about river spoils in the area around Joliet 29?
A. That spoils were placed in the northeast area throughout the history of the world. I mean, over course [sic] of a long period of time.
Q. And how did you come to learn that fact from the Army Corps?
A. They told it to me. As I mentioned,

I -- my -- I don't know when the first time I
talked to the Corps was, but they have been talking
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with us. And it's -- it's a public project. It's congressionally funded. GL- -- GLMRIS. Great Lakes -- G-L-R-M-I-S [sic]. I cannot tell you exactly what that stands for, Great Lakes something, but it is the project to stop -- to stop invasive species from entering the Great Lakes. And Illinois and at least Wisconsin are partners in that project.
Q. Okay.
A. The Corps owns it, though, and they have congressional funding to complete it.
Q. Okay. I'd like to move on to a different area.

You were asked about the applications for alternate closure demonstrations -- for the alternate closure demonstration applications, correct?
A. I wouldn't characterize those as applications at that point. I -- I think they were demonstrations, but, yes.
Q. Okay. And those closure demonstrations were with respect to an April 11, 2021 deadline, correct?
A. For closure of impoundments, yes. So
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CCR surface impoundments, yes.
Q. Was the deadline to close the
impoundments April 11, 2021?
A. I believe it was cease placement by -I'm not to sure if it was cease placement or closure. It was one of the two. I believe it was cease placement.
Q. Okay. So Midwest Generation was not under an obligation, under Part 257, to complete closure at any of those locations by April 11th, 2021?
A. At any of what locations?
Q. For which it submitted an alternate closing demonstration?
A. I -- again, I would have to read the regs. I believe that April 11, 2021 deadline is for cease placement of both water and ash into those impoundment -- or any materials, any waste, into those impoundments.
Q. And Midwest Generation did not apply for that alternate closure deadline for every pond that was currently operating at that point, correct?
A. No. The -- the rules require -- and, again, we are going to comply. That is our full
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intent to be in compliance with the laws.
The rules say -- and I am paraphrasing greatly -- that you should only apply for that when you don't have excessive cap- -- excess capacity, when you cannot put that ash anywhere else or that water, that waste stream.

We don't need every pond at Powerton continuously, for example. Powerton operates the bypass basin and the ash surge basin at different times. I don't want to use the wrong word.

For example, right now the bypass basin has been removed from service back to that April 11th, 2021 deadline. We met that deadline, so it was it wasn't complete closure. It was definitely to cease placement.
(Reporter interruption.)
BY THE WITNESS:
A. It was not to complete closure. It was definitely to cease placement. We met that deadline for the bypass basin. The ash surge basin, though, is still operating today because we don't have yet -- we have not yet built a compliant liner system pond. We are waiting a permit from the Agency to do so.
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MS. WACHSPRESS: Okay. Your Honor, at this time -- I know you took it on offer of proof. I would like to move again to have Exhibit 1405 entered into the record.

On cross there were several
questions about what the current status of the bypass basin is, claims about what is and is not outdated. And the witness has testified that they are waiting on approval on this very application before they can continue with the federal compliance, to move forward on that. And so I think this
document, 1405, is directly relevant to this proceeding and should be admitted in its entirety. And, of course, it would aid the Board pursuant to 626.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Gale?
MS. GALE: I -- I don't even know what
1405 -- what's --
MS. WACHSPRESS: It's the Powerton
application.
MS. GALE: I -- you know, the
objection -- the objection still stands.
Just because it was brought up on cross to
explain questions that were asked in the offer of proof doesn't mean it becomes even more relevant. It's meant to simply clarify what was explained in the offer of proof.

And, similar, again, the issue here is their complaint under 12 A and 21 B and groundwater regulations, not any sort of compliance with 845. How Part 845 is only relevant is that it exists.

So -- but we don't -- we don't need to clutter the Board's record with voluminous documents related to permit applications that were submitted to Illinois EPA and are under their review.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Anything
further before I decide?
MS. WACHSPRESS: Yes. Your Honor, I'll just -- I'll just say, again, that the pre-hearing memo filed by Midwest Generation made enormous -- made much of the fact that compliance with Part 845 and 257 were sufficient.

And so I think it is absolutely relevant for the Board's decision to assess

[^38]what that compliance looks like and the details of compliance in crafting a remedy. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. I appreciate it. That's enough, please. Thank you.

Yeah, I'm gonna stand by my ruling. You know, if -- if the Board feels like it's cluttering their -- their -- whatever --
information they look at, or if they find it relevant, and they'd like to take a look at it, they can do so.

Again, it's not the first time I've been overruled. So I am gonna keep it as an offer of proof in -- in the Board notes from me being on record and, Counsel, your position, so denied. Thank you.

MS. WACHSPRESS: Thank you, your
Honor.
I have nothing further.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right.
So do you rest then, your case in chief?
MS. WACHSPRESS: I -- I think we do.
We rest our case in chief, your Honor.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right.
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

Thank you.
Let's go off the record.
(Short recess.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right.
We're on the record.
The complainants have finished with their case in chief.

Now it's Midwest, and we have Miss Gale. You may proceed.

MS. GALE: Thank you. RESPONDENT'S EVIDENCE BY MS. GALE:
Q. Good morning, Mr . Gnat.
A. Good morning.
Q. This would be your fourth time
testifying in this matter; isn't that right?
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You know,
Miss Gale, I'm sorry, I should -- I should have Mr. Gnat raise his hand and get sworn in again. Third time.
(Witness sworn.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may proceed.
RICHARD GNAT,
called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. GALE:
Q. Yes, the fourth time testifying in this matter; isn't that right?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And in 2017 and 2018 you testified about your occupational profession, but, to catch everything up, what degrees do you hold?
A. I have a bachelor's degree in earth sciences from Northeastern Illinois University; a master's degree in geosciences [sic] from University of Illinois at Chicago; and I had follow-up post-graduate work in hydrogeology at Eastern Michigan University.

## Q. And you're a professional geologist?

A. Yes, I am. In Illi- --
Q. With which states?
A. In Illinois and Wisconsin active; and then inactive certifications in Arkansas and Minnesota.
Q. What does "inactive certification" mean?
A. It means that $I$ haven't re-upped the --
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the fee to maintain the license for active practice and that we haven't been doing a lot of work in those states. And so rather than paying the fees and not using my -- my certification in those states, I've gone inactive.

If we do need to do a project in that state that requires the certification, then $I$ just basically re-up my fees and reactivate my license.
Q. And, Mr. Gnat, who do you work for?
A. KPRG \& Associates.
Q. How long have you been with KPRG?
A. Since January of 2002. 21 years.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. 21 years.
Q. And what is your position?
A. Principal and part owner of the company.
Q. And as principal and part owner, what do you do for KPRG?
A. I run the Wisconsin operations, and I am involved with, obviously, generating work -- or for bringing clients into the firm and -- and servicing the clients with respect to assisting them in their environmental issues.

Our company focuses on sur- -- on
subsurface soil and groundwater issues, primarily. That's our forte. We do -- do other environmental work. We also have an asbestos group in our company at this point as well. But in terms of my practice, that's -- that's where my expertise lies.
Q. And you can -- in 2017 and 2018 you testified that you did work for Midwest Gen? Do you recall that testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you continue to do work for Midwest Gen at its power stations?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Which power stations?
A. I currently do work at the Waukegan station, the Joliet station, the Will County station, and the Powerton station.

My company also does work at a couple of other of their facilities.
Q. And the four stations you've just identified are the ones that are the subject in this matter, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. Let's start with Joliet 29. Your firm continues to collect

[^39]groundwater samples from Joliet 29, correct?
A. Yes, we do.
Q. And so you're familiar with the
locations of the monitoring wells?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Can you pull out Exhibit 1303.

MS. GALE: And, Drew, if you could put
figure --
(Reporter interruption.)
MS. GALE: Exhibit 1302 .
The figure of the monitoring wells. BY MS. GALE:
Q. So, Mr. Gnat, you have in front of you Exhibit No. 1303. What is that exhibit?
A. This is a groundwater contour map for --
Q. I'm sorry. I'm gonna cut you off. I want you to start -- backup.

What -- go to the first page of the exhibit.

What -- what -- what exhibit are we --
let me just, so everybody -- really to understand where we're at, what are we looking at? What exhibit is this?
A. This is the CCA Annual and Quarterly

[^40]Groundwater Monitoring Report for the Joliet No. 29 generating station dated January 20th, 2022 .
Q. Great. And then, yes, please flip to Figure 2.
A. Okay.
Q. What is this figure?
A. This is a groundwater contour map for water levels obtained in November of 2021 for the Joliet 29 generating station.
Q. And what is the direction of the groundwater flow?
A. Groundwater flow is in a southerly direction towards the intake channel.
Q. And in 2018 you testified about the groundwater flow -- excuse me.

In 2018 you testified about the groundwater flow at the Joliet 29 station; do you recall that testimony?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. In reviewing this groundwater map, has there been any changes -- substantial changes in the groundwater flow at Joliet 29 since 2018?
A. This -- this appears a pretty routine map. The groundwater flow is very similar from
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quarter to quarter.
Q. So we covered this in the first hearing, but I just want to refresh everyone's collective memory.

When did Midwest Generation start
groundwater sampling in -- at the Joliet 29 station?
A. I believe the very first quarterly sampling of -- I believe was done in the fourth quarter of 2010 by Patrick Engineering.
Q. And that quarterly sampling, what were the samples analyzed for?
A. It was a list of parameters that was agreed upon by Midwest Generation and Illinois EPA at the time. And I believe it might be the same set of parameters that we're currently analyzing for under the CCA program.
Q. And those parameters are metals, right?
A. Correct, dissolved metals.
Q. Dissolved metals.

And when you say "dissolved metals," what does that mean?
A. That the sample -- the groundwater sample is field filtered prior to placing it in the
sample bottle from the lab 'cause that bottle contains acid. So prior to preservation it gets field sampled -- or, I'm sorry, field filtered.
Q. And that field filtered dissolved samples, that's what we typically call the CCA sampling, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And then in 2015 the federal CCR surface impoundment rules were passed; do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. And what kind of sampling do they require?
A. Under the federal rule there's a slightly different set of parameters. There's some overlap, but the main difference is they require sampling for total metals, which does not allow for field filtering of the water samples.
Q. And then in 2021 the Illinois CC- -excuse me.

And in Illinois 2021 the CCR rule was passed, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And what kind of sampling does the Illinois CCR rule require?

[^41]A. The CC- -- the Illinois CCR rule basically has the same set of parameters as the federal rule, with the addition of field measurement of turbidity. And the requirement is also for total metals, so there's no field filtering of those samples. And the frequency of sampling, under the federal program, they allow you to be at semiannual. Under the state program, it's quarterly.
Q. And we just -- you've touched upon this before, and we discussed this at the first hearing.

At Joliet 29 -- excuse me.
At Joliet 29 what, if any, difference is there between the two types of analysis, the CCA sampling and the federal or -- and state sam- -CCR sampling?
A. In terms of the analytical results, it was somewhat determined and agreed upon, by -- by the various parties, in the previous sets of hearings, that even though those -- those values could be slightly different, for the most part, they were pretty close to similar, not identical but -- but pretty close.

And there are other cases or other sites

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
312-419-9292
\end{gathered}
$$

that I've seen where there's substantial
differences between totals and dissolved on -- on the metals analyses, however, for -- for the case -- for our cases here, for -- for these four sites, those values seem to be pretty close, so very -- very similar, not identical.
Q. And I think you got there, but let's just clarify.

My question was just related to Joliet 29, but I think you just said -- well, I guess I'll ask the question: Does that analysis that there's very little difference apply to Powerton -Powerton station groundwater results?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. And does that analysis related to the difference also apply to the Waukegan groundwater results?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. And, final question, does the analysis that you just discussed that there's very little difference between the total metals and the dissolved metals apply to the Will County results?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. And so looking at your map, you see

[^42]there's Pond 1 and Pond 3 ?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. What kind of sampling is conducted at the wells around Pond 1 and Pond 3?
A. The monitoring walls in -- in the vicinity of those ponds are part of the overall CCA groundwater monitoring network.
Q. And so that sampling is the dissolved metals, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And that means it's field filtered?
A. Yes.
Q. And Pond 2 in the middle, that's a CCR service impoundment, right?
A. That is currently a CCR surface impoundment, correct.
Q. So what kind of sampling is conducted in the monitoring wells around Pond 2?
A. The monitoring wells around Pond 2 that we sample, under the CRR program, both federal and state, include wells MW-10 and then 3, 4, and 5. And those are sampled for total metals, so it's not -- the sample is not field filtered.
Q. And then Pond 2 -- I'm sorry. Did you
\[
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also say they're sampled for CCA as well?
A. Yes, that's also sampled for CCA. So that would -- the CCA samples from those wells collected, at the same time, basically is field filtered for dissolved metals.
Q. And I just want to break this down. So when KPRG samples a groundwater well for C- -- for the CCA and the CCR, let's say, for example, at Pond 2, when the technician is out there, how does that process work?
A. The technician opens the well head, inspects the well for its integrity, takes a -- a starting water level, and then initiates -- we have dedicated bladder pumps down each of these wells so we minimize potential -- any cross-contamination type issues. So he starts to purge the well.

We do low-flow sampling. And once the field parameters suggest that we're at -- at relatively static conditions suggesting that we've got representative water flowing into the well, he starts to fill sample bottles.

In this case, we fill the sample bottles for the state and federal CCR sampling as non- -as un- -- unfiltered, so there's no filtering
mechanism between the water coming out of the well and the jar that it goes into.

And then, when we collect samples for the CCA, which would be right at the same time -it's just filling one sample bottle after the next, so to speak, however, when the shift comes over to -- to start collecting samples for the CCA, the field filter is put in place, and the water is run through the filter before it's placed into the jar. But the sampling occurs right at the same time.
Q. Great.

And I think we just heard you say -- you said an example for a -- a monitoring well around Pond 2 is --
(Reporter interruption.)
MS. GALE: I'm sorry.
BY MS. GALE:
Q. I think I heard you say -- thank you for the description, but I think I heard you describe the wells around Pond 2, one of them being monitoring well 9; is that correct?
A. No. If I did, I was incorrect. I said upgradient well -- monitoring well 10 and then wells 3, 4 and 5.

[^43]Q. And then, just to clarify, when the technician does the sampling for the CCA and CCRs, temporally -- when is that temporally? How close in time is that?
A. You know, it depends on the well and how the well produces water. The wells that need to recover between -- you know, filling sample bottles will take a little bit longer. And other wells produce water very well, and it will take shorter. So, you know, I'd say anywhere from, you know, 30 minutes to a couple hours of sampling.

On some wells that go dry, and you have to come back and wait till enough recovers and -and try and extract that water. That process might take a day or two.
Q. But, typically, it's the same day, right?
A. Oh, absolutely.
Q. And once the samples are collected, what happens to those samples?
A. Samples are preserved in a sam- -- or placed the sample bottles that are provided from the lab, which include preservatives, as needed, and placed on ice. And the chain of custodies are
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completed, and the samples are delivered to the lab either in person or via courier to log into the lab for analysis.
Q. And how often is this sampling done?
A. At this point on a quarterly basis.
Q. And by "quarterly" you mean four times a year?
A. Correct.
Q. And is this CCA sampling and CRR sampling also conducted at the other three stations that are subject to this case?
A. Yes, it is, with the caveat on -- on Waukegan, which has a slightly altered...
Q. Forgive me. I'll rephrase.

So is the CCA sampling and CCR sampling also conducted at Will County?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And is CCA sampling and CCR sampling also conducted at Powerton?
A. Yes.
Q. And then at Waukegan what happens?
A. At Waukegan there was a -- with the
federal CCR rule coming in, it was -- a
determination was made that they needed to modify
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their -- I believe it was their east side embankment and so that the -- in order to do so -in order to do that modification, the station had to apply for a construction permit from Illinois EPA.

And within that construction permit, another sampling -- groundwater sampling requirement was issued, which included total parameters as opposed to dissolved.

And at that point the IEPA had indicated that we -- for Waukegan station we could stop doing the dissolved sampling under CCA and that if we met the terms of that permit, that that would, for them, suffice for meeting the CCA requirement on that -- on that site.

## Q. And then the lab runs the sampling

 analysis. They get the results. Where do the results go to?A. KPRG gets a copy of the results. We tabulate the results. And then, in accordance with the CCA agreement, a quarterly report is provided to Illinois EPA within 30 days after the quarter that includes a summary of the data as well as the groundwater elevations and a groundwater flow map.
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## Q. And that is true for the Joliet 29

station?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And the Powerton station?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And the Will County station?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And then the permit sampling is also collected, right?
A. Yeah.
Q. At the Waukegan station, excuse me.
A. At the Waukegan station, yes.
Q. And where do those results go to?
A. The same. It goes to -- under the CCA, we sent copies through to -- through Miss Andrea Rhodes at Illinois EPA.

And then at -- at Waukegan station those results get submitted to not only Andrea Rhodes but also to the permitting folks within -- within Illinois EPA. So at that point they're getting, I believe, three or four copies, basically, ending up in the same group at Illinois EPA.
Q. After looking at Joliet 29, as part of the CCA agreement, what did you assist in
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establishing at Joliet 29?
A. As part of the CCA agreement, KPRG assisted Midwest Generation with establishing or -or submitting and presenting the groundwater management zone and the -- I don't remember if there was an environmental land use control at Joliet 29 or not.
Q. For the groundwater management zone?
A. Yes.
Q. Which is commonly called a GMZ, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Is the GMZ still in effect?
A. As far as I understand, yes.
Q. And as far as you understand, Illinois EPA has never revoked the GMZ, correct?
A. That is my understanding.
Q. And as far as you understand, Illinois EPA hasn't had a problem with the GMZ, correct?

MS. BUGEL: Objection to all the
leading questions.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sustained.
MS. GALE: I'll rephrase.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Rephrase.
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BY MS. GALE:
Q. As far as you understand, has Illinois EPA ever contacted you about the GMZs?
A. Since -- since signing of the CCA and establishment of the GMZs?
Q. Yes.
A. No. No, they have not. I've never been provided any indication of anything with the GMZs or any noncompliance issues with the CCA rule -or, I'm sorry, with the CCA agreement.
Q. I want to now talk about Ponds 1 and 3, and if you could pull out Exhibit 1409.
A. All right.
Q. Just for the record, what is Exhibit 1409?
A. Exhibit 1409 is a notice of filing in the matter of petition of Midwest Generation for an adjusted standard from 845.740(a) and finding of incapability of Part 485 Joliet 29 station.
Q. Okay. And I want you to turn to Bates number -- and it's pretty far back. So you're gonna go probably nine-tenths of the way down, 119367, which would be Exhibit 19 of the petition.

MS. GALE: Mr. Hearing Officer, can we
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go off the record for a minute. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes.
(Discussion held off the record.) HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right. Back on the record. The parties have agreed we're gonna take a lunch until approximately 1:10 p.m.
(Whereupon, the hearing in the above-entitled cause was recessed to 1:10 p.m. this date.)

A F T ERNOON SESSION
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right.
It's about 1:15. We're back on the record. We may only go till 2:00 p.m. today, and that's due to potential outside activity in the Loop. But it's been agreed that this hearing will close no later than 2:00 p.m. Miss Gale, you may proceed with

Mr. Gnat direct.
MS. GALE: Thank you.
We need those binders. Sorry. Can we get the binders out?

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)
BY MS. GALE:
Q. Mr. Gnat, you have a binder in front of you?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. On the cover it should say: Gnat 2023 Client Book 1?
A. Yes.
Q. And just to orient everyone, can you flip to the first tab, please. And the first tab is -- just to orient everyone is an excerpt from the exhibit we just looked at, Exhibit 1303 -- or
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at least it -- the first tab looks to be an excerpt from this 1303, right?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And we discussed that before, so we can just move on.

Flip to the next tab. And it might be easier for you to flip to -- three pages in to describe what this is -- oh, sorry, to identify -for purposes of identification, the exhibit number is 1501.
(Respondent's Exhibit No. 1501 was introduced.)

BY MS. GALE:
Q. What is this?
A. I'm looking for a title here. It says: Before the Illinois Pollution Control Board, entry of appearance of Susan M. Franzetti?
Q. I'm sorry. The one, two, three -- three pages -- one more.
A. Okay. It's before the Illinois Pollution Control Board. This is Midwest Generation LLC's petition for an adjusted standard and a finding of inapplicability for the Joliet 29 station.
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Q. And for the purposes of the record, the document starts at MWG13-15 underscore 119367.

I'm gonna back up a bit. Actually, that's not true.

So I want you to turn to -- let's turn to Exhibits 19 and 20 of the petition, which start at 120498. And it's way in the back.

MS. GALE: For everybody here, truly,
it should look like this (indicating).
BY THE WITNESS:
A. Did you say 498?

BY MS. GALE:
Q. Yes. 120498.
A. 120498. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you see at 498 it says Exhibit 19?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. And what is Exhibit 19?
A. This is a memorandum from Josh

Davenport, PE, KPRG \& Associates, dated November 19th, 2020, subject being evaluation of sediment quantities in Joliet generating stations Pond 1 and 3 and Powerton generating station's service water basin.
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## in this document?

Q. Okay. So, Mr. Gnat, what happened here
A. My understanding is these three particular ponds, Pond --

MS. BUGEL: Hearing Officer, if I may
interrupt and object to this line of
testimony. This is written from Joshua
Davenport. And Mr. Gnat made it clear a couple days ago in testimony that when something was written by someone other than himself at KPRG, he wasn't able to testify to it. So I'm just gonna put in an objection that this memo is not admissible through this witness.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Miss Gale?
MS. GALE: Mr. Hearing Officer, I
could certainly lay the foundation that he's reviewed this document as part of his working with KPRG.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may do so. Lay a little foundation. MS. GALE: Yep.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.

[^44]BY MS. GALE:
Q. Mr. Gnat, have you reviewed this document before?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. And you reviewed it as part of your work for KPRG?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. And did you consult with Joshua Davenport when he was doing this -- this work?
A. Yes, we did discuss the project.
Q. All right. So, Mr. Gnat, again, Exhibit 19, which is at 120499, what was this project?
A. These three particular ash ponds or ponds, Ponds 1, Pond 3 at -- and Pond 3 at Joliet 29 station and service water basin at Powerton generating station, those were ponds that were being considered for -- for being included under these [sic] state rule and even under the federal rule, $I$ believe.

But it -- it was believed that these ponds were not -- or did not fit the requirements of being considered under those rules, and so there was a sampling program that was done. There was a

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
312-419-9292
\end{gathered}
$$

bathymetric study done on these ponds --
(Reporter interruption.)
THE WITNESS: Bathymetric,
$b-a-t-h-y-m-e-t-r-i-c$.
BY MS. GALE:
Q. And I'm gonna stop you right there.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. What is a bathymetric study?
A. A bathymetric study is a study that uses really sonar to identify the elevation of the top of the sediment in -- in the pond. And knowing that elevation, and knowing, based on construction documents, the base of the pond, you get an estimate of what the sediment thickness that's accumulating in that pond.

And so there was a bathymetric study done for those ponds. And then -- into to the areas where there was actually slightly more sediment that might be accumulating, not much sediment was -- was found, but slightly more sediment accumulating, those areas were then sampled for grain-size type analysis to get an idea of -- of what the nature of this material is.

## Q. Okay. And I'm gonna flip through to the

Page 120501. I'm gonna direct your attention to Joliet Pond 1 heading.
A. Yes.
Q. Excuse me. And I believe you said the material -- the bathymetric study evaluated a volume of material at the base of the pond. And then you said where there was material there, so what was the result of the bathymetric study?
A. I believe that's up here in -- in the first paragraph.

It was estimated to be approximately -the total quantity of material at the base of the pond was calculated to be approximately 5,124 cubic yards.
Q. And didn't it show an average depth of 1.5 feet?
A. Yes, up -- further up in that paragraph, the aver- -- average depth of -- of the material is about 1.5 feet, correct.
Q. And then could you turn to the next page, which is 120502.
A. Yes.
Q. The top heading: Grain size comparison of the material in Pond 1, so it says they compared

[^45]the grain size.
What did they compare the grain size to?
A. The grain size was -- was compared against, excuse me, that of -- of what would be considered ash.
Q. Okay. And they -- they compared it to the ash from where?
A. From pond -- from Joliet station 29.
Q. And what did the -- so looking at the bottom of that paragraph, last sentence, what did the -- what was the conclusion of the comparison?
A. The difference in the des- -- in the description of the material, and in the coarse -in the coarse and medium-sized -- medium sand size particles between Joliet 29 CCR and the Pond 1 material indicates that the composition of the material in Pond 1 is not $C C R$ material.
Q. Right. Okay. And, then, continuing on the same page for Joliet Pond 3, they also did a bathymetric survey of the Pond 3, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And what was the depth of material for that bathymetric survey?
A. That was estimated to be at an average
depth of about 2.4 feet.
Q. Oh. And then in the next paragraph there's a description of the material that was found in Pond 3. What was the material like?
A. The description here is: The material sampled in Pond 3 was black in color, was sticky/pasty in consistency, and had a silty clay feeling that when rubbed -- when rubbed between your fingers.
Q. What was its smell?
A. The material stuck to the gloves and the sampler during the process. The material had a sewer odor.
Q. Okay. And then flipping to the next page, under the grain-size comparison -- excuse me, grain-size comparison of material in Pond 3 -- do you see that on the next page?
A. Yes.
Q. And so what did they compare the material at the bass of Pond 3 to?
A. To Joliet 29 CCR.
Q. Okay. So let's go to the next paragraph and -- that last sentence of the next paragraph.

What did the -- Mr. Dav- -- what was it

[^46]noted about the characteristics of material in Pond 3?
A. They were fairly fine, almost -- I'm -I'm looking for the correct term here, that $I$ would use.
Q. Look at the last sentence.
A. It escapes me here, but -- well, the last sentence says: It should be noted that the characteristics of the material in Pond 3 are similar to that of suspended solids contained in a wastewater treatment plant.
Q. What does that mean?
A. In a wastewater treatment plant, you've got a collodial fraction to the -- that's the word I was looking for, to the material. And it -- it somewhat suspends itself. It doesn't completely just settle out to the bottom, so it suspends itself in the liquid towards the bottom.
Q. And I just want to flip back, since we missed it on the first round.

Go back to the Page 120501, under Joliet Pond 1.
A. Yes.
Q. And at the first sentence it has a
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description of the material that was found in Pond 1. What was it -- what was the material -- what was that description?
A. The material sampled in Pond 1 was black in color, was sticky/pasty in consistency, and had a silty and clay feeling when rubbed between your fingers.
Q. And then what was its smell? You could see in the last sentence there.
A. The material also had a sewer odor.
Q. Okay. Thank you. I'm sorry. So flipping back to 120503.
A. Yes.
Q. Under: Grain-size comparison of material in Pond 3, one, two -- third paragraph down, what was the conclusion of the nature of the settling of the material in Pond 3 ?
A. It's in the first sentence there is that it's -- it is not CCR.
Q. All right. Okay. Can we turn to Exhibit 20, which starts at 120515.
A. Yes.
Q. So what is this document?
A. This document is a memorandum from --

[^47]also from Joshua Davenport, engineer with KPRG, dated February 26th, 2021, sampling -- subject being sampling location discussion as part of evaluation of sediment quantities in Joliet generating stations Pond 1 and 3 and Powerton generating station's service water basin.
Q. Okay. And have you reviewed this document before?
A. A while ago, yes.
Q. A while ago?

When you reviewed it, did you talk about it with Mr. Davenport?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. So here -- back to Exhibit 19, which is at 120499 .

Ultimately, what was the conclusion about the material in Ponds 1 and 3?
A. In the previous -- in the previous exhibit?
Q. Correct.
A. Is that the material was not CCR based on the physical analyses.
Q. And do you know where this information -- who was -- where this information
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was submitted to?
A. My understanding this was submitted to Illinois EPA.
Q. And do you know what Illinois EPA -- if Illinois EPA agreed with that conclusion?
A. They -- I believe that they were agreeing with it, but they did have some questions and wanted some additional clarifications.
Q. Okay. And that is what Exhibit 20 is?
A. That is correct.
Q. And do you know if, according to Mr. Daven- -- well, do you know if those clarifications were sufficient for the Agency?
A. I do know that we went out and collected some additional samples to further address any of their concerns.

## Q. And did the Agency ultimately agree the

 material was CCR -- was not CCR?A. That is my understanding, yes.
Q. All right. You can put that aside.

MS. GALE: Mr. Hearing Officer,
Midwest Generation would move for the
admission of Exhibit 1501.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Bugel?

[^48]MS. BUGEL: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you.
(Respondent's Exhibit No. 1501 was received in evidence.)

BY MS. GALE:
Q. Mr. Gnat, we've -- you mentioned that the -- or we've discussed the federal and Illinois CCR rules. And I think you -- I think you've testified that you assist Midwest Generation with compliance with those rules, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So for compliance with the federal rules at the Midwest Gen's -- at the Joliet 29 station --
(Reporter interruption.)
BY MS. GALE:
Q. For compliance with the federal rules at Midwest Generation's Joliet stat- -- Joliet 29 stations, generally what does KPRG do?
A. With the federal rules, we first started with a collection of eight rounds of background samples from all four wells that were identified as part of the CCR monitoring network for Pond 2, which is the -- the pond regulated under the CCR

[^49]rule.
And, then, once we established -- or collected the eight rounds of -- of data, we calculated prediction limits for the seven Appendix III parameters, statistical prediction limits, 95 percent confidence interval, and then we initiated semiannual detection monitoring.

## Q. And what is "detection monitoring"?

A. That is the front part of the federal CCR monitoring program. Once you establish your background, there's seven parameters identified as Appendix III parameters. And they're -- they're somewhat used as -- as indicator parameters. So you sample on a semiannual basis and compare that value against your calculated background prediction limit for each constituent.

And if your -- your -- the sample is above that value, you have an opportunity to collect a verification sample to verify that that value was -- was representative. And if the second -- if that verification sampling is also above the prediction limit, then there -- the pathway within the regulation is -- is one of two options, the first option being you can try and

[^50]demonstrate, doing an alternate source demonstration, that the value above the prediction limit -- or the exceedance above the prediction limit --
(Reporter interruption.)
BY THE WITNESS:
A. (Continuing) -- Exceedance above the prediction limit is -- is associated with something other than the regulated unit, or you can move ahead into what's called assessment monitoring. BY MS. GALE:
Q. And for the detection monitoring, I believe you said a subset of parameters --

MS. GALE: I'm sorry.
BY MS. GALE:
Q. For the detection monitoring, I believe you said a smaller set of parameters. Now, this is not a memory test...
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. (Continuing) ...but approximately how many parameters are there?
A. Appendix III has seven parameters that you analyze for, and that's the detection monitoring portion.
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Q. All right. And then you said it -- if there's -- if the ASD is unsuccessful, it goes into assessment monitoring, right?
A. Correct.
Q. So what is that?
A. Assessment monitoring is a larger list of parameters that are called Appendix IV parameters. And those -- I believe there are 13 or 14 of them, one of which fluoride is also in the Appendix III list. That's one that's duplicated in both. Then you have this larger list of parameters.

And in assessment monitoring the common -- the process there is that if you first enter assessment monitoring, you sample all of the wells in your monitoring network for both your Appendix III and this largest list of Appendix IV parameters. And whatever is detected in the Appendix IV parameters you would go out and collect a second round of samples.

And for those parameters, you would calculate -- or develop a groundwater -- a site-specific groundwater protection standard.

And so what is -- or how do you get to
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the groundwater protection standard? You would first calculate the statistical background for that parameter, you know, based on your upgradient wells and take a look at that statistical background versus what the standard is in the federal rule for that, and it's generally set to the drinking water standard or maximum contaminant limit for that parameter.

So if your maximum contaminant level for the parameter is higher than what you calculate your background to be, the groundwater protection standard becomes equal to that higher value.

If your background was higher than the maximum contaminant limit, then the groundwater protection standard, again, being set to the higher value in that case, the background.
Q. Okay. And for the federal CCR rule, what -- on an annual basis, what reports do you prepare?
A. We would prepare a semiannual report. And then -- and on an annual basis, we prepare a annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report, I believe, is the -- the title of it, which covers the calendar year of -- of work --
compliance work for that unit. And those are, generally, due by -- I believe the date in -- in the rule is January 31st of the following year.
Q. And then we have the Illinois CCR rule at Joliet 29. What has KPRG done related to the Illinois CCR rule?
A. Similar work, except under the rules of the Illinois EPA. So we collected groundwater samples, tabulate the data, and -- and do the -- in Illinois is slightly different setup on -- on the rule. They're -- they don't distinguish between detection monitoring and assessment monitoring, they just require the fullest of parameters, which in the federal rule, are -- are described as Appendix III, Appendix IV. Illinois just has the full list of parameters. And then they also added a field parameter of turbidity.
Q. And under the Illinois CCR rule -- and I remember you discussed --
(Reporter interruption.)
MS. GALE: I'm sorry. I apologize.
BY MS. GALE:
Q. Under the Illinois CCR rule, I think I heard you say, earlier this week, that there are
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## 60-day reports?

A. Correct.
Q. What are those?
A. So under the Illinois rule, the way I read the rule is we -- we have quarterly sampling. And the last date of your sampling in the field basically starts a 60-day clock. And within those 60 days we are to receive the analytical data, tabulate it, and submit that to the Illinois EPA.
Q. So just so I understand, you have to submit the Illinois CCR rule data to Illinois EPA on a quarterly basis, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And then, under the Illinois CCR rule -and I believe that -- you know, what else have you prepared -- or assisted in preparing for Midwest Generation?
A. Under the CCR rule we also prepare the annual report. And, actually, under the CCA -under the Illinois rule -- the annual report's a little bit larger. It's kind of a compendium of various other inspection requirements, many of which KPRG itself does not do. There are other contractors that are contracted to do, however, you
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know, we're -- we were asked to, basically, provide the compendium, bring all those reports in, and make one submittal to Illinois EPA, an annual submittal, again, covering all the required inspections and whatnot for the year.

And that -- from a groundwater perspective, that includes an attachment, which is somewhat similar to what the federal requirement is in terms of -- it's -- I believe it's called the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action supplementary report for -- for the calendar year as well. And those are also due to Illinois EPA January 31st of the following year.

MS. GALE: If we could take a moment, Mr. Hearing Officer, we're gonna pull out the next binder.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may. We can go off the record for a second.
(Brief pause.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're on
the record. You may proceed.
Lucy, thank you.
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BY MS. GALE:
Q. Mr. Gnat, you have another binder in front of you?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Can you flip to the first page, please. And what is this?
A. This is a document entitled, Federal CCR Compliance Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report 2021, dated July 31st, 2022 .
Q. And who wrote this document?
A. KPRG did.
Q. Did you participate in its preparation?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Okay. And we just discussed what you did -- what you do for the Federal CCR rule. Is this one of the reports that you -- you prepare?
A. Yes, it is. And I -- I should have said this is for Joliet 29 station.
Q. And I believe you also mentioned that you prepare alternate source demonstrations; do you recall that testimony?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Can you please turn to Bates No. 144021.
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. Okay.
Q. What is on 114021?
A. This is the alternate source demonstration CCR groundwater monitoring Joliet No. 29 generation station dated October 11th, 2021.
Q. And what is an alternate source demonstration?
A. This was -- is part of detection monitoring under the federal rule.

In this particular case, some of the initial sampling indicated a potential statistically significant increase. And what I mean by that is that we sampled one of the seven detection limit parameters. Something came up above our calculated prediction limit. We resampled. We verified that that was above the limit, and so, therefore, it's a -- what's identified here as a potential statistically significant increase.

And in this case it was for total dissolved solids and sulfate --
(Reporter interruption.) BY THE WITNESS:
A. (Continuing) -- Total dissolved solids,

[^51]TDS, and sulfate for wells MW-3, MW-4, MW-5, and MW-10. MW-10 being our upgradient well; and chloride above its prediction limit at wells MW-5 and MW-10; calcium above the prediction limit at MW-10. And, again, it's noted MW-10 is the upgradient monitoring well.

BY MS. GALE:
Q. Okay. And -- so let's go back. You said a statistically significant increase. What is that compared to? What is that increase compared to? How do you come to an increase?
A. The val- -- comparison value is that prediction limit that's calculated based on -- on background, on the sampling of the upgradient monitoring wells in case -- in this case one well, MW-10, and the use of the eight rounds of background sampling data to calculate the statistical background. And then you compare groundwater data from your downgradient monitoring wells to that value.
Q. And is that prediction limit tied to an numeric standard?
A. No. No, it's not. It's strictly
background. It's not tied to any specific numeric standard. And, in fact, in many cases, if not in most cases, that value tends to be lower than -than the standard.
Q. Great. So let's flip to Table 1, which is on 114029.
A. Okay.
Q. So what does this table show?
A. This table is the -- a summary of the semiannual detection monitoring data for Joliet Station No. 29. And it provides all of the data to date under the federal rules starting at, excuse me, October of 2015 with the last particular sampling on this table being August of 2021 or that would be third quarter of 2021 .
Q. So as we were discussing prediction versus -- limit versus a standard, let's look at sulfate.

Tell me what the prediction limit for sulfate is, for example, in monitoring well 3 ?
A. Okay. So the prediction limit for sulfate for all three downgradient monitoring wells would be 160 -- would be 130 milligrams per kilogram -- milligrams per liter.
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Q. And off the top of your head, do you know the 620 standard for sulfate?
A. I believe it's 400 .
(Reporter interruption.)
BY MS. GALE:
Q. And off the top of your head, do you know the 620 standard for sulfate?
A. I believe it's 400.
Q. Okay. So looking at MW3 and the numbers below the prediction limit, some are bolded and underlined; do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And what does that indicate?
A. The bold underline indicates that that value is above the calculated background prediction limit.
Q. And, as you just said, the sulfate value is 400.

Are any of the numbers for sulfate in
MW-9 above 400?
A. In MW --
Q. I'm sorry. MW-
A. -- 3 --
Q. -- MW-3?

[^52]A. -- no, they are not.
Q. Thank you.
A. And that is also true for the other two downgradient wells.
Q. And, actually, look at the upgradient well, MW-10. What's going on there?
A. That is also not above the 400 .
Q. Okay. I want to, actually, turn back to the ultimate source demonstration. And I want you to turn to 114024.
A. Okay.
Q. What was the conclusion of the ultimate source demonstration?
A. The conclusion is that the noted potential statistically significant increases for TDS, sulfate, chloride, and calcium are not associated with a potential release from Pond 2 but, rather, an alternate transient source of impacts potentially from upgradient and offsite.
Q. And what is an alternate transient source?
A. It's something that's -- that's moving through the system, through -- through the groundwater system that, you know, could be just
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
312-419-9292
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

moving through and -- and subsequent concentrations decrease as -- as it moves through the system but not something from a consistent, ongoing source.
Q. And what -- at Joliet 29 Pond 2, what is directly upgradient of the Pond 2?
A. Directly upgradient of Pond 2 is

Channahon Road, known as U.S. Highway 6. It's a four-lane highway.
Q. And so the conclusion that it's based on an alternate transient source was based upon to four reasons. Generally speaking, what were those four reasons?
A. The first one being that there had been no CCR materials or associated liquids contained in Pond 2 since 2019. TDS, sulfate, and chloride concentrations were also noted above the prediction limit in the upgradient monitoring well MW-10, as well as in the downgradient wells.

Subsequent follow-up sampling during the third quarter of ' 21 showed concentrations of the above-noted parameters to have decreased in the subject wells and some actually reverting to concentrations below the prediction limit.

And calcium was only detected above the

[^53]prediction limit in the upgradient well itself -I'm doing some paraphrasing here, you know, not reading word-for-word, but the calcium was only detected in the upgradient well MW-10. And in the follow-up sampling in that well in the third quarter of ' 21 , calcium concentrations were again below the prediction limit.
Q. Now, I want to go back to transient. What it -- you said something moving through the system.

## In your experience is that -- is that

 common to see things move through a system?A. In urban environments, and especially northern urban environments, probably the most typical one that people can somewhat understand or equate to is road salting during the winter adds chlorides and -- and whatever other components of the road salt. Once the salt is dissolved, it -it percolates down through -- through the ground, and it -- it gets to groundwater. And so there's -- there's a transient nature of -- of that as it moves through groundwater.

And then you get into the summer months, you don't salt, and, you know, you may see some --
some seasonality or -- or something moving through the system at one point in time or another.
Q. And if you see a transient sor- -- or something moving through the system, I mean, does that require immediate action?
A. No. No, it doesn't.
Q. Why not?
A. Well, in this particular case, it's -it's not associated with the impoundment. So, I mean, the whole purpose of -- of this exercise is to determine as to whether or not the impoundment itself might be the cause of -- of -- of a release that we're seeing in our monitoring wells, in which case would require some type of a corrective action. A transient source you might not even know exactly what that source is.
Q. All right. Okay. Let's turn to the next tab, please.

What is this map?
A. Are we looking at the Exhibit 1503?
Q. Yes. I'm sorry, marked for
identification -- I'm sorry.
(Respondent's Exhibit No. 1503 was introduced.)
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MS. GALE: And before I do that, forgive me, Mr. Hearing Officer, Midwest Gen moves for the admission of 1502.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Bugel?
MS. BUGEL: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
BY MS. GALE:
Q. So turning to the next tab, which is marked for identification purposes as 1503, and the Bates number is 7944 -- excuse me.

The Bates number is MWG13-15 underscore 79442 .

Mr. Gnat, what is this figure?
A. This is a figure showing boring
locations around -- and two or three tem- -- TW locations, temporary well locations, around well -or in the vicinity of well MW-09. And this is at the Joliet 29 station.
Q. And I see MW-09 is in pink on this figure?
A. Correct.
Q. And to orient ourselves, in relation to the pond, what pond is MW-09 next to?
A. Pond 3. The pond you see just to the
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north there, that is Pond 3.
Q. And the circular things on the bottom of the figure, what are those?
A. That is the remnants of a former wastewater treatment plant there at the station and two, I believe, clarifiers.
Q. And I think you said there was -- this
is a figure of an investigation near -- up near monitoring well 9? Is that what you said?
A. Correct.
Q. What was the purpose of the

## investigation?

A. Monitoring well 9 was kind of a unique well. It was displaying some characteristics that weren't seen in any of the other 11 monitoring wells, well 9 being sampled as part of the CCA program. And none of the other CCA wells displayed this type of signature where, all of a sudden, we started seeing the pH of the water, which was, generally, if $I$ remember right, you know, in the neutral range 7, maybe a little bit -- 7 1/2. I don't know the exact range.

But all of a sudden the pH in this water started dropping. And I believe it got as low
as -- as $31 / 2$ or so, something like that, which is a fairly acidic pH for groundwater. And -- and we started seeing a handful of metals increasing in concentration associated with that decrease in the pH.
Q. And so -- and, I guess, I'll add, what was -- what was in Pond 3 at the time?
A. Pond 3 at the time was -- you know, it was not for CCR purposes. It was a ready -- it was a just a -- a water pond.
Q. And so --
A. A stormwater pond.
Q. I'm sorry.
A. Or -- or a pasture pond, however you want to call it. I don't know what the station uses quite -- quite honestly uses it for there, so...

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Speak up,
Mr. Gnat.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
(Reporter interruption.)
BY THE WITNESS:
A. I -- I'm not quite sure exactly what the station uses it for.
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Q. I think I heard you say, though, it doesn't contain CCR, right?
A. That is correct. We went through that discussion earlier in my testimony.
Q. And so the purpose of this investigation was to figure out what's going on in MW-9, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And so what did KPRG do?
A. Well, this -- this map says proposed boring locations. We actually went and implemented and drilled at these locations and collected soil samples for the analysis of sulfate and -- I'd have to take a look at what other parameters we might have analyzed for.

We also tried to install temporary wells to see if we encountered any water within the -within these materials to sample that water, but I believe that, for the most part, the water table was below the unconsolidated materials and so it was in the bedrock so we weren't able to collect water samples, if I remember correctly.

Certainly in two of them. I don't remember about a third one.
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## Q. I want you to turn to the next tab --

 MS. GALE: Actually, before we do that, Mr. Hearing Officer, Midwest Gen moves for the admission of Exhibit 1503.HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Bugel?
MS. BUGEL: I'm going to object to
this just on the grounds that it's -- I mean, my -- my question about it is, is it taken from another exhibit? The very pixelated nature of it suggests to me that it's -- came out of something bigger, and that's my concern.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Gale? MS. GALE: This was agreed.

Mr. Hearing Officer, my confusion is because we have an agreed list of exhibits, and this was on the agreed list of exhibits.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Bugel?
MS. BUGEL: And we did reserve some objections on the agreed list of exhibits to see what testimony established, and the discussion about this brought up my concern. HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. You know, I'm gonna let it in. The Board can
weigh it accordingly.
Mr. Gnat has testified thoroughly
what it is. So it's admitted over objection subject to Miss -- well, objection subject to, excuse me, Miss Bugel's objections. So that's admitted, Exhibit 1503, I apologize.

And, also, it's come to my attention that I did not say the magic words admitted for Exhibit 1502. So that's -- 1502 is admitted as well. Thank you.

You may proceed.
MS. GALE: Thank you.
(Respondent's Exhibit Nos. 1502 and 1503 were received in evidence.)
(Respondent's Exhibit No. 1504 was introduced.)

BY MS. GALE:
Q. Mr. Gnat, I would like you to flip to the next tab, which is marked -- and the exhibit's in there is marked for identification 1504. And it's at Bates No. MWG13-15 underscore 79341.

## What is this?

A. These are boring logs from the boring that were identified in -- on the previous figure
that we talked about.
Q. And a boring log, what is that?
A. This is the geol- -- the geologist -- as the drilling occurs, we're pulling core of the soil, and these are the observations of the geologist as to the nature of the material in the core as to the depth of the boring.
Q. Okay. So I want you to look at SB-1.
A. Yes.
Q. And you don't have to tell me all the layers, but within this boring log, is there any CCR?
A. No. There is no CCR logged in this boring log.
Q. Okay. Let's go to SB-2.

Same question: Within this boring log is there any CCR?
A. No, there is not.
Q. Next, SB-3. Within SB-3 is there any CCR in the boring log?
A. No, there is none in this boring log.
Q. $\mathrm{SB}-4 . \quad$ Is there any CCR in $\mathrm{SB}-4$ ?
A. Nothing there that -- that would suggest CCR, no.

[^54]Q. $\quad \mathrm{SB}-5$. Is there any CCR in $\mathrm{SB}-5$ ?
A. No, there is not.
Q. $\mathrm{SB}-6$. Is there any CRR in $\mathrm{SB}-6$ ?
A. No, there is not.
Q. $\quad \mathrm{SB}-7 . \quad$ Is there any CCR in $\mathrm{SB}-7$ ?
A. No, there is not.
Q. $\mathrm{SB}-8$. Is there any $C C R$ in $\mathrm{SB}-8$ ?
A. No, there is not.
Q. $\quad$ SB-9. Is there any $C R R$ in $S B-9$ ?
A. No, there is not.
Q. $\mathrm{SB}-10$. Is there any CCR in $\mathrm{SB}-10$ ?
A. No, there is not.
Q. $\mathrm{SB}-11$. Is there any CCR in $\mathrm{SB}-11$ ?
A. No, there is not.
Q. $\mathrm{SB}-12$. Is there any CCR in $\mathrm{SB}-12$ ?
A. No, there is not.
Q. $\mathrm{SB}-13$. Is there any $C C R$ in $S B-13$ ?
A. No.
Q. $\quad$ SB-14. Is there any $C C R$ in $S B-14$ ?
A. No, there is not.
Q. $\quad$ SB-15. Is there any $C C R$ in $S B-15 ?$
A. No, there is not.
Q. $\mathrm{SB}-16$. Is there any CCR in $\mathrm{SB}-16$ ?
A. No, there is not.

[^55]Q. And -- and this was logged by the driller during the -- excuse me. Logged by the driller during the drilling, right?
A. By a KPRG geologist. The drilling firm was Cabeno Environmental that we've used.
(Reporter interruption.)
BY THE WITNESS:
A. The drilling firm was Cabeno

Environmental that we've used in the past, but the geologist -- the KPRG geologist was -- was Aaron Bolson.

BY MS. GALE:
Q. Sorry. Thank you for the correction.

And did you also look at -- review the boring logs personally?
A. Yes, reviewed the boring logs as well as the samples. We had the samples also brought back to the office 'cause I -- I was interested in seeing them as well.
Q. All right.

MS. GALE: Mr. Hearing Officer,
Midwest Generation moves for the admission of 1504 .

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Bugel?

MS. BUGEL: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. Exhibit No. 1504 is admitted. (Respondent Exhibit No. 1504 was received in evidence.)
(Respondent's Exhibit No. 1505 was introduced.)

BY MS. GALE:
Q. Mr. Gnat, can you turn to the next tab on your page, please?
A. Yes.
Q. And, for identification purposes, this is labeled as 1505?
A. Yes.
Q. The document is Midwest -- excuse me, MWG13-15 underscore 124453.

Mr. Gnat, what is 1505 ?
A. These are the boring logs from those temporarily wells that I had mentioned when we were discussing the figure.
Q. And by temporary -- oh, right. Sorry. Never mind. Strike that. Strike that.

And looking at first boring well -boring number TW-1, looking through the column,
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once again, do you see any CCR?
A. No, I do not.
Q. And flipping the page to boring number TW-2, is there any CCR in that boring log?
A. No, there is not.
Q. Flipping the page once more to boring number $T W-3$, is there $C C R$ in that boring log?
A. No, there is not.
Q. And, Mr. Gnat, did you also personally review the boring logs and the soil from TW-1, 2, and 3 ?
A. Yes. Yes, I did.

And if I can just add, another important documentation for us on this was the -- the purpose of these wells -- these temp wells, so to speak, was that if we encountered water, to be able to sample that water. And these were extended down to 19 feet here, a little bit over, and they were terminated because we were at the top of bedrock, and we couldn't get further with the Geoprobe. And we did not encounter groundwater at -- at that point, so we were not able to collect a water sample as the -- all of the unconsolidated material was -- was not saturated.
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Q. I guess I'm gonna ask a question here: What is the difference between a temporary well like TW -- these TW-1, 2, and 3 and MW-9?
A. It's -- a monitoring well is constructed with speci- -- under specific requirements by the State, and it's intended for long-term groundwater monitoring and sample collection.

A temporary well's rea- -- is really
intended to be able to generate a groundwater sample for -- for screening purposes. And the -the meaning itself is very descriptive. It's a temporary well, so you would put in a -- a boring. In this case we were using a Geoprobe. We've got the hole there. And if we have water accumulating in that hole, you can put down -- and you can use various materials for this, but, basically, a temporary well that you put down so that you can actually sample the water that's collecting in the boring. And so you collect that sample.

Once you're done, you pull your -- your material out of the well and you pull back the casing and you abandon the boring. So it's very descriptive, its terms. A temporary is set up to pull a groundwater sample.
Q. And the MW-9 is permanent, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And why -- how does that make it different from a temporary? How is it permanent?
A. Well, a permanent well is -- is -- under regulations is at least a two-inch monitoring well. It's -- it's drilled with -- in a larger hole. You got specific requirements for the length of the screen that you're putting on, the -- the filter pack that you're putting around a well, how far above the screen you put your filter pack. Then you have the ceiling layer on that. And then you -- you backfill with grout. So it really establishes a well that's intended to stay there for an extended period of time and produce a representative sample.
Q. Thank you.

MS. GALE: Midwest Generation moves
for the admission of Exhibit 1505.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Bugel.
MS. BUGEL: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you,
Miss Bugel.
MS. WACHSPRESS: May we hear the magic
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word? Mr. Hearing Officer?
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry? MS. WACHSPRESS: May we hear the magic words?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Oh. The magic words are Exhibit No. 1505 is admitted with no objection.

Thank you for reminding me.
(Respondent Exhibit No. 1505 was received in evidence.)
(Respondent's Exhibit No. 1506 was introduced.)

BY MS. GALE:
Q. Mr. Gnat, can you please turn to -excuse me, the next document, which is marked for identification purposes as 1506.
A. Yes.
Q. And it starts at MWG13-15 underscore 79357.

Mr. Gnat, what is this?
A. As I'm flipping through it, this is the analytical report -- Eurofins is the analytical lab
laboratory that Midwest Generation has a contract with. So any of our samples that we collect are
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generally sent to Eurofins.
This is their analytical report for a set of -- a subset of samples from the study that we just talked about. And, specifically, for samples from the east clarifier, the west clarifier, and from temporary well borings that we just reviewed from depths 3 feet, 4 feet, and 12 feet and 14 feet at each of those locations, temp well 1, 2 , and 3.
Q. Great. And what is the -- what are the east and west clarifiers?
A. In the aerial photograph that we saw where the boring -- where the borings were located, you had asked about what those two circular things are, that's the old -- part of the old wastewater treatment plant. Those are the clarifier and then east/west being descriptive of -- the east clarifier being the one to the east, the west clarifier being the one to the west.
Q. And you are looking at Exhibit 1503, right?
A. Exhibit 1503, yes.
Q. And without going through each and every -- well, I guess let's do this.

For the east and west clarifier, generally, what was the result?
A. The analyses that we chose here, iron, manganese, sulfate, and pH were really focused to some of the issues --
(Reporter interruption.) THE WITNESS: I'm so sorry. BY THE WITNESS:
A. Where -- analyzed specifically for the parameters of iron, manganese, sulfate, and pH . And those are some of the specific issues at that well MW-9 that this was part of the support of, trying to evaluate the -- the anomaly in that well. And so we've got the data for the east clarifier, the west -- and the west clarifier, and iron being . 68 milligrams per liter; the manganese . 1 milligrams per liter; sulfate 87 milligrams per liter; and a pH of 7.6.

Now, I should note I just gave milligrams per liter, which are water units. And -- and, basically, what -- what this was was water within these -- residual water that was left in these clarifiers. That's what we sampled.

[^56]BY MS. GALE:
Q. Thank you.

## And what is a neutral pH ?

A. Seven -- p- -- pH is a scale for acids and bases. Acids being lower numbers, bases being higher numbers, seven being considered neutral.
Q. So, for example, the west clarifier has a pH of 7.6. What does that mean?
A. Basically, it's considered a pretty neutral value.
Q. Okay. Okay. So let's turn to the -and we don't -- again, we don't need to flip through each and every single sample results; but if you were to flip through TW-1 through TW-3, what were the results of the analysis of the soil?
A. The soil we focused on sulfate and pH , and the values here that are provided in the lab package are specifically for sulfate and pH. And so for temp well 1, we had two samples. And the sulfate values there were 400 to -- and 180 pH ranging from 7.5 to 8.4. 7.5 being, generally, you know, fairly neutral; 8.4 moving towards the basic or alkaline end of the scale.
Q. And if I remember correctly, you

[^57]
## testified about the conditions at MW-9. What was -- what was that --

A. The $\mathrm{p}-$--
Q. What was that pH ?
A. I'm sorry. The groundwater in that well -- and one of the reasons that we started taking a look at it was that the pH was dropping. It was dropping well below -- below the neutral, and I think I -- I think I had said previously as low as something -- as 3.5 or something like that, so quite -- quite an anomaly.

MS. GALE: Mr. Hearing Officer,
Midwest Generation moves for the admission of Exhibit 1506.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Bugel?
MS. BUGEL: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
Midwest Exhibit 1506 is admitted; no objection.
(Respondent Exhibit No. 1506 was received in evidence.)
(Respondent's Exhibit No. 1507 was introduced.)
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BY MS. GALE:
Q. Mr. Gnat, can you flip to the next tab, please, which has been marked for identification purposes as Exhibit 1507, and it is located at Bates No. MWG13-15 underscore 79381. What is this?
A. This is, again, an analytical report from Eurofins, the laboratory contracted by Midwest Generation. And looking at the sample summary, it is for the soil sample analysis from the samples collected from the borings that we went through all the boring logs for.
Q. And so that is borings 1 through 16, right?
A. That is correct. I'm just checking to see if everything is accounted for. One, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten.
(Brief pause.)
BY THE WITNESS:
A. Yes.

BY MS. GALE:
Q. Okay. Mr. Gnat, without going through each and every sample -- and I'll give you a moment to flip through. Generally speaking, what was the
pH of the boring -- of the soils?
MS. BUGEL: I'm sorry. I'm gonna object to the question. It's very hard to understand, if there are individual samples, how there can be a general result.

MS. GALE: Okay. We'll go through every single one.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sounds good. Sustained.

BY MS. GALE:
Q. Mr. Gnat, can you turn to -- it's -well, it's page 6 of 61, MWG13-15 underscore --
(Reporter interruption.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can you slow, please?

MS. GALE: Yeah, I apologize.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
BY MS. GALE:
Q. Page 6 of 61 , MWG13-15 underscore 79386.

Are you there?
A. Yes.
Q. What sample is this?
A. Sample SB-14 from 3 to 4 inches.
Q. And by 3 to 4 inches, what does that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
312-419-9292
\end{gathered}
$$

mean?
A. That probably should be 3 to 4 feet.

Let me go back and take a look. There might be a misprint on that.

No, that is inches. So 3 to 4 inches.
Q. So what does that -- so that's how far below the ground?
A. Three to four inches below the surface.
Q. All right. And what is the pH ?
A. $\quad 7.4$.
Q. And what is the sulfate?
A. 4,000 milligrams per kilogram.
Q. And what is the manganese?
A. 250 milligrams per kilogram.
Q. What is the iron?
A. 19,000 milligrams per kilogram.
Q. Okay. Flip to the next page. What is this?
A. From SB-5, so boring 5, sample from a depth of 12 to 14 feet.
Q. And what's the pH ?
A. pH is 8.2.
Q. What's the sulfate?
A. 140 .

[^58]Q. Is there a standard by which these are compared to?
A. In this case, you know, we were collecting these data, and there are standards that you can compare soils and so on to, however, this data was passed on. We somewhat did a collection and tabulation of the data, but we were not specifically doing any interpretations on it. That was passed on to another consultant.
Q. Got it. Okay.

Let's flip to the next one, $S B-5,3$ to 4 feet.
A. Yes.
Q. What was the pH there?
A. 7.9.
Q. Turn to the next one, SB-4, 3 to 4 feet, what was the pH ?
A. 8.0.
Q. $\mathrm{SB}-4,12$ to 14 feet, what was the pH ?
A. 8.5.
Q. SB-3, 3 to 4 feet, what was the pH ?
A. 8.3.
Q. $S B-3,12$ to 14 feet, what's the $p H$ ?
A. 8.4.
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Q. $\mathrm{SB}-2,3$ to 4 feet, what is the pH ?
A. 8.0.
Q. SB-2, 11 to 15 feet, what is the pH ?
A. 11 to 13 feet. 8. --
Q. I'm sorry.
A. -- 4 .
(Reporter interruption.)
BY THE WITNESS:
A. $\quad 11$ to 13 feet.

BY MS. GALE:
Q. And did you answer the question?
A. 8.4.
Q. Thank you.

SB-1, 3 to 4 feet, what is the pH ?
A. 8.4.
Q. SB-1, 12 to 14 feet --
A. 8- --
Q. What is the pH ?
A. 8.2.
Q. $\mathrm{SB}-1,12$ to 14 feet, what is the pH ?
A. I'm sorry. What page are you on?
Q. I'm sorry. I'm at SB- -- I'm at Page 16
of $61, \mathrm{SB}-1,12$ to 14 feet?
A. I flipped two pages by accident.

A. 8.2.
Q. $\mathrm{SB}-15,3$ to 4 feet, what is the pH ? A. $\quad 9.1$.

MS. BUGEL: Hearing Officer, if I may interrupt. Now the witness is just reading the results into the record.

And just for the record I did have an objection to the -- asking a question about the generality, but we've also stipulated to this exhibit coming in. I don't think the witness needs to read it in. It's dragging on, but...

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm confused with your objection and your earlier one about the generality. And Miss Gale was saying, okay, do you want to read them individually into the record and -- but if you've agreed to it, I don't...

MS. BUGEL: We've agreed to the
exhibit. It doesn't need to be read into the record. That -- my objection earlier was to generalizing, you know, lab result- -individual lab results. And this -- this witness is a fact witness, not an expert

[^59]witness. He can't give opinions on
generalized lab results.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right.
So you don't need Midwest to go through all the SBs --

MS. BUGEL: No, we don't. I mean, it's certainly, you know...

MS. GALE: Mr. Hearing Officer, I was simply trying to establish relevancy by when I asked him generally what are -- are the pH that we're seeing in here in these soil results. I was objected to --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah.
MS. GALE: -- then, so I went through the exercises.

MS. BUGEL: Ms. Gale doesn't need to ask every single page. We will agree that this provide- -- that these lab results provide pH results. The whole thing doesn't need to be read into the record to establish relevance. It's just an exercise in exhaustion.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm okay with it, but -- all right. So...

[^60]MS. GALE: I was trying -- I wanted to establish the pH levels. I originally tried to do it in a general sense and having him flip through and try to get a range. That was objected to --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: That's --
MS. GALE: -- and so we went through this exercise.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: That's what I thought.

So do we need to do anything else, Miss Bugel?

MS. BUGEL: We do not need to do anything else to establish the pH levels established by the document.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
MS. GALE: Mr. Hearing Officer, may I, though, ask for a generality range from him so we have an understanding of what the range of these samples are?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: And your objection is...

MS. BUGEL: I don't have an objection to establishing the range or -- I do not have

[^61]an objection to establishing the range.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Please ask your question, Miss Gale.
Thank you, Miss Bugel.
BY MS. GALE:
Q. And, Mr. Gnat, understanding that you have not looked at every single one recently, generally speaking, what have you seen in a range of pHs?
A. I'll tell you here in a second.
7.4 was the lowest pH ; 9.1 was the highest pH ; and all other values between.
Q. Thank you, sir.
A. Can I make one clarification on this --
Q. Please.
A. -- data package?

At -- in regards to that very first sample, $S B-14$, where on the lab sample summary page it has 3 to 4 inches and on their -- on their lab data sheet it has 3 to 4 inches, everything else we were sampling at the 3- to 4-foot range, and so that bugged me a little bit. I went into the chain of custody, which is the chain that's filled out in the field by the sampler and signed by the sampler
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and then signed off by the lab as they received it.
In the chain of custody, that sample is SB-14 from the 3- to 4-foot depth level, not the 3to 4-inch depth level. So that is a typo in the lab as they entered that sample. So that should be SB-14, 3 to 4 feet in terms of the sample interval as opposed to 3 to 4 inches.
Q. Great. And that chain of custody is located at MWG13-15 underscore 79428, right?
A. Correct.

MS. GALE: Mr. Hearing Officer, it's
2:30, and I'm moving on to a different section.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Did you move for 1507?

MS. GALE: Oh, I'm sorry. I have one more question to ask.

BY MS. GALE:
Q. Mr. -- back to the Exhibit 1507. You know, I asked you for a range of the pHs.

Again, what does that -- comparing to
neutral, what does that range mean?
A. In a generally neutral range to more basic range alkaline, certainly not towards the
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MS. GALE: Thank you.
And thank you for the reminder, Mr. Hearing Officer.

I move to admit Exhibit 1507.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Bugel?
MS. BUGEL: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
You know, I think we can go on. Obviously, I can't multitask. I was trying to look to see of any updates regarding the Loop activity, but I think -- I think we're good till 3:00. Is that okay?

MS. WACHSPRESS: We're having a computer problem. We're just gonna need a minute for it to reboot.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
We're still on the record, Lucy.
And I concur with Miss Bugel's concerns that, you know, if we don't keep pushing now, we may not finish in the next five days.
(Respondent's Exhibit No. 1508 was introduced.)

[^62]BY MS. GALE:
Q. Mr. Gnat, can you please turn to the next tab?
A. Yes.
Q. Which is marked for identification purposes as Exhibit 1508?
A. Yes.
Q. What is this?
A. This is a 2018 inspection summary letter dated November 5th, 2018 in regards to the Joliet 29 former ash burial -- area runoff inspection 2018.
Q. And I believe this was discussed in the first hearing. These are walkover inspections.

What do you do for these inspections?
A. My understanding is that this is an annual -- a visual inspection that's required under the stormwater permit for the facility. And I -- I walk the entire length of the bank -- embankment along what's been called the northeast area of the Joliet 29 site and visually inspect the bank from the station property on the west side all the way to the east side of their property.

And then $I$ also walk a little ways in
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off the bank to kind of see the -- you know, if there are any erosional rails or any features that might be of -- of concern or focusing runoff in a cer- -- certain direction that may then affect the embankment. So that's the purpose of this site walk.

MS. GALE: And before I go on,
Mr. Hearing Officer, I don't believe we heard the magic words for 1507.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right. Midwest Exhibit 1507 is admitted. No objection.

I thought I did. I apologize. Thank you.

MS. GALE: Thank you, sir.
(Respondent Exhibit No. 1507 was received in evidence.)

BY MS. GALE:
Q. And when -- when you do these inspect- -- excuse me, these inspections, when do you do you them?
A. They're done annually. And this one was done here in November. Earlier on I used to do them in August. And, quiet honestly, in the middle

[^63]of August and the humidity humid and heat, quite honestly, and the intense, overgrown jungle, you know, I started doing them with a little more forethought after the leaf drop and also provides a better visual inspection.
Q. And in this November 5, 2018 inspection, what did you see?
A. In terms of the bank, I did not identify any erosional features that were of concern. Any of the areas that might have been previously repaired were holding very well, and I did not notice any type of seeps or anything like that along the entire length of that embankment.
Q. And so following your inspection you prepared this document?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And then what do you do with the document?
A. I submit this to Midwest Generation. In this case Mr. Peter O'Day was still working with Midwest Generation, since retired.

MS. GALE: Mr. Hearing Officer,
Midwest Generation moves for the admission of
1508.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Bugel?
MS. BUGEL: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
Exhibit 1508 is admitted; no objection.
(Respondent Exhibit No. 1508 was received in evidence.)
(Respondent's Exhibit No. 1509 was introduced.)

BY MS. GALE:
Q. Mr. Gnat, can you turn to the next page, please?
A. Yes.
Q. This has been marked for identification purposes as 1509. And it's located at MWG13-15 underscore 79316.

What is this?
A. This is the same document -- the same type of document that we just covered for 2018, except this is the 2019 inspection summary letter dated November 4, 2019.
Q. And you conducted the inspection?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. What did you see?

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
312-419-9292
\end{gathered}
$$

A. The same as -- as the previous year. I did not identify any erosional features of concern that I felt needed to be addressed. Any previous repairs were holding well, and I did not see any evidence of any seepage or seeps along the entire length of that embankment.

MS. GALE: Mr. Hearing Officer,
Midwest Generation moves for the admission of
Exhibit 1509 .
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Bugel?
MS. BUGEL: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. Exhibit 1509 is admitted; no objection.
(Respondent Exhibit No. 1509 was received in evidence.)
(Respondent's Exhibit No. 1510 was introduced.)

BY MS. GALE:
Q. Mr. Gnat, can you please flip to the next tab, please, and you'll see what's been marked for identification purposes Exhibit 1501 [sic].
A. Yes.
Q. 1510.
A. Yes.
Q. And it is Bates No. MWG13-15 underscore 79317?
A. Yes.
Q. What is this?
A. This is my 2020 inspection summary letter, and $I$ just noticed a typographic error here. It says November 6, 2019. That should have been November 6, 2020. And, again, this is the summary letter for that annual inspection.
Q. And what did -- what did you find in your inspection?
A. Just quickly reading through it, the same as -- as the previous year, did not identify any areas that $I$ thought needed to be addressed relative to erosional features. And I also noted -- doing these walks I did not see any seeps over the entire length of that property.
Q. You know, I'm gonna ask this question now: How big is that property?

MS. BUGEL: Objection. What are you
referring to with that property?
MS. GALE: I'm sorry.
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BY MS. GALE:
Q. How big is the northeast area?
A. I believe the size of that northeast area, plus or minus, 40 acres.
Q. So how long do these -- do these inspections take?
A. Several hours.

MS. GALE: Midwest Gen moves for the admission of Exhibit 1510.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Bugel?
MS. BUGEL: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right.
Exhibit 1510 is admitted; no objection.
(Respondent Exhibit No. 1510 was received in evidence.)
(Respondent's Exhibit No. 1511 was introduced.)

BY MS. GALE:
Q. Mr. Gnat, I would like you to flip to the next document, which has been marked for identification purposes Exhibit 1511. It's identified as MWG13-15 underscore 108394.
A. Yes.
Q. What is this document?

[^64]A. Same as the previous two except for the calendar year 2020; the inspection summary letter for the Joliet 29 area there that we were talking about.
Q. And what did you see in this inspection?
A. The same as the previous two years there, that there were no erosional features of concern. Previously repaired areas were -- were holding well and that -- I certainly did not see any seeps along the entire length of my inspection. MS. GALE: Mr. Hearing Officer,

Midwest Generation moves for the admission of 1511.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Bugel?
MS. BUGEL: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
Exhibit 1511 is admitted; no objection.
(Respondent Exhibit No. 1511 was received in evidence.)
(Respondent's Exhibit No. 1512 was introduced.)

BY MS. GALE:
Q. And, Mr. Gnat, can you please turn to Exhibit 1512 -- that's been marked for

[^65]
## identification purposes as Exhibit 1512?

A. Yes.
Q. And it's located at MWG13-15 underscore 124452?
A. Correct.
Q. And what is this?
A. This is the annual inspection summary for 2022 that was dated -- that's dated November 3rd, 2022.
Q. And what did you see at this inspection?
A. Overall, very similar to the previous inspections. We didn't see really any features of immediate concern. I didn't see any seeps, however, we did note that there was one area just on the -- just east of the fence line of the plant that looked like it was starting to develop a little bit more erosion. It was -- it was -- it's all sandy gravel fill on that embankment there. So it's all sand and gravelly-type material, but we were starting to see, perhaps, some toe erosion right there at the -- at the water intersection. And we say, you know, let's -- let's take a look at this after the spring snow melt.

## Q. What's "toe erosion"?
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A. Well, basically, what $I$ meant here is at the toe of the bank, so right where it met at -- at the water and bank interface in terms of the bank channel there.
Q. Got it. Thank you.

And you say there -- there as you -potential toe erosion, but you saw -- what did you not see?
A. Certainly did not see any ash. I mean, it was strictly the sand and gravel material there at the -- at the bank itself.
Q. And I believe you have on your screen now a page from Exhibit 667 that was admitted in the first phase of the hearing. Do you see that map?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay. So if you can describe to us the location of the toe erosion -- anyway, you can use the words -- I think you said it was the east side.

Where did you see that toe erosion?
A. Okay. So if -- if you can orient yourself to where Pond 3 is located...
Q. Yes.
A. (Continuing) ...and then go south until

[^66]you see the heavy black line, which is the property boundary.
Q. $\quad \mathbf{M m}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
A. And then go east along that property line, and you'll kind of see a darker, vegetated area, almost like a triangle of an area, and right where that bottom left of the tri- -- bottom right of that triangle, that's where there's an access gate that you -- that -- basically, there's a fence that -- that isolates the plant area itself.

And then the area to the east of that is -- is the large -- or is the 40 -acre area. Right there at that fence line, as you go over, oh, maybe five, ten feet past that fence line right there, where the inlet water is that's kind of right at that bank, that's where this particular observation was made.
Q. Got it.

And you said "inlet water." That's
right next to the in- -- intake channel?
A. Correct.
Q. Thank you.

Mr. Gnat, we're looking at this map that
I previously admitted at Exhibit 667 in the first

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
312-419-9292
\end{gathered}
$$

phase of this hearing.
Do you see there's a property boundary?
A. Yes.
Q. And I -- well, you were here this week. Is that property boundary around the northeast area, correct?
A. Our map is -- is flawed, like many other historical maps that -- that people have used and that we have used; but, apparently, there's a strip of this property that goes from Brandon Road, which is on -- the road on the east side that goes along that intake -- or right there is where the lock is, so it's along that channel boundary, I don't know, maybe a good 900, 1,000 feet or so, I believe something like that. Apparently, there's about a --

## Q. Did you say 900,000 feet?

A. No, 900 or 1,000 feet.
Q. Okay.
A. Exact length I don't know, but, apparently, there is a strip of this land that's actually owned by the State or Army Corps of Engineers or someone, but, apparently, it's -- it's not Midwest Generation property.
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Q. Great.
A. Can -- can I just do one follow-up on -on this thing about the -- in this report we said that we would reinspect this feature in the spring.
Q. Yeah.
A. Which we did. And we felt when we did our inspection we put some -- some flag stakes in the ground so that we have a comparison as to how this feature looked from the fall to the spring, and it looked, for the most part, the same; but it looked like, perhaps, it might have expanded a little bit, so we -- I literally, over this week, in my email, got a quote from the contractor we use to assist in fixing these things, and we -- we have a quote for them to go ahead and -- and do a repair in that area.

## Q. Great. And then, when you went back

 this spring, did you see any ash?A. I -- I did not look at it. I got a picture of it, and one of our engineers looked at it, but there was no ash, no.

MS. GALE: Mr. Hearing Officer, in
case I forgot, Midwest Generation moves to admit Exhibit 1512.

[^67]HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Bugel?
MS. BUGEL: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 1512 is admitted; no objection.
(Respondent Exhibit No. 1512 was received in evidence.)
(Respondent's Exhibit No. 1513 was introduced.)

BY MS. GALE:
Q. Mr. Gnat, turn to the next group -- or pages, which is marked for identification purposes as Exhibit 1513?
A. Yes.
Q. There are pictures. Do you recognize these pictures?
A. Yes. These are some of my pictures from my site walk.
Q. From 2021, right?
A. From 2021.
Q. Okay. Let's start with the first
picture, which -- well, it looks like it has a power plant in the right-hand side.

What does this show?
A. That's a look of the area looking back
towards the Midwest Generation power plant that's -- so we're looking west right now.

To the left of this would be the intake channel at this point, and -- yeah, and this is looking west.
Q. And I will just --

MS. BUGEL: Kristen, can I interrupt
for a second?
Are there Bates numbers on these pictures.

MS. GALE: I was just going to tell
him what they are.
BY MS. GALE:
Q. So I was going to say, for the record, that this is page -- Bates number is MWG13-15 underscore 108285. It is very hard to see on this document, but that is what it is.

Okay. And you said this is looking west; is that right?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. And what does this picture show?
A. This is still within that fence line that I talked about just -- just previously, so we're east -- we're west of that fence line. This

[^68]is part of that west embankment to the intake channel -- I'm sorry, the north embankment to that intake channel. The intake channel would be to the left here, you know, heavily vegetated.
Q. And when you say "heavily vegetated," have you ever seen an indication of stressed vegetation?
A. No, I have not.

MS. BUGEL: Objection; foundation.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Gale?
MS. GALE: Well, I guess what -- and he walks it, so he observes the place on an annual basis.

MS. BUGEL: You haven't established
that he knows what stressed vegetation looks like.

MS. GALE: Fair enough.
BY MS. GALE:
Q. Mr. Gnat, have you seen stressed vegetation before?
A. I have seen some stressed vegetation at some other sites I've been involved with, yes.
Q. What does stressed vegetation look like at other sites you've been involved with?
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A. Not being a biologist or a botanist or anything, but stressed vegetation, at least from what I've seen, would be bushes, shrubs, or trees that their foliage is either dying or not as thick you would anticipate that same type of bush or tree would be; grass that's having a hard time growing or reestablishing itself or establishing itself on the ground.

That's, generally, what I -- I would view as stressed vegetation if somebody asked me.
Q. And at the Joliet 29 station, when you walk through it, do you see that sort of stressed vegetation?
A. No, I do not. Recognizing right now that this picture is taken in fall, so a lot of leaves are down off the trees and so on; but having been at this station and doing the site walk in the middle of summer as well, it's -- it can get like a jungle.
Q. All right. Turn to the next photo, which is Bates No. MWG13-15 108293.
A. Yes.
Q. What does this photo depict?
A. This is a photo of the bank. This would
already be the bank along the Des Plaines River.
This picture is looking to the -towards the east, so I'm walking east along the -the embankment looking down towards the river. And this is, basically, the embankment.

I don't know what we can say here. I -there are no seeps here. I -- I guess a -- a little note here is, as you can see, a lot of the dolomite cobble and so on, very typical of the entire embankment, which would be consistent with any type of dredging or whatnot during the construction of the -- of the lock-and-dam system there and so on.

You know, it would be what you would expect in that type of a situation, have -- have seen that before and -- along banks in urban areas as well.
Q. Okay. Can you flip to the next photo, which has some trees. What -- which would -- that is MWG13-15 underscore 108305.

What is this?
A. Again, another picture walking further to the east along the embankment. You know, I try and take pictures going along the full thing to
document and if anybody questions me, that I've got -- that I've walked this visually and so on.

And, again, I think -- I mean, a little hard to tell, I guess, in this picture; but you'll see some of the cobble-type embankment there, and, you know, heavily vegetated.
Q. And -- and you say the embankment. That's the river, the sort of blue thing --
A. Right, the Des Plaines River there in the background.

## Q. Turning to the next photo, which is

 MWG13-15 underscore 108316?A. Yes.
Q. What does this depict?
A. This -- as I said, as I walk the bank, I also walk further up within the area to just kind of check on the -- on the vegetation and -- and if there's anything peculiar that jumps out at me in terms of anything.

So, again, a pretty typical picture of what the area looks like, very heavy grass vegetation, some larger vegetation as well, areas of -- of heavy -- of heavier brush.

In this particular case, you see this
somewhat tramped down feature. I checked that. You know, when I see something like that, I check that's not any type of erosional rail feature. That was actually an animal trail, probably a -- a deer trail. We -- we do spook a lot of deer when we walk on -- on these -- on these inspections.
Q. And does this sort of depict the jungle you were describing?
A. Yes. That's still -- that's still easy.
Q. I'm sorry. What did you say?
(Reporter interruption.)
BY THE WITNESS:
A. That's still easy -BY MS. GALE:
Q. $\quad \mathrm{Oh}$.
A. -- in terms of open.
(Reporter interruption.)
THE WITNESS: That's still easy in
terms of open.
BY MS. GALE:
Q. If you can turn to the next page, which
looks to be a few more rocks and is near the --
well, you see the river. And it's MWG13-15
underscore 108349?
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A. Yes. This is another picture of my walk continuing further to the east. Again, another picture of the embankment looking very similar with some of that dolomite cobble -- cobbles and so on, some -- some grasses right there at the toe of the embankment and the river itself.

## Q. What is dolomite?

A. Dolomite is the native bedrock there in the area. The quarries in the area are all quarrying the dolomite. And it's a type of a -it's not quite a limestone. It's a -- a magnesium -- more magnesium-rich limestone.
Q. And you've said the word "cobble." What

## is cobble?

A. Cobble is a -- kind of a size, so chunk of a cobble, about that big, you know, broken up rock, you know, cobble size (indicating.)

## Q. What did you say?

A. About that big, so, in this case, we're looking at cobbles, $I$ don't know, six, eight inches, a foot or so on. You know, and you get much bigger, I guess we'll call them boulders. It's -- it's a relative grain size, I guess.

## Q. It's a rock?
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A. Yes.
Q. Turn to the next photo, which is MWG13-15 108351. What does this depict?
A. Again, another picture further east in my walk. Again, the -- the embankment, in this case, a little heavier vegetation, a little heavier brush along the embankment. You can still see the rock cobble along there and the river in -- in the background there.
Q. And that darker stuff, that's not coal, is it?
A. No. No. No, that's not --

MS. BUGEL: Objection; leading.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sustained. BY MS. GALE:
Q. What's the darker stuff?
A. The darker stuff is -- is dirt, just soil, black soil. It's certainly not -- not any CCR material or coal even.
Q. Turn to the next photo, which is MWG13-15 underscore 108350.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 350?
MS. GALE: Correct.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.

[^69]BY THE WITNESS:
A. Yes.

BY MS. GALE:
Q. What are we looking at here?
A. The same, the embankment towards
almost -- I believe this area's almost towards the east end of -- of my walk area. And, again, pictures of the cobbles and some of the vegetation there. You can see the river water in the background. And, again, any of this dark area that you might see here, that's just soil.
Q. And the next photo, MWG13-15 108352.
A. Yes.
Q. What does this depict?
A. Again, a little bit further to the east along my walk, and, again, a picture of the embankment, cobbles, some vegetation, the river itself.
Q. Last one. Final picture MWG- -- excuse me. MWG13-15 underscore 108375.

What does this depict?
A. This is the eastern side of -- of that property. Again, now starting to walk back to the west after having walked along the shoreline and

[^70]slowly gonna start moving my way back west a little bit further up to inspect some of the more interior areas of the site and, again, showing the grasses and some -- you know, the trees and so on.

MS. GALE: Mr. Hearing Officer,
Midwest Generation moves for the admission of Exhibit 1513.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Miss Bugel?
MS. BUGEL: No objection but just a point of inquiry.

Is it possible to get -- my concern is that you can't see the Bates numbers at all.

MS. GALE: Oh, we can handle that off the record.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you.

No objection; Exhibit 1513 is admitted.
(Respondent Exhibit No. 1513 was received in evidence.)

MS. GALE: Mr. Hearing Officer, it is
3:00 p.m.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

We'll go off the record for a minute.
(Discussion held off the record.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're back
on the record, Lucy. Thank you.
BY MS. GALE:
Q. Mr. Gnat, we've put on the screen the Joliet 29 map again, which is part of Exhibit 667.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall in your -- the first phase of the hearing you discussed sampling of the area on the northwest side of the station?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And, for the record, that sampling was Exhibit 293, which was entitled the Revised Joliet 29 CCB report.

Looking at your screen, which has the boundary map of the Joliet 29 station from Exhibit 667, where is the northeast area that you sampled?
A. The northwest area.
Q. I'm sorry. The northwest area.
A. Okay. If can you orient yourself, you can see the main roadway along the north side of the plant, that's U.S. 6, also known as Channahon

Road.
You can -- in the central portion of -you can see the -- the main site boundary. On the right side there, you see the three ponds we talked about. Then in the middle, that's the plant itself. And then you see the large black area there, that's the coal pile.

You will see, along the coal pile, there will be a road on the north that kind of S's around. Then -- then you've got a roadway towards the top on the -- on the property there, and then off of that road, there's a little access, and it seems like to have a white splay in there. That's, actually, pretty gravelly material; but, basically, to the west of that access road and splay area, to the west you see somewhat of a green-shaded area there, that's that northwest area.
Q. Great.
A. To my recollection.
Q. Very good.

And to your recollection what -- and when you did that study, what was -- what were the majority of the results?

[^71]A. The -- the purpose of that study was to determine whether the ash that was placed in that area would qualify as a coal combustion by-product. And we collected it and sampled using the neutral leach, which is required by the State of Illinois for this type of characterization. And almost all the samples, except one or two, I believe, that -basically passed that test; when if you compared the leachate, l-e-a-c-h-a-t-e, from that neutral leach test, if you compared the values of the parameters we had to analyze for under the -- the requirement to the standards in the requirement, they were below those standards.
Q. And then, looking at Exhibit 1329, which is in front of you, you said one or two tests did not meet that. So what did KPRG do?
A. Correct. I believe it was -- if I remember right, from -- from talking about it the other day, it was -- copper and lead, I think, were the two values. And so what we -- we went back in, and we did some additional sampling within that area to see if we can better define the volume soil with those -- with the slightly higher values, which -- which we did.
\[
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And then we excavated that soil -- that material from -- from the area and removed it offsite for offsite landfill disposal.
Q. Looking at Page MWG13-15 underscore 18825, at the bottom of the page, how much material was removed?
A. Approximately 1,062.88 tons.

MS. GALE: We're done with that
exhibit.
Mr. Hearing Officer, can we go off
the record?
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, we may.
(Discussion held off the record.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right.
We're back on the record. Today is still May 19, 2023. We're gonna continue this matter on record starting June 12, 2023. I'm closing a little earlier today due to possible -- some safety concerns in the Loop, and I'd rather be safe than sorry.

So thank you very much, and I'll see you on June 12. Thank you.

[^72]

STATE OF ILLINOIS )
) $\mathrm{SS}:$
COUNTY OF COOK )
I, Lucia R. Block, Certified
Shorthand Reporter of the State of Illinois, do hereby certify that I reported in shorthand the proceedings had at the taking of said hearing, and that the foregoing is a true, complete, and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid, and contains all the proceedings given at said hearing.

Lucia R. Block, CSR \#084-003160
Notary Public, Cook County, Illinois

| A | acting 28:24 51:9 | 162:4 166:22 | agreeing 140:7 | analyzing 114:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a.m 1:14 216:2 | action 11:9 | 170:19 175:13 | agreement 11:10 | and/or 33:18 |
| AA 41:13 | 145:22 148:10 | 190:23 192:8 | 11:13 85:14 | 124:15,18 |
| Aaron 166:10 | 149:9 157:5,15 | 194:9 195:12 | 87:19 88:6 | animal 207:4 |
| abandon 169:22 | actions 8:6 9:18 | 211:6 | 123:21 124:2 | announce 4:9 |
| Abel 2:11 4:21 | 10:17,24 11:15 | admit 73:6 187:5 | 125:2 126:10 | annual 112:24 |
| able 11:21,24 | active 109:20 | 200:24 | agreements 84:20 | 145:18,21,22 |
| 32:15 39:4 | 110:1 | admitted 30:1 | 87:24 | 147:19,20 148:3 |
| 43:15 45:2 | activities 10:4 | 47:1 74:3 75: | agrees 10:6,11 | 148:10 149:8 |
| 51:19,22 52:18 | 51:24 | 86:5,10 105:14 | ahead 35:3 143:10 | 188:17 193:10 |
| 56:10 64:4 | activity 1 | 163:3,6,8,10 | 200:15 | 196:7 203:13 |
| 69:15 131:11 | 187:12 | 167:3 171:6 | aid 105:15 | annually 189:22 |
| 161:21 168:16 | acts 53:21 54:19 | 175:18 189:11 | air 83:16,19 | anomaly 173:13 |
| 168:22 169:9 | add 160:6 168:13 | 191:4 192:13 | alkaline 174:23 | 175:11 |
| above-entitled | added 32:3 91:7 | 194:13 195:17 | 186:2 | answer 29:10 39: |
| 1:12 127:9 | 146:16 | 97:13 198:2 | allow 115 | 0:1 43:15,17 |
| above-noted | addition 6 | 201:4 211:19 | 116: | 51:7,8,11,15,19 |
| 15 | 16:3 | adverse 4:13 | altered 1 | 51:22 52:18 |
| absence 77:19,2 | addition- 68:17 | aerial 41:17,21 | alternate 25:13 | 53:22 62:19 |
| absolutely 45:20 | additional 18:5 | 43:12 49:2 | 26:5,9 31:20 | 64:4 70:13,15 |
| 55:22 80:11 | 32:13,16 56:5 | 172:12 | 37:4 47:14 | 180:11 |
| 81:10 83:1,12 | 89:15 140:8,1 | affec | 93:24 102:15, | answered 40 |
| 84:15,18 89:17 | 214:21 | aforesaid 217: | 103:13,21 143:1 | 42:20 59:20 |
| 106:23 121:18 | address 27 | Agency 8:6,14,2 | 149:21 150:3,6 | answering 43:4 |
| absorb 62:11 | 140:15 | 0:1 | 18,20 | anticipate 204:5 |
| accepts 10:19 | addressed | 29:4 33:16 | 155:10 | anticipated 34:15 |
| access 77:23 198:8 | 193:15 | 88:20 92:18,19 | alternative 94 | anticipates 34:17 |
| 3.12,15 | adds | 4:24 140:13 | amended 7:7,18 | 34:20 45:13 |
| accident 180:24 | adjacen | 140:17 | amount 64:17 | anybody 206:1 |
| account 63:11 | 82 | Agency's | 71: | anyway 197:18 |
| accounted 176:16 | Adjourn | 13:17 16:21,21 | analyses 117:3 | apologize 146:21 |
| accumulating | 216:1 | 16:23,24 18:4 | 139:22 173:3 | 163:6 177:16 |
| 133:15,19,21 | adjusted 5:249 | ago 18:1 59:3 99:1 | analysis 83:8 | 189:13 |
| 169:14 | 11:2,5 13:18 | 131:9 139:9,10 | 84:11 116:14 | apparently 199:9 |
| accurate 55:20 | 14:2,8,12,17,19 | agree 6:10 8:10 | 117:11,15,19 | 199:15,21,23 |
| acid 115:2 | 14:20 15:10,12 | 43:21 44:20 | 122:3 123:17 | appearance |
| acidic 160:2 187:1 | 16:9,12 17:12 | 78:3 93:13 | 133:22 161:13 | 129:17 |
| acids 174:4,5 | 93:20 126:18 | 140:17 183:17 | 174:15 176:10 | APPEARANCES |
| acre 63:21 64:16 | 129:22 | agreed 14:6 58:1 | analytical 116:17 | 2:1 |
| acres 194:4 | Administrative | 58:19 59:1 | 147:8 171:22,22 | appears 5:23,24 |
| act 10:21 11:21 | 36:11 | 114:14 116:18 | 172:2 176:7 | 22:7 55:13 |
| 55:4 56:7 91:5 | admissible 131: | 127:5 128:6 | analyze 143:23 | 113:23 |
| 91:10 92:10,10 | admission 12:8,18 | 140:5 162:14 | 214:11 | Appendix 37:12 |
| acted 10:22 11:1 | 46:1 74:16 | 162:17,20 | analyzed 114:12 | 142:4,12 143:22 |
| 18:12 | 140:23 158:3 | 182:18,19 | 161:15 173:9 | 144:7,10,17,17 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 144:19 146:15 | areas 51:2 56:5,8 | 77:19,21,22,24 | attempted 92:15 | 201:24 210:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 146:15 | 57:7 95:16 | 78:5,12 91:5 | 92:17 | 211:1 212:3 |
| applicability | 133:18,21 | 103:17 104:5,9 | attended 17:8 | 214:20 215:16 |
| 14:14,18 15:7 | 190:10 193:15 | 104:20 132:14 | attention 7:24 | backfill 170:13 |
| application 16:19 | 195:8 205:16 | 135:5,7 188:11 | 19:21 37:3 41:8 | background |
| 17:2,11 32:24 | 206:22 211:3 | 197:9 200:18,21 | 55:23 60:13 | 141:21 142:11 |
| 33:3,14 34:1,11 | argument 22:19 | 214:2 | 61:22 66:4 | 142:15 145:2,4 |
| 105:10,21 | argumentative | Asian 81:12 | 134:1 163:7 | 145:11,13,16 |
| applications | 59:19 | aside 30:8 78: | audible 35:13 | 151:15,18,19 |
| 88:21 102:14,16 | Arkansas 109:21 | 140:20 | August 54:17 | 152:1 153:15 |
| 102:19 106:12 | Army 80:24 81:1 | asked 28:6,6 | 55:11 61:8,12 | 206:10 209:9 |
| apply 103:20 | 81:8,8,16 96:20 | 43:19 59:20 | 63:19 152:14 | 210:10 |
| 104:3 117:12,16 | 96:22 97:1,15,21 | 77:5 79:4,23 | 189:24 190:1 | backup 112:17 |
| 117:22 123:4 | 97:22 98:4,15,17 | 81:6 85:12 | Avenue 2:12 | bad 70:8 |
| appreciate 107:4 | 98:21 99:19,23 | 93:23 94:3,7,7 | aver-134:18 | bank 188:19,21 |
| approval 29:4 | 100:20 101:15 | 97:12 98:3,5,11 | average 134:15,18 | 189:1 190:8 |
| 105:9 | 101:21 199:22 | 98:14 102:14 | 135:24 | 197:2,3,3,11 |
| approximately | article 63:1 | 106:1 148:1 | aware 8:6 9:17 | 198:16 204:24 |
| 127:6 134:11,13 | AS2001 15:11 | 172:14 183:10 | 13:14 18:20 | 205:1 206:15 |
| 143:20 215:7 | AS2021-0001 16:4 | 186:20 204:10 | 23:23,24 29:9,12 | banks 205:16 |
| April 18:2 32:17 | AS21-3 12:13 | asking 10:16 | 29:13 31:18 | barrier 97:7 |
| 97:19 102:22 | AS21001 13:18 | 38:12 49:9 80 | 47:9 51:5,10,20 | base 39:18 40:2 |
| 103:3,10,16 | AS21003 6:1 | 101:12 182:8 | 52:2,22 53:9 | 69:15,16 133:13 |
| 104:13 | asbestos 111:3 | Assembly 91: | 80:9,20 98:24 | 134:6,12 |
| area 6:12,16,21 | ASD 47:13,17 | assess 51:24 | B | based 52:5 133:12 |
| 7:3 10:22 24:13 | 144:2 | 06:24 | B | 139:21 145:3 |
| 24:13, 16,17 34:3 | ash 6:13 14:2,5, | assessment 78: | B 3:5 66:7,16,18 | 151:14 155:9,10 |
| 43:24 44:22,24 | 17:19 20:18,18 | 143:10 144:3,6 | b-a-t-h-y-m-e-t-... | bases 174:5,5 |
| 57:4 64:8 81:2,7 | 21:2,18 22:3,10 | 144:13,15 | 133:4 | basic 174:22 |
| 81:9,18 82:4 | 23:3,8,22 27:10 | 146:12 | bachelor's | 186:24 |
| 84:6 97:6 98:7 | 27:13,16,20,24 | assigned 12:13 | back 15:18 24:22 | basically 84:23 |
| 98:10 101:3,16 | 28:2,4,4,10 29:3 | assist 82:23 | 37:4 39:7,10 | 110:8 116:2 |
| 101:18 102:13 | 29:6 33:11 34:8 | 124:24 141:10 | 48:21 60:7 70: | 119:4 124:21 |
| 188:11,20 194:2 | 34:17,21 35:24 | 200:14 | 72:24 74:11,13 | 47:7 148:1 |
| 194:4 195:3 | 36:1,12 37:9,13 | assisted 125:3 | 76:10,23 96:15 | 169:16 173:21 |
| 196:14 198:6,6 | 37:20 38:2,19,20 | 147:16 | 100:13,15 | 74:9 197:1 |
| 198:10,11,12 | 40:4,5,17,19,23 | assisting 110:22 | 104:12 121:13 | 98:9 205:5 |
| 199:6 200:16 | 41:4 42:4,5 | associated 143:8 | 126:21 127: | 213:15 214:8 |
| 201:24 206:16 | 45:13 51:24 | 154:17 155:14 | 128:3 130:3,7 | basin 56:15,18,22 |
| 206:21 208:9,9 | 52:2,13,20,22 | 157:9 160:4 | 137:19,21 | 58:23 59:6,18 |
| 210:7,10 212:10 | 53:2,7 63:23 | Associates 110:10 | 138:12 139:14 | 64:15,18 67:9,10 |
| 212:18,20,21 | 64:15,17 67:9,10 | 130:20 | 151:8 154 | 67:14 104:9,9,11 |
| 213:6,16,17,18 | 69:14 71:16,23 | assume 45:16 | 156:8 166:17 | 104:20,21 105:7 |
| 214:3,22 215:2 | 71:24 72:1,9,11 | attachment 148:7 | 169:21 178:3 | 130:24 132:16 |
| area's 210:6 | 72:12,15 73:4 | attempt 56:11 | 186:19 200:17 | 139:6 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| basis 8:12 38:23 | 114:9,15 123:1 | block 8:4,5 217:3 | 20:18 21:2,18 | 192:10,11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52:5,12 57:17 | 124:21 132:20 | 217:17 | 22:3,10 23:3,22 | 193:21 194:10 |
| 122:5 142:14 | 134:4,9 140:6 | blue 44:14,16,21 | 33:9 36:8 37:23 | 194:11 195:14 |
| 145:18,21 | 143:13,16 144:8 | 206:8 | 38:2 39:22 40:5 | 195:15 201:1,2 |
| 147:12 203:13 | 145:23 146:2 | board 1:1 4:4,10 | 40:17 41:16 | 202:7 203:9,14 |
| bass 136:20 | 147:15 148:9 | 7:6,17,21 10:6 | 69:18 77:19,20 | 209:13 211:8,9 |
| Bates 19:22 20:14 | 149:20 153:3,8 | 10:10,11,18,19 | 77:22 135:10 | Bugel's 163:5 |
| 33:8 37:5 41:8 | 159:6,24 161:19 | 11:1 12:23 14:6 | 137:17,18 159:2 | 187:19 |
| 76:24 126:20 | 188:13 189:8 | 17:21,24 18:3,4 | 198:7,7 215:5 | bugged 185:22 |
| 149:24 158:10 | 194:3 197:12 | 18:7,9,12,12 | boulders 208:22 | built 82:3 104:22 |
| 158:11 163:21 | 199:14 210:6 | 46:15,19 54:19 | boundary 198:2 | burial 188:11 |
| 176:5 193:2 | 214:7,17 | 58:14,19 59:1 | 199:2,5,13 | burning 46:14 |
| 202:9,15 204:21 | believed 32:12 | 73:13,24 105:16 | 212:17 213:3 | bush 204:5 |
| 211:12 | 132:21 | 107:7,14 129:16 | Bradley 1:13 4:3 | bushes 204:3 |
| bathymetric | believes 101:15 | 129:21 162:24 | Brandon 82:9 | by-product 214:3 |
| 133:1,3,8,9,16 | beneath 17:18 | Board's 106:11,24 | 97:8 199:10 | bypass 67:10 |
| 134:5,8 135:20 | 69:19 | bold 153:14 | break 23:4 40:12 | 104:9,11,20 |
| 135:23 | benefit 63:23 | bolded 153:10 | 60:2 119:6 | 105:7 |
| bedrock 161:21 | best 27:21,22 28:4 | Bolson 166:11 | Brief 7:11 26:23 |  |
| 168:19 208:8 | 28:13,15,19 50:1 | Book 128:19 | 35:17 40:10 | C |
| beginning 20:17 | 55:20 | boring 83:6 | 48:15,19 71:20 | 119:8 |
| 21:10 33:10 | better 190 | 158:14 161:11 | 76:8 86:8 96:13 | C2.2 37:12 |
| behalf $2: 10,13,19$ | 214:22 | 163:23,23 164:2 | 148:20 176:18 | CA 2:6,9 |
| bel- 35:7 | beyond 77:19 | 164:7,11,14,16 | bring 48:13 148:2 | Cabeno 166:5,8 |
| believe 6:2,23 7:4 | 92:17 99:13 | 164:20,21 | bringing 110:21 | calcium 151:4 |
| 8:14,20 9:14 | big 76:18 193:20 | 166:15,16 | broken 87:24 88:6 | 154:16 155:24 |
| 12:4 13:14 | 194:2 208:16,19 | 167:18,23,24 | 208:16 | 156:3,6 |
| 17:24 18:1,14 | bigger 88:24 | 168:3,4,6,7,10 | brought 105:24 | calculate 144:22 |
| 24:3,9 25:7,9,12 | 162:11 208:22 | 169:12,19,22 | 162:22 166:17 | 145:2,10 151:18 |
| 27:6,14 34:22,23 | bill 54:20,21 55:1 | 172:13 176:12 | brown 44:7 | calculated 134:13 |
| 35:7 36:21 38:1 | 91:1,4,7,13 | 177:1 178:19 | brush 206:23 | 142:4,15 150:15 |
| 46:12 47:13 | binder 128:15 | borings 9:23 10:4 | 209:7 | 151:14 153:15 |
| 48:3,3 50:7,17 | 148:16 149:2 | 11:17 20:20 | Bs 66:8 | calculator 64:20 |
| 50:21 54:17 | binders 128:11,12 | 21:4,10,24 22:5 | Bugel 2:2 4:17,17 | calendar 145:24 |
| 56:16 59:2 | biologist 204:1 | 22:12,15 23:1,2 | 125:19 131:5 | 148:11 195:2 |
| 60:16 61:3,21 | bit 38:3 92:22 | 23:16 77:20,21 | 140:24 141:1 | call 6:22 115:5 |
| 63:21 64:23 | 121:8 130:3 | 172:6,13 176:11 | 158:4,5 162:5,6 | 160:15 208:22 |
| 67:16 69:5,12,13 | 147:21 159:21 | 176:13 | 162:18,19 | called 5:14 6:16 |
| 71:3,22 72:2,15 | 168:18 185:22 | boss 60:4 | 166:24 167:1 | 30:18 44:22 |
| 80:8,24 81:22 | 196:17 200:12 | botanist 204:1 | 170:20,21,23 | 82:5,8 85:13 |
| 84:19 88:18 | 210:15 211:2 | bottle 115:1,1 | 175:15,16 177:2 | 91:5 95:12 |
| 90:24 94:5,6 | black 44:7,9 136:6 | 120:5 | 182:4,19 183:6 | 109:1 125:10 |
| 97:18 98:13 | 138:4 198:1 | bottles 119:21,22 | 183:16 184:12 | 143:10 144:7 |
| 99:8,8,10,17 | 209:18 213:6 | 121:7,22 | 184:13,23 185:4 | 148:9 188:20 |
| 103:4,6,16 114:8 | bladder 119:14 | bottom 8:1 20:18 | 187:6,7 191:1,2 | cap 29:3 79:9,11 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 79:13 | 147:19 159:16 | certain 6:20 7:1 | characterize | 67:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cap-10 | 159:17 | 14:2 19:18 68:3 | 62:22 | -ar 92:11 131:8 |
| capacity 32 | CCAs 85:13,22 | 68:11 73:13 | art 93 | Client 128:19 |
| 104:4 | 86:1,19,22 87:8 | 92:4 98:7 99:20 | check 24:4 45:22 | clients 110:21,22 |
| caps 28:16 53:19 | 87:13,18 | 189:4 | 206:17 207:2 | clock 147:7 |
| care 99:14 | CCB 212:15 | certainly 42:23 | checked 207:1 | close 84:8 92:4,11 |
| $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c a r p }} 81: 12$ | CCR 7:21 10:6 | 131:18 161:23 | checking 176:15 | 92:17 103:2 |
| carry 64:22 | 31:4 33:11,20 | 183:7 186:24 | Chicago 2:16 | 116:22,23 117:5 |
| case 12:13,15 | 36:13 51:2,5,10 | 195:9 197:9 | 109:14 | 121:3 128:7 |
| 15:18 16:3 46:7 | 60:22,23 66:18 | 209:18 | chief 107:21,23 | closed 36:12 |
| 61:4 73:21 | 66:23 67:21,23 | certainty 25 : | 108:7 | closing 33:1 34:1 |
| 107:21,23 108:7 | 68:4,12 71:15 | certification | chloride 151:3 | 91:19 93:1 |
| 117:4 119:22 | 72:9 73:14 | 109:23 110:4 | 154:16 155:15 | 103:14 215:19 |
| 122:11 145:16 | 90:14 91:8 | certifications | chlorides 156:17 | closure 31:20 |
| 150:10,20 | 92:18 94:21,21 | 109:21 | chose 173:3 | 32:18,20 33:13 |
| 151:16,16 157:8 | 95:12,16 103:1 | Certified 217:3 | chronology 20:10 | 33:17 34:16,22 |
| 157:14 169:13 | 115:8,20,24 | certify 217:5 | chunk 208:15 | 35:8,8,24 36:9 |
| 179:3 190:20 | 116:1,16 118:13 | CFR 31:21 36: | cinders 44:9 | 36:23 37:4 |
| 200:23 206:24 | 118:15 119:8,23 | chain 121:24 | circular 159:2 | 45:12 60:24 |
| 208:19 209:6 | 122:15,18,23 | 185:22,23 18 | 172:14 | 62:2,3 63:16 |
| cases 116:24 | 135:15,17 | 186:8 | citation 33:15 | 79:4,8 88:10,13 |
| 117:4 152:2,3 | 136:21 138:19 | challenges 64:11 | CITIZENS 1:4 | 88:19 89:3 90:4 |
| casing 169:22 | 139:21 140:18 | change 61:6,17 | claimed 87:23 | 90:8 93:6,24 |
| cataloged 24:7 | 140:18 141:9,23 | 90:1 99:11 | 88:5 | 94:4 102:15,16 |
| catch 54:11 | 141:24 142:10 | changed 56:22 | claims 105 | 102:21,24 103:6 |
| 109:10 | 145:17 146:4,6 | 59:15 61:10,1 | clarification | 103:10,21 |
| cause 1:12 26:18 | 146:18,23 | 61:15 65:13 | 185:14 | 104:14,18 |
| 68:19 82:22 | 147:11,14,18 | 73:15 | clarificatio | closures 91:23 |
| 83:13 115:1 | 149:7,16 150:4 | changes 11 | 140:8,13 | Club 1:2 2:10 |
| 127:9 157:12 | 155:14 160:9 | 113:21 | clarified 15:6 | 4:18,20,24 |
| 166:18 | 161:3 164:12,13 | Changing 92:22 | clarifier 172:5,6 | clutter 106:11 |
| caused 61:16 | 164:17,20,22,24 | Channahon 155:7 | 172:16,18,19 | cluttering 107:8 |
| caveat 32:3 | 165:1,5,7,11,13 | 212:24 | 173:1,15,15 | co-counsel 96:10 |
| 122:12 | 165:15,17,19,21 | channel 113:13 | 174:7 | coal 6:13 14:2,5,7 |
| CC- 115:18 116:1 | 165:23 168:1,4,7 | 197:4 198:20 | clarifiers 159:6 | 23:8 29:3,6 |
| CCA 71:4,4 87:9 | 209:19 | 199:13 202:4 | 172:11 173:23 | 34:13 40:4,5,17 |
| 87:15 112:24 | CCRMU 95:13 | 203:2,3,3 | clarify 69:7 79:2,3 | 41:4 44:9 46:14 |
| 114:17 115:5 | CCRs 121:2 | characteri- 57: | 80:1 106:3 | 52:13 63:23 |
| 116:14 118:6 | cease 103:4,5,7,17 | characteristics | 117:8 121:1 | 64:15 71:16,22 |
| 119:1,2,3,8 | 104:15,19 | 137:1,9 159:14 | classification 69:3 | 71:24 72:1,9 |
| 120:4,7 121:2 | ceased 34:13 | characterization | clay 44:7 136:7 | 91:5 209:10,19 |
| 122:9,15,18 | ceiling 170:12 | 214:6 | 138:6 | 213:7,8 214:3 |
| 123:12,14,21 | CENTER 1:3 | characteriz | clean 33:1,24 | coarse 135:13,14 |
| 124:14,24 125:2 | central 213:2 | 11:18,19 14:4 | cleaning 56:18,22 | cobble 205:9 |
| 126:4,9,10 | cer-189:4 | 39:14,15 102:18 | 57:16 59:6,18 | 208:4,13,14,15 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 208:16,17 209:8 | 89:10 | compliance 65:16 | 191:22 | 69:22 90:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cobble-type 206:5 | communications | 85:13 86:18 | conducting 83:7 | 1:23 97:7 |
| cobbles 208:4,20 | 89:15,18 | 94:9,12,12,13 | 97:13 | 123:4,6 133:12 |
| 210:8,17 | communities | 104:1 105:11 | confer 96:10 | 205:12 |
| Code 36:11 | 61:18 62:1 | 106:8,21 107:1,2 | confidence 23:19 | consulsion 92:8 |
| collect 111:24 | 65:3,16 | 141:11,13,17 | 142:6 | consult 132:8 |
| 120:3 142:19 | community 65:7 | 146:1 149:8 | confused 182:1 | consultant 179:9 |
| 144:19 161:21 | 65:11 | compliant 32:21 | confusing 52:10 | consultants 21:12 |
| 168:22 169:19 | company 110:16 | 104:22 | confusion 162:15 | 82:23 84:17 |
| 171:24 | 110:24 111:4,17 | comply 31:3 94:18 | congressional | consulted 92:1,3 |
| collected 119:4 | compare 135:2 | 103:24 | 102:11 | contacted 99:16 |
| 121:19 124:9 | 136:19 142:14 | complying 82:23 | congressionally | 126:3 |
| 140:14 142:3 | 151:19 179:5 | 83:2 | 102:2 | contain 17:19 |
| 146:8 161:12 | compared 134:24 | componen | consensus 84:24 | 49:23 71:7 |
| 176:11 214:4 | 135:3,6 151:10 | 156:17 | 85:7,8 | 72:11,12,14 73:3 |
| collecting 120:7 | 151:11 179:2 | compositio | consider 10:1 12:2 | 161:3 |
| 169:18 179:4 | 214:8,10 | 135:16 | 62:20 66:16 | contained 23:2 |
| collection 141:2 | comparing 186:21 | computer 187: | 71:6 72:15 92:9 | 69:14 137:10 |
| 169:7 179:6 | comparison | concentration | consider- 63:10 | 155:14 |
| collective 114:3 | 134:23 135:11 | 160:4 | consideration | contains 71:22 |
| collodial 137:14 | 136:15,16 | concentrations | 62:16 64:8 | 72:16 115:2 |
| color 136:6 138:5 | 138:14 151:13 | 155:1,16,20,2 | considerations | 217:10 |
| column 167:24 | 200:8 | 156:6 | 61:24 63:11 | contaminant 26:1 |
| combination 22: | compendium | concern 62:22 | considered 15:9 | 145:7,9,14 |
| combustion 34:13 | 147:21 148:2 | 162:12,22 189 | 64:10 132:18,23 | contaminants 8:8 |
| 214:3 | competently 43:4 | 190:9 192:2 | 135:5 174:6,9 | contaminated |
| come 61:6 96:23 | Complainant 13:1 | 195:8 196:13 | considering 61:20 | 17:18 |
| 97:9 101:20 | 47:1 | 211:11 | 63:9 67:23 | contended 56:17 |
| 121:13 151:11 | Complaina | concerns 62:15,19 | 91:19 | contends 56:6 |
| 163:7 | 30:1 74:2 | 140:16 187:20 | consistency 136:7 | contents 38:9,19 |
| comes 38:17 79:9 | complainants 1:6 | 215:20 | 138:5 | 39:15 46:15 |
| 120:6 | 108:6 | conclusion 135:11 | consistent 94:23 | ontested 69:3 |
| coming 120:1 | Complainants' | 138:16 139:16 | 155:3 205:10 | context 44:24 |
| 122:23 182:10 | 3:6 5:10 13:3,5 | 140:5 154:12,14 | Consisting 44:7 | continue 43:7 |
| Commitment | 30:2 31:14 47:3 | 155:9 | constantly 62:12 | 105:11 111:10 |
| 85:14 | 54:5 74:4 75:7 | conclusions 6:20 | constituent | 215:17 |
| common 144:14 | complaint 73:20 | 7:2 | 142:16 | continues 87:9 |
| 156:12 | 106:6 | concu | constituents 29:7 | 111:24 |
| commonly 91:4 | complete 102:11 | conditions 7:9 | 47:11 | continuing 4:5 |
| 125:10 | 103:9 104:14,18 | 18:14 119:19 | constructed 18:18 | 16:12 21:2 34:7 |
| communicated | 217 | 175: | 18:20 169:4 | 35:22 36:17 |
| 9:1192 | completed 66:22 | conduct | constructing | 50:12 62:9 |
| communicating | 75:21 86:22 | conducted 118:3 | 81:24 93:12,14 | 68:11 75:14 |
| 89:7 | 87:1,14 122:1 | 118:17 122:10 | construction 20:9 | 87:14 95:11 |
| communication | completely 137:16 | 122:16,19 | 33:13 66:24 | 135:18 143:7,20 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 150:24 197:24 | 34:18,21 37:21 | correctly 21:15 | crest 37:9 | 209:10,16,17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 208:2 | 37:24 38:5,9 | 33:21 36:20 | critical 62:1 | data 123:23 142:3 |
| continuously | 41:9,14,18,22 | 37:16 40:15 | cross 22:20 64:4 | 146:9 147:8,11 |
| 104:8 | 42:5 43:13 44:1 | 44:11 61:1 | 76:12 105:5,24 | 151:18,20 |
| contour 37:11 | 44:18 45:14 | 62:13 67:3 | cross-contamin... | 152:10,11 |
| 112:15 113:7 | 50:20 52:15 | 85:17 87:5 93:5 | 119:15 | 173:14 179:4,6,7 |
| contract 171:23 | 55:8,14,21 57:8 | 161:22 174:24 | cross-exam 96:19 | 185:16,20 |
| contracted 147:24 | 59:18 62:17 | corresponding | cross-examinati... | date 8:5 11:16 |
| 176:8 | 68:24 69:4 70:1 | 43:11 | 5:19 76:14 | 50:7 55:11 |
| contractor 33:16 | 71:13 72:2 | cost 61:20 | 99:18 | 58:20 59:3 |
| 200:13 | 75:10 79:9,10,12 | costs 61:18 | cross-section | 127:10 146:2 |
| contractors | 80:10 81:12 | Counsel 107:15 | 41:13 42:13 | 147:6 152:12 |
| 147:24 | 82:14,20,21 83:3 | counted 66:17 | 43:11,19,23 | dated 13:21 20:7 |
| contributed 32:8 | 84:2 85:19,20 | 68:19 69:1 | 44:15 84:10 | 23:15 32:2 36:1 |
| control 1:1 4:4 | 86:2,3,19,22 | counting 66:12 | CRR 10:11 30:12 | 113:2 130:20 |
| 125:6 129:16,21 | 87:10,17,24 88:1 | 67:17 | 46:6 47:10 56:6 | 139:2 149:9 |
| controller 83:17 | 88:2,6,7,24 89:8 | County 30:6,13 | 56:18 60:21 | 150:5 188:10 |
| 83:22,24 | 89:9,13,16,17,20 | 31:21 32:11,13 | 63:12,14,14 65:1 | 191:21 196:8,8 |
| conveyer 34:8,9 | 90:2,9,10,20 | 34:12 37:20 | 79:5,8 118:20 | Dav- 136:24 |
| Cook 217:2,18 | 95:3,17,18 96:20 | 41:17 46:13 | 122:9 165:3,9 | Daven- 140:12 |
| copies 124:15,21 | 97:21 98:4,8 | 47:10,13,19 48:1 | crushed 44:8 | Davenport 130:20 |
| copper 214:19 | 99:21 101:3 | 49:3,17,24 50:16 | CSR 217:17 | 131:8 132:9 |
| copy 123:19 | 102:17,23 | 51:3,6,11,14 | cube 64:19 | 139:1,12 |
| core 164:4,7 | 103:22 111:21 | 52:1,14,21,24 | cubic 64:21 | day 1:13 62:4 |
| Corps 80:24 81:1 | 111:22 112:1 | 53:8,19 56:14,14 | 134:13 | 121:15,16 |
| 81:8,8,16 96:20 | 114:19 115:7,22 | 58:3,8 65:6,17 | culminated 55:7 | 214:19 |
| 96:22 97:1,2,15 | 118:10,16 | 65:17 67:8,8 | current 34:16 | days 62:4 123:22 |
| 97:21,22 98:4,15 | 120:21 122:8 | 69:24 70:21,24 | 36:23 45:12 | 131:9 147:8 |
| 98:17,21 99:2,3 | 125:11,15,18 | 71:2,4,10 72:8 | 58:2,6,7,11 | 187:22 |
| 99:11,20,24 | 134:19 135:20 | 72:10 111:15 | 65:10 105:6 | DC 2:12 |
| 100:21 101:15 | 135:21 137:4 | 117:22 122:16 | currently 19:11 | de $72: 15,16,20$ |
| 101:21,24 | 139:20 140:10 | 124:6 217:2,18 | 19:12 98:10 | deadline 32:17,20 |
| 102:10 199:22 | 141:11,12 144:4 | County's 37:13 | 103:22 111:14 | 102:22 103:2,16 |
| Corps' 97:4 | 147:2,13 158:21 | couple 111:17 | 114:16 118:15 | 103:21 104:13 |
| correct 6:14,15,17 | 159:10 161:4,8 | 121:11 131:9 | custodies 121:24 | 104:13,20 |
| 6:18,21 7:3,19 | 170:2 176:15 | courier 122:2 | custody 185:23 | decide 106:16 |
| 7:22 8:9 9:24 | 186:10 196:5 | course 54:14 | 186:2,8 | decider 85:9 |
| 13:19,20,22 14:3 | 198:21 199:6 | 101:19 105:15 | cut 112:16 | decision 12:15 |
| 14:9 16:14 | 202:20 209:23 | cover 36:18 76:21 | CX 3:2 | 106:24 |
| 17:13,14,18,22 | 214:17 217:8 | 128:18 |  | decisions 84:21 |
| 19:1 20:7,8,11 | correction 42:11 | covered 114:2 | D | decrease 155:2 |
| 21:5 24:15 29:1 | 81:15 166:13 | 191:19 | D 3:1 | 160:4 |
| 29:2 30:13,19,24 | corrective 145:22 | covering 148:4 | Dam 82: | decreased 155:21 |
| 31:5,6,8 32:18 | 148:10 149:9 | covers 145:24 | dark 210:10 | dedicated 119:14 |
| 32:22 33:2 | 157:14 | crafting 107:2 | darker 198:5 | deer 207:5,5 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| define 214:22 | 101:5 205:1 | 102:13 104:9 | disposal 215:3 | 99:3 110:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| defined 56:7 65:7 | 206:9 | 115:14 116:21 | dispute 10:5 | 123:11 132:9 |
| defines 65:10 | des- 135:12 | 146:10 170:4 | dissolved 114:19 | 143:1 156:2 |
| defining 85:5 | describe 42:7 50:3 | 186:12 | 114:20,21 115:4 | 179:8 190:3 |
| definitely $72: 11$ | 120:19 129:8 | diligence 23:19 | 117:2,22 118:8 | 193:17 204:17 |
| 72:12 104:15,19 | 197:17 | direct 4:13 7:24 | 119:5 123:9,12 | dolomite 205:9 |
| definition 65:13 | described 63:22 | 16:3 19:21 33:9 | 150:21,24 | 208:4,7,8,10 |
| 65:17,18,20,23 | 82:16 146:14 | 37:3 41:7 55:23 | 156:18 | downgradient |
| degree 109:11,13 | describes 6:20 7:1 | 60:12 61:22 | distinguish 24:23 | 151:20 152:22 |
| degrees 109:10 | 43:24 63:3 | 66:3 75:21 | 146:11 | 154:4 155:18 |
| Dehlin 78:3 | describing 207: | 109:3 128:9 | docket 9:13, | Draft 35:23 |
| delay 94:8,10,15 | description 36:9 | 134:1 | document 5:21 | drafter 78:4 |
| delayed 94:12 | 120:19 135:13 | directed 76:24 | 12:18 13:12 | dragging 182:12 |
| delaying 94:9 | 136:3,5 138:1,3 | directing 29:16 | 16:22 20:6 | dredging 33:18 |
| delivered 122:1 | descriptive | 84:13 | 21:21 22:16 | 81:22 82:2 |
| demonstrate | 169:11,23 | direction 29:1 | 23:11 31:17,2 | 99:24 205:11 |
| 143:1 | 172:17 | 51:9 113:10,13 | 32:10 35:19 | Drew 5:2 112:7 |
| demonstrated | designated | 189:4 | 38:5,11 41:9 | drilled 21:11 |
| 12:20 | desire 98:9 | directly 6:12 62:2 | 42:18 46:5,8,10 | 161:12 170:7 |
| demonstration | despite 63:9 | 97:20 98:15,22 | 46:16,22 49:5,11 | driller 166:2,3 |
| 25:14 26:5,10 | details 107:2 | 105:13 155:5,6 | 54:8,15 55:11,14 | drilling 164:4 |
| 31:20 37:4 | detected 144:18 | dirt 209:17 | 55:19 56:1 | 166:3,4,8 |
| 47:14 102:16 | 155:24 156:4 | disagree 43:6 | 7:12 59:14 | drinking 145:6 |
| 103:14 143:2 | detection 142:7,8 | disagreement | 60:13,17 62:17 | drop 190:4 |
| 150:4,7 154:9,13 | 143:12,16,23 | 8:13 | 66:5 68:14,22 | dropping 159:24 |
| demonstrations | 146:12 150:8,14 | disclosure 12:1 | 73:16 74:1,17 | 175:7,8 |
| 93:24 94:4,8 | 152:10 | discuss 77:14 | 77:15 78:15 | dry 121:12 |
| 102:15,20,21 | determination | 132:10 | 105:13 130:2 | due 23:19 32:20 |
| 149:21 | 6:12 14:14,18 | discussed 8:22 | 131:2,19 132:3 | 128:5 146:2 |
| denied 107:16 | 122:24 | 63:6 79:3 80:2 | 138:23,24 139:8 | 148:12 215:19 |
| deny 7:7,17 17:21 | determine 157:11 | 85:24 93:1 | 149:7,11 167:15 | duly 5:17 109:1 |
| 18:12 | 214:2 | 116:11 117:20 | 171:15 184:15 | duplicated 144:10 |
| depends 64:17 | determine | 129:4 141:8 | 190:15,18 | DX 3:2 |
| 121:5 | 116:18 | 146:19 149:15 | 191:18,19 | dying 204:4 |
| depict 204:23 | develop 14 | 188:13 212:10 | 194:20,24 | E |
| 206:14 207:7 | 196:16 | discussing 55:8 | 202:17 206:1 | E |
| 209:3 210:14,21 | diamond | 152:16 167:20 | document's 78: | E 2:2 3:1,5 128:1 |
| deposeth 5:17 | differ 23 | discussion 15:16 | documentation | 128:1 |
| depth 77:19 | difference 115:15 | 47:7 74:9 93:2,5 | 168:14 | earlier 67 |
| 134:15,18 | 16:13 117:12 | 93:6 94:1 127:3 | documents 17:7 | 9:23 146:24 |
| 135:22 136:1 | 117:16,21 | 139:3 161:5 | 19:5 42:23 | 161:5 182:14,21 |
| 164:7 178:20 | 135:12 169:2 | 162:22 212:2 | 45:18,23 69:22 | 189:23 215:19 |
| 186:3,4 | differences 117:2 | 15 | 74:23 82:12,16 | rth 109:11 |
| depths 172:7 | different 10 | displayed 159:17 | 106:12 133:13 | easier 129 |
| Des 81:3 82:2 99:9 | 64:10 90:1 | displaying 159:14 | doing 76:12 91:22 | east 67:7 71:22 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 123:1 172:5,11 | engineer 22:17 | 106:13 114:14 | everyone's 114:3 | 171:15 189:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 172:17,18 173:1 | 23:18,19 25:1 | 123:5,22 124:16 | evidence 5:16 | 210:19 |
| 173:14 188:23 | 39:2 64:24 | 124:20,22 | 13:4 30:3 47:4 | execute 33:17 |
| 196:15 197:19 | 139:1 | 125:15,18 126:3 | 74:5 75:8 | exercise 157:10 |
| 198:4,11 199:11 | engineered 79:11 | 140:3,4,5 146:8 | 108:11 141:5 | 183:21 184:8 |
| 202:24 205:3,3 | 79:13,14 | 147:9,11 148:3 | 163:14 167:5 | exercises 183:15 |
| 205:23 208:2 | engineering 21:12 | 148:12 | 171:10 175:21 | exhaustion 183:22 |
| 209:4 210:7,15 | 114:10 | EPA's 7:6,16 | 189:17 191:7 | exhibit 3:6,10 5:8 |
| east/west 172:17 | engineers | equal 145:12 | 192:5,16 194:15 | 5:8,9,10 12:8 |
| eastern 109:16 | 80:24 81:1,16 | equate 156:16 | 195:19 201:6 | 13:2,3,5 15:19 |
| 210:22 | 99:24 199:23 | erosion 196:17,20 | 211:21 | 20:4,4 27:1,3 |
| easy 207:9,13,18 | 200:20 | 196:24 197:7,18 | exact 159:22 | 29:19 30:1,2 |
| economic 90:7 | enormous | 197:20 | 199:20 | 31:12,13,14 46:1 |
| edges 42:4 | ensure 83:18 | erosional 189:2 | exactly 55:15,18 | 47:1,3 48:14 |
| effect 125:12 | enter 144:15 | 190:9 192:2 | 102:4 157:16 | 49:2 54:4,5 73:6 |
| effective 50:7 | entered 105:4 | 193:16 195:7 | 160:23 | 73:10 74:3,4,17 |
| 60:24 63:15 | 186:5 | 207:3 | examination | 75:2,5,7 78:19 |
| eight 21:10 | entering | error 193 | 75:21 96:17 | 105:4 112:6,10 |
| 141:21 142:3 | entire 12:18 24: | escapes 59:3, | 109:3 128:13 | 112:14,14,19,20 |
| 151:17 176:17 | 29:8 188:19 | 137:7 | examined 109:2 | 112:23 126:12 |
| 208:21 | 190:13 192:5 | especially 156:13 | example 82:24 | 126:15,16,23 |
| either 72:14 122:2 | 193:18 195:10 | establish 142:10 | 83:5 88:10 | 128:24,24 129:9 |
| 204:4 | 205:10 | 183:9,20 184:2 | 94:18 104:8,11 | 129:11 130:16 |
| electronic 55:16 | entirety 29 | 184:14 | 119:9 120:13 | 130:18 132:12 |
| elevation 37:13,18 | 105:15 | established 22:2 | 152:20 174:7 | 138:21 139:14 |
| 133:10,12 | entitled 35 | 23:2 142:2 | excavated 215:1 | 139:19 140:9,23 |
| elevations 123:2 | 149:7 212:14 | 162:21 184:1 | excavating 33:18 | 141:4 157:20,23 |
| email 200:13 | entry 129:16 | 203:14 | exceedance 26:1,9 | 162:4,9 163:6,9 |
| embankment 23:1 | ENVIRONM | establishes 170:14 | 143:3,7 | 163:13,15 167:3 |
| 123:2 188:19 | 1:5 | establishing 87:5 | Excellent 84:7 | 167:4,6 170:19 |
| 189:5 190:13 | environmental | 125:1,3 184:24 | exception 57:16 | 171:6,9,11 |
| 2:6 196:18 | 1:3 2:11 4:15 | 185:1 204:7 | excerpt 27:1,3 | 172:20,22 |
| 203:1,2 205:4,5 | 62:1,15,18,21 | establishment | 48:13 49:1 | 175:14,18,20,22 |
| 205:10,23 206:5 | 65:7,10,15 91:9 | 126:5 | 128:23 129:1 | 176:4 182:10,20 |
| 206:7 208:3,6 | 110:23 111:2 | estimate 133:14 | excess 104:4 | 186:19 187:5,23 |
| 209:5,7 210:5,17 | 125:6 166:5,9 | estimated 134:11 | excessive 104:4 | 188:6 189:11,16 |
| embankments | environments | 135:24 | excuse 22:6 50:24 | 191:4,6,8 192:9 |
| 21:11,19 22:1,10 | 156:13,14 | Eurofins 171:22 | 58:17 68:2 79:5 | 192:13, 15,17,22 |
| 23:22 | EPA 7:1,16,20 9:8 | 172:1 176:8 | 87:22 92:2,23 | 194:9,13,14,16 |
| empty 72:4,5,6 | 9:12 17:20 | evaluate 173:13 | 113:15 115:19 | 194:21 195:17 |
| encounter 168:21 | 33:14 56:4 67:1 | evaluated 134:5 | 116:12 124:11 | 195:18,20,24 |
| encountered | 76:17 87:19,22 | evaluation 130:21 | 134:4 135:4 | 196:1 197:13 |
| 161:17 168:16 | 88:5 89:8,11,16 | 139:4 | 136:15 152:12 | 198:24 200:24 |
| ended 65:11 | 89:24,24 90:13 | everybody 86:6 | 158:10 163:5 | 201:5,7,12 211:7 |
| engage 82:22 | 90:18 92:3,7,15 | 112:21 130:8 | 166:2 167:15 | 211:18,20 212:7 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 212:14,18 | 174:22 | 180:9,14,16,20 | 35:23 117:19 | 152:5 163:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 214:14 215:9 | Faith 2:2 4:17 | 180:23 181:1,2,4 | 210:19 | 74:12,14 176:2 |
| exhibit's 163:19 | fall 85:18 200:9 | 181:6,8,10,12,14 | find 7:21 46:19 | 176:24 178:17 |
| exhibits 86:5 | 204:15 | 181:16,18,20,22 | 55:17 73:24 | 179:11 184:4 |
| 130:6 162:16,17 | familiar 31:17 | 181:24 182:2 | 74:22 76:21 | 192:20 194:19 |
| 162:20 | 85:21 112:3 | 186:6 198:14 | 97:14 107:9 | 205:18 |
| exist 49:10 | far 97:24 125:13 | 199:14,17,18 | 193:11 | flip-flop 72:13 |
| existed 23:14 | 125:14,17 126:2 | felt 192:3 200:6 | finding 6:19,22 | flip-flopped 72:3 |
| existing 33:11 | 126:21 170:10 | fence 196:15 | 16:13 126:18 | flipped 180:24 |
| exists 106:9 | 178:6 | 198:9,13,14 | 129:23 | flipping 136:14 |
| expand 85:2 | fbugel@ | 202:22,24 | findings 99:20 | 138:12 168:3,6 |
| expanded 200:11 | 2.4 | field 6:17 7:21 8:8 | fine 137:3 | 171:21 |
| expect 205:15 | feared 92:14 | 8:15,21 9:7,22 | fingers 136:9 | floodplain 99:5,10 |
| experience 156: | feasibility 90:3 | 9:24 11:1,17 | 138:7 | 99:12 |
| expert 83:22 | feature 200:4,9 | 59:10 71:13 | finish 187:21 | floor 2:8 37:9 |
| 182:24 | 207:1,3 | 114:24 115:3,3,4 | finished 108:6 | flow 113:11,12,15 |
| expertise 45:1 | features 189:2 | 115:17 116:3,5 | firm 110:21 | 113:17,22,24 |
| 83:18 111:5 | 190:9 192:2 | 118:11,23 119:4 | 111:24 166:4,8 | 123:24 |
| experts 82:23 | 193:16 195:7 | 119:18 120:8 | first 25:20,20,23 | flowing 119:20 |
| explain 45:2 106: | 196:12 | 146:17 147:6 | 36:9 60:20 77:6 | fluoride 144:9 |
| explained 106:4 | February 13:22 | 185:24 | 86:10,24 101:23 | focused 173:4 |
| exposure 41:2 | 139:2 | figure 67:19 98:1 | 107:12 109:1 | 174:16 |
| extend 37:20 | federal 30:14 46: | 112:8,11 113:4,6 | 112:18 114:2,8 | focuses 110:24 |
| 38:20 39:22 | 79:16 92:5 | 158:13,14,20 | 116:11 128:22 | focusing 189:3 |
| extended 168:17 | 105:11 115:8,13 | 159:3,8 161:7 | 128:22 129:1 | foliage 204:4 |
| 170:15 | 116:3,7,15 | 163:24 167:20 | 134:10 137:20 | folks 15:1 124:19 |
| extending 44:14 | 118:20 119:23 | file 6:1 | 137:24 138:18 | follow-up 109:15 |
| extends 38:8 | 122:23 132:19 | filed 9:19 14:19 | 141:20 142:24 | 155:19 156:5 |
| extension 84:3 | 141:8,13,17,20 | 15:10 54:12 | 144:14 145:2 | 200:2 |
| extent 12:23 | 142:9 145:5,17 | 55:21 106:19 | 149:5 155:13 | following 20:5 |
| extract 121:14 | 146:14 148:8 | filing 126:16 | 167:23 185:17 | 61:23 146:3 |
|  | 149:7,16 150:9 | filings 9:13 | 188:14 197:14 | 148:13 190:14 |
| F | 152:12 | fill 44:3,4,7,9,22 | 198:24 201:20 | follows 5:18 109:2 |
| F 128:1 | fee 110:1 | 77:24 119:21,22 | 212:9 | foot 20:19 21:3 |
| facilities 111:18 | feeling 136:8 | 196:18 | fish 97:7 | 22:4 38:6,8 |
| facility 188:18 | 138:6 | filled 185:23 | fit 132:22 | 77:19 208:21 |
| fact 14:5 17:24 | feels 107:7 | filling 120:5 121:7 | five 176:17 187:22 | Footnote 55:24 |
| 63:1 88:19 | fees 110:3,8 | filter 120:8,9 | 198:14 | 56:1 |
| 94:17 97:9 | feet $37: 10,10,14$ | 170:9,11 | fixing 200:14 | foregoing 217:7 |
| 101:20 106:20 | 37:21,24 38:3 | filtered 114:24 | flag 200:7 | forethought 190:4 |
| 152:2 182:24 | 134:16,19 136:1 | 115:3,4 118:11 | flawed 199:7 | forever 97:23 |
| faint 43:24 | 168:18 172:7,7,8 | 118:23 119:5 | fleet 24:6 | forgive 55:5 70:9 |
| fair 22:9 38:7 | 172:8 178:2,20 | filtering 115:17 | flip 113:3 128:22 | 80:16 122:14 |
| 68:23 203:17 | 179:12,16,19,21 | 116:6 119:24 | 129:6,7 133:24 | 158:2 |
| fairly 137:3 160:2 | 179:23 180:1,3,4 | final 33:15 35:23 | 137:19 149:5 | forgot 200:23 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| form 12:3 | 209:4 210:15 | 176:1,21 177:6 | 27:20 41:18 | geol- 164:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| former 159:4 | 211:2 | 177:10,16,18 | 71:1 110:20 | geologist 24:24 |
| 188:11 | future 11:21 | 180:10 182:15 | 113:2,9 130:22 | 41:5 42:22 |
| forte 111:2 | fuzzy 8:24 9:21 | 183:8,14,16 | 130:23 132:17 | 83:10,13 109:17 |
| forward 105:12 |  | 184:1,7,17 185:3 | 139:5,6 | 164:3,6 166:4,10 |
| found 21:18 22:9 | G | 185:5 186:11,16 | generation 1:8 5:3 | 166:10 |
| 92:19 133:20 | G 20:5 | 186:18 187:2 | 6:1,11 8:7 9:23 | Geoprobe 168:20 |
| 136:4 138:1 | G-L-R-M-I-S | 188:1 189:7,15 | 10:17 16:8 | 169:13 |
| foundation 10:21 | 02:3 | 189:18 190:22 | 17:12,15 18:24 | geosciences |
| 38:24 78:8 | gain 85:7 | 191:10 192:7,19 | 19:3 23:21 | 109:13 |
| 131:18,22 203:9 | Gale 2:15 5:1,1 | 193:23 194:1,8 | 24:20 25:5 27:9 | getting 124:20 |
| four 30:6,12,18 | 12:16,17 21:20 | 194:18 195:11 | 27:16,23 28:10 | give $30: 654: 13$ |
| 86:14,19 111:19 | 22:11 29:22,23 | 195:22 200:22 | 28:16,20 29:5,15 | 57:4 86:6 |
| 117:4 122:6 | 38:10,22 42:16 | 201:9 202:11,13 | 31:2 33:1,24 | 176:23 183:1 |
| 124:21 141:22 | 46:3,4 49:8,19 | 203:10,11,17,18 | 47:11,18,24 | given 74:22 77:18 |
| 155:11,12 | 52:7,16 53:10,13 | 207:14,20 | 49:16 50:15,23 | 78:12 217:10 |
| 176:17 178:8 | 57:10,21 59:19 | 209:15,23 210:3 | 50:23 51:1,13,23 | GL-102:2 |
| four-lane 155:8 | 64:1 70:2,7 73:8 | 211:5,14,22 | 52:6,15 53:18 | GLMRIS 102:2 |
| fourth 108:15 | 73:9 75:1,3,9,14 | 212:5 215:8 | 59:15 68:24 | gloves 136:11 |
| 109:5 114:9 | 76:1,2,5,12,15 | gate 198:9 | 69:3,9,20,23 | GMZ 125:10,12 |
| fraction 137:14 | 77:16 78:11,22 | Gen 88:6 89:22 | 70:20 71:11 | 125:15,18 |
| Francisco 2:9 | 80:19 86:9,13 | 111:7,11 158:2 | 84:16 85:16 | GMZs 87:5,8,15 |
| Franzetti 2:14 | 95:15 96:5,7 | 162:3 194:8 | 87:9,13,22,23 | 126:3,5,8 |
| 129:17 | 100:7,15,19 | Gen's 58:2,6,11 | 88:5 89:4 90:13 | Gnat 3:3 42:19 |
| frequency 116:6 | 105:17,18,22 | 141:14 | 90:17 91:19 | 47:21 108:13,19 |
| frequently 47:23 | 108:9,10,12,18 | general 77:20 | 94:9 103:8,20 | 108:24 110:9 |
| front 5:21 6:19 | 109:4 112:7,10 | 91:14 177:5 | 106:19 114:5,14 | 112:13 128:9,15 |
| 34:23 35:19 | 112:12 120:16 | 184:3 | 125:3 126:17 | 128:18 131:1,8 |
| 60:13 74:18 | 120:17 125:22 | generality 182:9 | 129:22 140:22 | 132:2,11 141:7 |
| 86:15 112:13 | 126:1,24 128:8 | 182:15 184:18 | 141:10 147:17 | 149:2 158:13 |
| 128:15 142:9 | 128:10,14 | generalized 183:2 | 150:5 166:22 | 160:19 163:2,18 |
| 149:3 214:15 | 129:13 130:8,12 | generalizing | 170:18 171:23 | 167:9,17 168:9 |
| full 12:10 $20: 17$ | 131:16,17,23 | 182:22 | 175:13 176:9 | 171:14,20 176:2 |
| 60:20 61:23 | 132:1 133:5 | generally 65:4 | 190:19,21,23 | 176:22 177:11 |
| 77:6 103:24 | 140:21 141:6,16 | 81:13 101:14 | 192:8 195:12 | 185:6 188:2 |
| 146:16 205:24 | 143:11,14,15 | 141:19 145:6 | 199:24 200:23 | 191:11 192:20 |
| fullest 146:13 | 146:21,22 | 146:2 155:11 | 202:1 211:6 | 194:19 195:23 |
| Fully 94:20 | 148:14 149: | 159:20 172:1 | Generation's 7:7 | 198:23 201:10 |
| funded 102:2 | 151:7 153:5 | 173:2 174:21 | 7:18 10:23 14:1 | 203:19 212:6 |
| funding 102:11 | 158:1,7 161:1 | 176:24 183:10 | 17:21 18:13 | go 9:15 15:13 |
| further 23:4 96:5 | 162:2,13,14 | 185:8 186:23 | 28:24 51:9 | 24:22 33:8 35:1 |
| 106:16 107:19 | 163:12,17 | 204:9 | 56:21 57:6,17 | 35:3 37:4 44:5 |
| 134:17 140:15 | 166:12,21 167:8 | generate 169:9 | 58:7,16,22 59:5 | 44:13 47:5 60:6 |
| 168:20 205:22 | 170:18 171:13 | generated 61:19 | 59:9 94:17 | 60:15 72:24 |
| 206:16 208:2 | 174:1 175:12 | generating 20:10 | 141:18 | 74:6,10 76:23 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 108:2 112:18 | grass 204:6 | 144:22,23 145:1 | 107:3,20,24 | 71:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 121:12 123:18 | 206:21 | 145:11,14,22 | 108:4,17,22 | head 119:11 153:1 |
| 124:13 126:22 | grasses 208:5 | 146:8 148:6,10 | 125:21,23 127:2 | 153:6 |
| 127:1 128:4 | 211:3 | 149:8 150:4 | 127:4 128:2 | heading 21:8 |
| 136:22 137:21 | grassy 6:17 7:21 | 151:20 154:24 | 131:15,21,24 | 134:2,23 |
| 144:19 148:18 | 8:8,15,21 9:7,22 | 156:20,22 160:2 | 140:24 141:2 | hear 94:14 170:24 |
| 151:8 156:8 | 9:24 11:1,17 | 168:21 169:6,9 | 148:17,21 158:4 | 171:3 |
| 164:15 177:6 | 57:4 59:10 | 169:24 175:5 | 158:6 160:18 | heard 70:8 120:12 |
| 178:3 183:4 | 71:13 | group 4:15 111:3 | 162:5,13,18,23 | 120:18,19 |
| 187:9 189:7 | gravel 44:8 | 124:22 201:10 | 166:24 167:2 | 146:24 161:2 |
| 197:24 198:4,13 | 196:18 197:10 | grout 170:13 | 170:20,22 171:2 | 189:8 |
| 200:15 212:1 | gravelly 213:14 | growing 204:6 | 171:5 175:15,17 | hearing 1:11,12 |
| 215:10 | gravelly-type | guess 44:2 95:22 | 177:8,14,17 | 4:1,3 5:5 8:16 |
| goes 97:24 120:2 | 196:19 | 117:10 160:6 | 182:13 183:3,13 | 12:9,12,22 14:22 |
| 124:14 144:2 | Great 43:22 84:5 | 169:1 172:24 | 183:23 184:6,9 | 15:1,15,17,21 |
| 199:10,11 | 86:17 87:3 | 203:11 205:7 | 184:16,21 185:2 | 20:22 21:22 |
| going 34:9 45:3 | 92:22 93:22 | 206:4 208:22,23 | 186:14 187:6,8 | 22:18 23:5 |
| 86:4 97:23 | 102:2,4,6 113:3 | guessing 24:6 | 187:17 189:10 | 29:21,24 38:14 |
| 103:24 154:6 | 120:11 152:5 |  | 191:1,3 192:10 | 39:3,9 42:16 |
| 161:7 162:6 | 172:10 186:8 | H | 192:12 194:10 | 43:3 45:4,7,10 |
| 172:23 176:22 | 200:1,17 213:19 | H3:5 | 194:12 195:14 | 46:3,17 47:5 |
| 202:11,14 | greater 72:16,18 | half 38:6,9 | 195:16 201:1,3 | 48:17,20 49:14 |
| 205:24 | greatly 104:3 | Halloran 1:13 | 203:10 209:14 | 49:20 52:8,17 |
| gonna 42:17 | green-shaded | 4:3 5:5 8:16 | 209:22,24 211:8 | 53:12,16 54:23 |
| 48:16 76:23 | 213:17 | 12:9,22 14:22 | 211:16,24 212:3 | 55:2 57:13,22 |
| 107:6,13 112:16 | Greg 2:8 4:23 | 15:1,15,17,21 | 215:12,15 | 59:23 60:3,6 |
| 126:22 127:6 | ground 156:19 | 20:22 21:22 | hand 108:19 | 64:3 68:7 70:4 |
| 130:3 131:12 | 178:7 200:8 | 22:18 23:5 | handed 49:1 | 70:12,16 72:18 |
| 133:6,24 134:1 | 204:8 | 29:21,24 38:14 | handful 160:3 | 73:8,22 74:8,12 |
| 148:15 162:24 | grounds 162:7 | 39:3,9 43:3 45:4 | handing 26:24 | 74:21 75:4,9,12 |
| 169:1 177:2 | groundwater | 45:7,10 46:3,17 | handle 65:2 | 75:18,23 76:4,6 |
| 187:15 193:19 | 23:24 37:11,19 | 47:5 48:17,20 | 211:14 | 76:9 78:9,18,21 |
| 211:1 215:17 | 38:4,8,20 39:17 | 49:14,20 52:8,17 | happened 131:1 | 86:11,12 94:10 |
| $\operatorname{good} 4: 2,17$ 5:1 | 39:22 40:2,6,18 | 53:12,16 54:23 | happening 46:11 | 96:6,11,14 100:9 |
| 108:13,14 177:9 | 40:19,24 41:4 | 55:2 57:13,22 | 46:13 | 100:17,23 |
| 187:13 199:14 | 47:18,20,22 48:1 | 59:23 60:3,6 | happens 22:22 | 105:17 106:15 |
| 213:21 | 49:17 87:15 | 64:3 68:7 70:4 | 23:6 121:20 | 107:3,20,24 |
| gosh 8:3 59:16 | 106:7 111:1 | 70:12,16 72:18 | 122:21 | 108:4,17,22 |
| gotta 20:23 54:13 | 112:1,15 113:1,7 | 73:8,22 74:8,12 | hard 91:12 177:3 | 114:2 116:11 |
| grain 134:23 | 113:11,12,15,17 | 74:21 75:4,12,18 | 202:16 204:6 | 125:21,23 |
| 135:1,2,3 208:23 | 113:20,22,24 | 75:23 76:4,6,9 | 206:4 | 126:24 127:2,4,8 |
| grain-size 133:22 | 114:6,23 117:13 | 78:9,18,21 86:12 | hate 71:19 | 128:2,7 131:5,15 |
| 136:15,16 | 117:16 118:7 | 96:6,11,14 100:9 | HDPE 17:16 31:8 | 131:17,21,24 |
| 138:14 | 119:7 123:7,24 | 100:17,23 | 66:22 67:15 | 140:21,24 141:2 |
| grant 18:5 | 123:24 125:4,8 | 105:17 106:15 | 69:11,24 70:21 | 148:15,17,21 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 158:2,4,6 160:18 | 145:10,12,13,15 | 117:6 | 114:14 115:18 | 88:10 90:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 162:3,5,13,15,18 | 174:6 214:23 | identification 5:9 | 115:20,24 116:1 | 91:9,20 94:22 |
| 162:23 166:21 | highest 38:3,21 | 13:9 31:12 54:3 | 123:4,22 124:16 | 95:8,12 102:24 |
| 166:24 167:2 | 40:18 185:12 | 129:9 157:22 | 124:20,22 | 103:1,3,19 |
| 170:20,22 171:1 | highway 155:7,8 | 158:9 163:20 | 125:14,17 126:2 | in- 198:20 |
| 171:2,5 175:12 | hire 84:6 | 167:12 171:16 | 129:16,20 140:3 | inactive 109:21,23 |
| 175:15,17 177:8 | hired 33:16 | 176:3 188:5 | 140:4,5 141:9 | 110:5 |
| 177:14,17 182:4 | historical 17:16 | 191:14 192:22 | 146:4,6,8,10,15 | inapplicability |
| 182:13 183:3,8 | 199:8 | 194:21 196:1 | 146:18,23 147:4 | 16:13 129:23 |
| 183:13,23 184:6 | history 19:16 | 201:11 | 147:9,11,11,14 | incapability |
| 184:9,16,17,21 | 101:18 | identified 20:19 | 147:20 148:3,12 | 126:19 |
| 185:2 186:11,14 | hold 109:10 | 21:3 22:4 57:18 | 214:5 217:1,4,18 | inches 177:23,24 |
| 187:4,6,8,17 | holding 190:11 | 111:20 141:22 | im- 63:14 | 178:5,5,8 185:19 |
| 188:14 189:8,10 | 192:4 195:9 | 142:11 150:18 | immediate 157:5 | 185:20 186:7 |
| 190:22 191:1,3 | hole 169:14,15 | 163:24 194:22 | 196:13 | 208:21 |
| 192:7,10,12 | 170:7 | identify 49:9 | impact 62:20,24 | include 24:13,16 |
| 194:10,12 | honestly 160:16 | 129:8 133:10 | 63:4 | 29:17 44:9 |
| 195:11,14,16 | 189:24 190:2 | 190:8 192:2 | impacted 33:19 | 118:21 121:23 |
| 197:14 199:1 | Honor 22:23 | 193:14 | impacts 62:11 | included 67:12 |
| 200:22 201:1,3 | 49:13 73:5 74:6 | IDNR 97:4,16,17 | 63:7,22,22 | 123:8 132:18 |
| 203:10 209:14 | 74:10,15 75:20 | 98:12 | 154:19 | includes 28:24 |
| 209:22,24 211:5 | 105:1 106:17 | IDNR's 98:7,9 | implemented | 29:15 87:4 90:7 |
| 211:8,16,22,24 | 107:18,23 | IEPA 6:2,20 17:3 | 161:11 | 123:23 148:7 |
| 212:3,10 215:10 | hopefully 26:20 | 29:19 123:10 | important 168:13 | including 73:12 |
| 215:12,15 217:7 | horizon 95:2 | IEPA's 6:9 18:11 | impounded 34:17 | 82:1,3 90:3 |
| 217:11 | Horton 4:10 | III 60:15 142:5,12 | 34:21 45:13 | inclusive 24:18 |
| hearings 17:8,9 | hour 1:14 | 143:22 144:10 | impoundment | incorrect 120:22 |
| 116:20 | hours 121:11 | 144:17 146:15 | 6:13 7:22 10:7 | increase 150:12 |
| heat 190:1 | 194:7 | IL 2:3,16 | 10:12 32:14,15 | 150:19 151:9,11 |
| heavier 206:23 | huge 18:6 | ILCS 5:15 | 34:10 36:19 | 151:12 |
| 209:6,6 | humid 190:1 | illegal 29:2 | 56:19 60:21,23 | increases 154:15 |
| heavily 203:4,5 | humidity 190:1 | Illi- 109:18 | 63:13,15,20 64:7 | increasing 160:3 |
| 206:6 | hydrogeology | Illinois 1:1 4:4 | 64:9 67:18,22,23 | independent |
| heavy 198:1 | 109:15 | 5:16 6:20 7:1,6 | 68:4,12 92:12,18 | 100:3 |
| 206:21,23 | hypothesized | 7:16,16,20 9:8 | 95:17 103:18 | indicate 86:21 |
| held 15:16 47:7 | 99:24 | 9:12 17:20 | 115:9 118:14,16 | 153:13 |
| 74:9 127:3 | hypothetical | 33:10,14 36:10 | 157:9,11 | indicated 43:11 |
| 212:2 215:14 | 39:24 40:1 64:2 | 56:4 65:8,10 | impoundments | 44:6 49:3,7,18 |
| help 26:22 |  | 67:1 76:17 | 29:3 30:12 31:4 | 123:10 150:11 |
| helpful 35:12 | I | 87:19,22 88:5 | 51:3,6,11 52:1,3 | indicates 135:16 |
| helping 93:9 | ice $121: 24$ | 89:16,24 90:13 | 52:13,21,23 53:3 | 153:14 |
| high 38:19 39:14 | idea 32:9 33:3 | 90:18 91:9,13 | 53:8 56:6 64:10 | indicating 20:3 |
| 62:10 86:1 | 4:23 65:13 | 92:3,7,15 99:15 | 64:11,12 65:2,5 | 66:13 73:13 |
| high-level 82:19 | 68:20 133:22 | 102:7 106:13 | 66:19,23 67:6 | 130:9 208:17 |
| higher 39:18 | identical 116:22 | 109:12,14,20 | 69:4 73:14 | indication 126:8 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

203:6
indicator 142:13
individual 64:22 177:4 182:23
individually 182:17
inference 38:7 information 9:11 11:20 73:11,12 73:15 99:20 107:9 139:24,24
initial 150:11
initiated 8:7 142:6
initiates 119:13
inlet 198:15,19
inquiry 211:10
inspect 188:21
211:2
inspect- 189:20
inspection 147:22
188:9,11,17
190:5,6,14
191:20,22 193:6
193:10,12 195:2
195:5,10 196:7
196:10 200:7
inspections 148:5
188:14,15
189:20 194:6
196:12 207:6
inspects 119:12
install 29:3 97:8
161:16
installation 24:7
66:22
installed 24:1,10
28:16,20 47:24
48:4 49:16 50:7
50:13,15,19
53:18,23 69:24
70:20 71:5,12
installing 36:18
instance 25:4
89:12
instances 77:21

| intake 113:13 | 163:16 167:7 | jogged 34:2 | jumps 206:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 198:20 199:12 | 171:12 175:23 | joined 85:16 | June 24:2,3 29:7 |
| 202:3 203:1,3,3 | 187:24 191:9 | 87:13 | 47:11 51:3 |
| integrity 2:11 | 192:18 194:17 | Joliet 13:19 14:3,5 | 215:18,23 216:2 |
| 119:12 | 195:21 201:8 | 14:7 17:8,10 | jungle 190:2 |
| intended 169:6,9 | invasive 81:13 | 19:7,7 20:10 | 204:19 207:7 |
| 170:14 | 102:6 | 23:14 24:1,11,19 | justice 62:1,15,18 |
| intense 190:2 | investi- 25:12 | 24:21 25:11,14 | 62:21 65:7,11,15 |
| intent 104:1 | investigate 11:24 | 26:3 27:10,17,20 | K |
| interest 12:10 | investigation 9:22 | 28:11,16,20 29:6 | K |
| interested 81:8,11 | 10:1 11:19,23 | 48:9 56:14,15 | keep 59:16 107:13 |
| 97:1,5,14 166:18 | 12:2 25:10,24 | 58:12,17 65:19 | 187:20 |
| interface 197:3 | 26:8 80:13,18 | 67:9,20 68:17,18 | kg@nijmanfra... |
| interference 83:20 | 159:8,12 161:6 | 70:9 73:2,3 | 2:18 |
| interior 211:2 | involved 17:10 | 76:18,21 79:24 | kilogram 152:24 |
| interpret 39:1 | 26:13 31:22 | 80:8,13,18,21 | 178:12,14,16 |
| 89:11,24 | 85:8 110:20 | 82:4,8 97:6 98:8 | kind 115:11,23 |
| interpretations | 203:22,24 | 98:10 99:7 | 118:3,17 147:21 |
| 179:8 | involvement 32:5 | 101:3,6,16 | 159:13 189:1 |
| interrupt 131:6 | iron 173:3,10,16 | 111:15,23 112:1 | 198:5,15 206:16 |
| 182:5 202:7 | 178:15 | 113:1,9,17,22 | 208:15 213:9 |
| interruption 10:8 | island 99:9 | 114:6 116:12,13 | knew 99:2 |
| 11:4 14:21 15:5 | isolates 198:10 | 117:9 124:1,23 | know 4:8 9:15 |
| 16:10 18:8 | issue 46:7 76:21 | 125:1,7 126:19 | 13:15 18:19 |
| 20:21 34:5 | 106:5 | 129:23 130:22 | 19:11,14,17 23:3 |
| 36:14 50:9 | issued 66:24 | 132:15 134:2 | 23:14 26:18 |
| 54:22 62:6 68:6 | 123:8 | 135:8,15,19 | 27:13 29:9,10 |
| 80:14 95:9 | issues 100:22 | 136:21 137:21 | 39:24 41:5 |
| 100:8 104:16 | 110:23 111:1 | 139:4 141:14,18 | 42:22 45:17 |
| 112:9 120:15 | 119:16 126:9 | 141:18 146:5 | 47:15 50:5 53:2 |
| 133:2 141:15 | 173:5,11 | 149:19 150:4 | 54:9 58:20 |
| 143:5 146:20 | issuing 17:3 | 152:10 155:4 | 64:14,21 65:9 |
| 150:22 153:4 | item 74:15 | 158:18 188:10 | 67:12,22 69:9,22 |
| 160:21 166:6 | IV 61:24 144:7,17 | 188:21 195:3 | 74:21 84:9,17,20 |
| 173:6 177:13 | 144:19 146:15 | 204:11 212:7,14 | 85:13 87:4 91:1 |
| 180:7 207:11,17 |  | 212:17 | 91:18 92:20 |
| intersection | J | Josh 130:19 | 99:5 101:10,23 |
| 196:21 | January 20:7 | Joshua 131:7 | 105:2,18,22 |
| interval 142:6 | 92:16,16 110:12 | 132:8 139:1 | 107:7 108:17 |
| 186:6 | 113:2 146:3 | JS-29-20:20 | 121:5,7,10,10 |
| introduce 4:15 | 148:13 | JS29-GT-2 20:20 | 139:23 140:4,11 |
| 5:8 31:11 54:3 | jar 120:2,9 | 21:4,12 | 140:12,14 145:3 |
| introduced 5:11 | Jennifer 2:15 5:2 | JS29-GT-3 21:4 | 147:15 148:1 |
| 13:6 31:15 54:6 | jn@nijmanfran... | 21:12 | 153:2,7 154:24 |
| 129:12 157:24 | 2:17 | July 37:14 149:9 | 156:2,24 157:15 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 159:20,22 160:8 | L582.5 37:10 | learn 92:7 96:23 | levels 37:19 113:8 | 46:20 52:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160:15 162:24 | L584.14 37:14 | 97:11,12 98:3,6 | 184:2,14 | 97:24 117:12,20 |
| 174:22 179:3 | L590.5 37:10 | 101:20 | license 110:1,8 | 121:8 131:22 |
| 182:22 183:7 | lab 115:1 121:23 | learned 61:13,16 | lies 111:5 | 147:21 159:21 |
| 186:20 187:9,20 | 122:1,2 123:16 | 63:8,17,18,19 | likelihood 95:20 | 168:18 185:22 |
| 189:1 190:3 | 171:22 174:17 | 89:4 | limestone 44:8 | 188:24 190:3 |
| 193:19 196:22 | 182:22,23 183:2 | learning 89:6 | 208:11,12 | 196:17 200:12 |
| 199:13,20 203:4 | 183:18 185:18 | 97:10 | limit 142:16,22 | 205:8 206:3 |
| 205:6,14,23 | 185:19 186:1,5 | learns 89:22 | 143:3,4,8 145:7 | 209:6,6 210:15 |
| 206:6 207:2 | label 44:4 | leave 79:22 | 145:14 150:14 | 211:1 213:12 |
| 208:16,17,20,21 | labeled 44:3 66:6 | leaves 204:16 | 150:15,17 151:3 | 215:19 |
| 211:4 | 167:13 | leaving 34:17,20 | 151:4,14,22 | LLC 1:8 5:4 |
| knowing 133:11 | laboratory 171:23 | 36:13 45:13 | 152:17,19,21 | LLC's 129:22 |
| 133:12 | 176:8 | 79:5,8 | 153:10,16 | LLP 2:14 |
| knowledge 28:10 | lack 32:21 78:7 | left 16:7 41:17 | 155:17,23 156:1 | local 97:4 |
| 49:24 50:2,22 | Lakes 102:3,4,6 | 173:22 198:7 | 156:7 | located 42:3 64:9 |
| 51:1 55:20 | land 81:2 83:20 | 202:3 203:4 | limits 142:4,5 | 65:2,6 172:13 |
| 70:22 71:14 | 97:2,5 98:10 | left-hand 41:24 | line 32:9 38:22 | 176:4 186:9 |
| 99:13 | 125:6 199:21 | 43:12 | 42:17 43:11 | 191:15 196:3 |
| known 24:13,17 | landfill 215:3 | legacy 95:8,11 | 44:14,16,21 | 197:22 |
| 95:19 155:7 | large 198:12 | length 170:8 | 131:6 196:15 | location 26:18 |
| 212:24 | 213:6 | 188:19 190:13 | 198:1,5,13,14 | 48:7 50:6 139:3 |
| knows 46:21 | larger 144:6,11 | 192:6 193:18 | 202:22,24 | 197:18 |
| 203:15 | 147:21 170:7 | 195:10 199:20 | line-71:12 | locations 41:21 |
| KPRG 20:19 21:4 | 206:22 | let's 30:5 33:8 | lined 18:17 71:12 | 103:10,12 112:4 |
| 21:13,18,24 22:9 | largest 144:17 | 47:5 81:5,5 88:3 | liner 17:17,17 | 158:15,16,16 |
| 41:9 42:18 | LaSalle 2:16 | 100:10 108:2 | 18:6,21 21:9 | 161:11,12 172:8 |
| 110:10,11,18 | LAUGHRIDGE | 111:23 117:7 | 31:3,8 33:11,12 | lock 81:24 82:3,8 |
| 119:7 123:19 | 2:15 | 119:8 130:5 | 33:19 40:9,22 | 82:9 97:8 |
| 125:2 130:20 | law 1:3 30:14,14 | 136:22 151:8 | 41:3 69:24 | 199:12 |
| 131:11,20 132:6 | 65:8,10 94:18,22 | 152:5,17 157:17 | 70:21 71:12 | lock-and-dam |
| 139:1 141:19 | 99:15 | 164:15 172:24 | 93:16 104:23 | 205:12 |
| 146:5 147:23 | laws 104:1 | 174:11 179:11 | liners 28:20 32:21 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g }} 122: 2164: 2,11$ |
| 149:12 161:9 | lawyer 16:17 | 196:22,22 | 53:22,23 66:23 | 164:14,16,20,21 |
| 166:4,10 214:16 | lay 131:18,22 | 201:20 | lines 8:4 | 168:4,7 |
| KPRGs 84:10 | layer 170:12 | letter 20:6 23:15 | liquid 137:18 | logged 164:13 |
| Kristen 2:15 5:1 | layers 164:11 | 23:17 46:22 | liquids 155:14 | 166:1,2 |
| 202:7 | leach 24:20 25:3 | 188:9 191:20 | list 12:11 114:13 | logs 83:6 163:23 |
| L | 51:13 214:5,10 | 193:7,10 195:2 | 144:6,10,11,17 | 166:15,16 |
| L | leachate 214:9 | level 37:20 38:4 | 146:16 162:16 | 167:18 168:10 |
| l-e-a-c-h 24:21 | lead 214:19 | 38:21 39:18 | 162:17,20 | 176:12 |
| 25:1,3 51:14 | leading 125:20 | 40:3,18 43:23 | liter 152:24 | long 59:2,2 98:16 |
| l-e-a-c-h-a-t-e | 209:13 | 44:18,21 86:1 | 173:16,17,18,20 | 101:19 110:11 |
| 214:9 | leaf 25:5 190:4 | 119:13 145:9 | literally 200:12 | 194:5 |
| l-e-a-f 25:1,5 | leak 41:3 | 186:3,4 | little 8:23 38:3 | long-term 169:6 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| longer 46:9,14 | lower 152:3 174:5 | 86:11 157:21 | 186:22 197:9 | 114:20,21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 121:8 | lowest 185:11 | 158:9 163:19,20 | 206:3 | 115:16 116:5 |
| look 8:3 16:7 | Lucia 217:3,17 | 171:15 176:3 | meaning 50:12 | 17:3,21,22 |
| 20:16 26:2 | Lucy 15:22 39:10 | 188:5 191:14 | 169:11 | 118:9,22 119:5 |
| 41:24 42:12 | 48:18 60:7 70:5 | 192:21 194:20 | means 83:15 85:3 | 160:3 |
| 95:5 99:7 107:9 | 96:14 100:12,14 | 195:24 201:11 | 109:24 118:11 | metaphor 83:14 |
| 107:10 130:9 | 148:23 187:18 | master's 109:13 | meant 106:3 | 84:3 |
| 137:6 145:4 | 212:4 | material 39:21,23 | 197:1 | metaphorically |
| 152:17 154:5 | lunch 127:6 | 77:24 81:17 | measure | 83:18 |
| 161:14 164:8 | Lundy 20:7 | 133:23 134:5,6,7 | 16:4 | method 60:24 |
| 166:14 175:7 |  | 134:12,18,24 | mechanism 85:7 | 62:3 63:16 |
| 178:3 187:11 | M | 135:13,16,17,17 | 120:1 | Michigan 109:16 |
| 196:22 200:19 | M 129:17 | 135:22 136:3,4,5 | medium 135:14 | middle 4:12 |
| 201:24 203:23 | magic 163:8 | 136:11,12,16,20 | medium-sized | 118:13 189:24 |
| looked 65:15,19 | 170:24 171:3 | 137:1,9,15 138:1 | 135:14 | 204:18 213:5 |
| 5:22 128:24 | 189:9 | 138:2,4,10,15,17 | meet $65: 17,18,20$ | Midwest 1:8 4:15 |
| 185:7 196:16 | magnesiu | 139:17,21 | 65:23 214:16 | 5:3,24 6:11 7:7 |
| 200:9,10,11,20 | 208:12 | 140:18 164:6 | meeting 123:14 | 7:17 8:7 9:23 |
| looking 84:23 | magnesium | 168:23 169:21 | meets 44:21 | 0:17,23 14:1 |
| 112:22 117:24 | 208:12 | 196:19 197:10 | Megan 2:5 4:19 | 16:8 17:11,15,21 |
| 124:23 129:15 | main 115:1 | 209:19 213:14 | megan.wachspr... | 18:12,24 19:3 |
| 135:9 137:4,15 | 212:23 213:3 | 215:2,5 | 2:7 | 23:21 24:20 |
| 153:9 157:20 | maintain 110:1 | materials 9:8 | melt 196 | 25:5 27:9,16,23 |
| 167:23,24 | majority 213:2 | 33:19 69:10 | memo 106:19 | 28:10,16,20,24 |
| 172:20 176:9 | management | 103:18 155:14 | 131:13 | 29:5,15 31:2 |
| 198:23 201:24 | 95:13 125:5,8 | 161:18,20 | memorandum | 33:1,24 47:11,17 |
| 202:2,5,18 205:2 | manganese 173 | 169:16 | 130:19 138:24 | 47:24 49:16 |
| 205:4 208:3,20 | 173:10,16 | matter 4:5 6:8, | memory 23:8,10 | 50:15,22,23 51:1 |
| 210:4 212:16 | 178:13 | 6:11 12:21 | 23:12 24:8 | 51:9,13,23 52:6 |
| 214:14 215:4 | $\boldsymbol{m a p} 26: 14,17$ | 108:16 109:6 | 7:12 33:23 | 2:14 53:18 |
| looks 13:17 44:2 | 48:10 49:2,6,18 | 111:21 126:17 | 4:2 97:24 | 6:21 57:6,17 |
| 107:1 129:1 | 49:23 50:4 99:7 | 215:18 | 14:4 143:18 | 58:2,6,7,11,16 |
| 201:21 203:15 | 99:12 112:15 | maximum 37: | mention 62:18 | 58:22 59:5,9,14 |
| 206:21 207:22 | 113:7,20,24 | 37:22 39:18 | mentioned 8:23 | 68:23 69:3,9,20 |
| loop 84:8 128:6 | 117:24 123:24 | 40:3 145:7,9,14 | 25:23 28:8 65:1 | 69:23 70:20 |
| 187:12 215:20 | 157:19 161:10 | mean 10:18 18:19 | 81:7 90:12,24 | 71:11 76:12 |
| lost 16:23 | 197:15 198:23 | 38:15 49:9 | 96:20,22 101:22 | 84:16 85:16 |
| $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o t }}$ 5:23 19:10 | 199:7 212:7,17 | 62:21,22 63:3 | 141:7 149:20 | 87:9,13,21,22,23 |
| 61:13,18 63:19 | maps 37:11 199:8 | 88:13 92:2 | 167:19 | 88:4,6 89:4,22 |
| 82:12 110:2 | marked 3:6,10 5:9 | 101:18 106:2 | met 104:13,19 | 90:12,17 91:19 |
| 204:15 205:8 | 8:1 13:9 19:24 | 109:23 114:22 | 123:12 197:2 | 94:9,17 103:8,20 |
| 207:5 | 20:4 31:12 | 122:6 137:12 | metal 56:17,22 | 106:19 108:8 |
| low 93:7,12,14 | 41:21 42:14 | 150:13 157:4,10 | 57:16 59:6,17 | 111:7,11 114:5 |
| 159:24 175:10 | 43:20 44:17 | 162:7 174:8 | 67:14 | 114:14 125:3 |
| low-flow 119:17 | 48:12 54:3 | 178:1 183:6 | metals 114:18,19 | 126:17 129:21 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 140:22 141:10 | 8:21 9:6,12,17 | 5:1 79:3 108:13 | MWG13-15 76:24 | 62:23,24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 141:14,18 | 10:4 11:23 12:3 | 108:14 | 130:2 158:11 | neighbors 63:5 |
| 147:16 158:2 | 12:5 | move 12:8 29:19 | 163:21 167:16 | neither 31:7 |
| 162:3 166:22 | mixed 44:8 | 45:5 46:1 54:2 | 171:18 176:5 | network 1:4 2:13 |
| 167:15 170:18 | Mm-hmm 56:3 | 59:22,22 69:7 | 177:12,19 186:9 | 4:22 118:7 |
| 171:23 175:13 | 133:7 143:19 | 73:6 74:16 85:6 | 191:15 193:2 | 141:23 144:16 |
| 175:18 176:8 | 198:3 | 102:12 105:3,11 | 194:22 196:3 | neutral 159:21 |
| 183:4 189:11 | mobilize 33:17 | 129:5 140:22 | 202:15 204:21 | 174:3,6,10,22 |
| 190:19,21,23 | modification | 143:9 156:12 | 205:20 206:12 | 175:8 186:22,23 |
| 192:8 194:8 | 123:3 | 186:15 187:5 | 207:23 209:3,21 | 214:4,9 |
| 195:12 199:24 | modify 122:24 | moves 155:2 | 210:12,20 215:4 | never 69:23 71:11 |
| 200:23 202:1 | Mohawk 2:2 | 156:22 158:3 | N | 78:13 87:23 |
| 211:6 | moment 15:14 | 162:3 166:22 | $\mathbf{N}$ | 88:5 97:20 |
| mile 65:6 | 30:7 66:4 68:21 | 170:18 175:13 | N 3:1 128:1,1,1 | 125:15 126:7 |
| milligrams 152:23 | 74:7 76:1 | 190:23 192:8 | name 4:2 | 167:22 |
| 152:24 173:16 | 148:14 176:23 | 194:8 195:12 | named 57:7 68:1 | new 5:8 47:24 |
| 173:17,17,20 | moments 96:10 | 200:23 211:6 | Narrative 36:9 | 48:3 66:22 |
| 178:12,14,16 | monitoring 24:1,6 | moving 154:22 | native 208:8 | 73:15 91:7 95:1 |
| mind 61:14 78:13 | 24:10 25:10 | 155:1 156:9 | nature 133:23 | Nijman 2:14,15 |
| 167:22 | 26:3,12,14 41:20 | 157:1,4 174:22 | 138:16 156:21 | 5:2 53:11 |
| minimis 72:15,16 | 42:1,8,14 43:20 | 186:12 211:1 | 162:10 164:6 | nine 66:23 67:2,5 |
| 72:20 | 44:13,20 48:1,4 | multiple 17:7 | ne- 25:20 | 67:7,13,19 68:19 |
| minimize 119:15 | 49:3,6,10,17,24 | 25:16,17 47:21 | near 80:21 82:8 | 68:21,24 176:17 |
| Minnesota 109:22 | 50:3,16 80:3,13 | multitask 187:10 | 98:8 159:8,8 | nine-tenths |
| minus 194:4 | 80:18 112:4,11 | MW 153:21 | 207:22 | 126:22 |
| minute 47:6 86:7 | 113:1 118:5,7,18 | MW- 153:22 | nearly $72: 4,5$ | Nishioka 5:3 |
| 127:1 187:16 | 118:19 120:13 | MW-09 158:17, 19 | necessarily 62:20 | nitty-gritty 42:24 |
| 212:1 | 120:21,23 | 158:23 | need 26:14 40:12 | non-119:23 |
| minutes 76:5 | 141:23 142:7,8 | MW-10 118:21 | 43:14,17 45:1,18 | noncompliance |
| 121:11 | 142:10 143:10 | 151:2,2,4,5,5,17 | 45:22 48:10 | 126:9 |
| mischaracteriza... | 143:12,16,24 | 154:6 155:17 | 64:20 70:12 | north 30:19,22 |
| 22:12 57:11 | 144:3,6,13,15,16 | 156:4 | 76:1 104:7 | 45:13 159:1 |
| mischaracterized | 145:22 146:12 | MW-3 151:1 | 106:10 110:6 | 203:2 212:23 |
| 21:20 | 146:12 148:10 | 153:24 | 121:6 128:11 | 213:9 |
| mischaracterizes | 149:8 150:4,9 | MW-4 151:1 | 174:12 182:20 | northeast 24:13 |
| 38:10 70:10 | 151:6,16,20 | MW-5 37:14 | 183:4,16,20 | 81:2,7,9 82:4 |
| 100:7,19 | 152:10,20,22 | 151:1,3 | 184:11,13 | 97:6 101:17 |
| misprint 178:4 | 155:17 157:13 | MW-9 27:6 | 187:15 | 188:20 194:2,3 |
| missed 137:20 | 159:9,13,15 | 153:20 161:7 | needed 32:13,15 | 199:6 212:18 |
| missing 50:4 | 169:4,7 170:6 | 169:3 170:1 | 34:10 121:23 | Northeastern |
| misspoke 16:5 | month 18:1 97:18 | 173:12 175:1 | 122:24 192:3 | 109:12 |
| 75:11,16 | 98:13,24 | MW3 153:9 | 193:15 | northern 156:14 |
| misstates 70:2 | months 65:14 | MWG 33:13 56:5 | needs 90:18 | northwest 24:17 |
| mitigate 8:7 12:1 | 156:23 | 66:22 | $182: 11$ | 212:11,20,21 |
| mitigation 8:15 | morning 4:2,17 | MWG- 210:19 | neighborhood | 213:17 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| Nos 163:13 | 21:20 22:11 | offered 8:14,20 | 184:9,16,17,21 | 48:20 49:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Notary 217:18 | 29:23 38:10 | 9:6 | 185:2 186:11,14 | 50:3,22 51:8 |
| note 12:13 86:9 | 47:2 49:19 52:7 | office 166:18 | 187:4,6,8,17 | 53:15,18 54:1,1 |
| 173:19 196:14 | 52:16 53:10,11 | officer 1:12 4:1,3 | 189:8,10 190:22 | 54:2 55:10,13,16 |
| 205:8 | 53:13 57:10,21 | 5:5 8:16 12:9,12 | 191:1,3 192:7,10 | 55:19 56:4,12,24 |
| noted 37:8 55:10 | 59:19 64:1 70:2 | 12:22 14:22 | 192:12 194:10 | 57:3 58:6 59:9 |
| 73:23 137:1,8 | 70:10 74:2 75:1 | 15:1,15,17,21 | 194:12 195:11 | 59:21 60:19 |
| 151:5 154:14 | 75:3,5 78:7 | 20:22 21:22 | 195:14,16 | 65:1 66:3,9,11 |
| 155:16 193:17 | 100:4,19 105:23 | 22:18 23:5 | 200:22 201:1,3 | 67:14 68:2,16,16 |
| notes 19:2 72:17 | 105:23 125:19 | 29:21,24 38:14 | 203:10 209:14 | 69:6,6,8 74:24 |
| 107:14 217:9 | 131:12 141:1 | 39:3,9 42:16 | 209:22,24 211:5 | 76:4,20,22 77:5 |
| notice 41:16 | 158:5 163:3,4 | 43:3 45:4,7,10 | 211:8,16,22,24 | 77:17 79:1 |
| 126:16 190:12 | 167:1 170:21 | 46:3,17 47:5 | 212:3 215:10,12 | 81:14 82:11 |
| noticed 193:7 | 171:7 175:16,19 | 48:17,20 49:14 | 215:15 | 86:4,24 87:3 |
| November 41:13 | 182:8,14,21 | 49:20 52:8,17 | offsite 154:19 | 88:16 90:11 |
| 113:8 130:21 | 184:22,23 185: | 53:12,16 54:23 | 215:3,3 | 98:14 99:18 |
| 188:10 189:23 | 187:7 189:12 | 55:2 57:13,22 | oh 6:4 8:3 9:2 | 100:9 102:9,12 |
| 190:6 191:21 | 191:2,5 192:11 | 59:23 60:3,6 | 23:16 35:1,2 | 102:21 103:8 |
| 193:8,9 196:9 | 192:14 193:21 | 64:3 68:7 70:4 | 41:11 67:2 70:7 | 105:1 107:3 |
| number 15:19 | 194:11,13 | 70:12,16 72:18 | 70:14 73:18 | 113:5 126:20 |
| 16:4 55:5 | 195:15,17 201: | 73:8,22 74:8,12 | 79:23 81:5 86:3 | 129:20 130:15 |
| 126:21 129:9 | 201:4 203:9 | 74:21 75:4,9,12 | 101:10 121:18 | 131:1,15 133:24 |
| 158:10,11 | 209:13 211:9,18 | 75:18,23 76:4,6 | 129:8 136:2 | 135:6,18 136:14 |
| 167:24 168:3,7 | objections 73:23 | 76:9 78:9,18,21 | 167:21 171:5 | 136:22 138:11 |
| 202:15 | 162:20 163:5 | 86:12 96:6,11,14 | 186:16 198:13 | 138:20 139:7 |
| numbered 60:14 | obligation 103:9 | 100:9,17,23 | 207:15 211:14 | 140:9 141:2 |
| numbers 66:15 | observation | 105:17 106:15 | okay 6:7 7:20,24 | 145:17 149:15 |
| 153:9,19 174:5,6 | 198:17 | 107:3,20,24 | 8:3,12 9:17 10:3 | 150:1 151:8 |
| 202:9 211:12 | observatio | 108:4,17,22 | 11:12,22 12:6,22 | 152:7,21 153:9 |
| numeric 151:23 | 164:5 | 125:21,23 | 13:8,24 14:24 | 154:8,11 157:17 |
| 152:1 | observes 203:12 | 126:24 127:2,4 | 15:4 16:16 17:5 | 162:23 164:8,15 |
| NW 2:12 | obtained 113:8 | 128:2 131:5,15 | 18:24 19:3 | 174:11,11 |
|  | obviously 110:20 | 131:17,21,24 | 20:16 $21: 7$ | 176:22 177:6 |
| 0 | 187:10 | 140:21,24 141:2 | 22:18 23:5 | 178:17 179:10 |
| O 128:1,1,1 | occupatio | 148:15,17,21 | 24:12 26:4,7,12 | 182:16 183:23 |
| O'Day 190:20 | 109:9 | 158:2,4,6 160:18 | 26:16,19 27:22 | 184:16 185:2 |
| Oakland 2:6 | occurs 120:10 | 162:3,5,13,15,18 | 28:6 29:18 | 186:14 187:13 |
| object 12:17 | 164:4 | 162:23 166:21 | 30:16 31:2,10,19 | 187:17 197:17 |
| 22:14 38:22 | October 9:18 | 166:24 167:2 | 31:22 33:5,8,23 | 197:21 199:19 |
| 42:17 46:4 49:8 | 150:5 152:13 | 170:20,22 171:1 | 35:10,14 36:7 | 201:20 202:18 |
| 73:9 131:6 | Oddly 54:9 | 171:2,5 175:12 | 37:3,7,19 40:20 | 205:18 211:16 |
| 162:6 177:3 | odor 136:13 | 175:15,17 177:8 | 40:20 41:16,20 | 211:24 212:22 |
| objected 100:15 | 138:10 | 177:14,17 182:4 | 42:3,12 43:16,22 | old 73:11 172:15 |
| 183:12 184:5 | offer 83:14 105:2 | 182:13 183:3,8 | 43:22 45:6,24 | 172:15 |
| objection 13:2 | 106:2,4 107:14 | 183:13,23 184:6 | 46:17 48:5,11,13 | once 119:17 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 121:19 142:2,10 | 107:13 | 45:1 | 159:16 161:19 | period 101:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 156:18 168:1,6 | owned 199:22 | parameter 145:3 | 172:15 173:12 | 170:15 |
| 169:20 | owner 110:16,17 | 145:8,10 146:17 | 200:10 203:1 | anent 170:1 |
| ones | owns 102:10 | para | 212:7 | 170:4,5 |
| ongoing 155 |  | 114:13,16,18 | partial 60:20 | ermission 17:16 |
| open 207:16,19 |  | 115:14 116:2 | participate 16:18 | 92:18 |
| opens 119:1 | p- | 119:18 123:9 | 16:24 17:2 | permit 33:14,16 |
| operate 64:11 | p.m 127:7,10 | 142:5,11,12,13 | 149:13 | 88:21 90:13,22 |
| operates 104:8 | 128:4,7 211:2 | 143:13,17,21,22 | participated 17:9 | 91:8 92:12 |
| operating 62:4 | 216:1 | 144:7,8,12,18,19 | particles 77:22 | 104:23 106:12 |
| 103:22 104:21 | pack 170 | 144:21 146:13 | 135:15 | 123:4,6,13 124:8 |
| operation 19:16 | package 174:18 | 146:16 150:14 | particular | 188:18 |
| operations 110:19 | 185:16 | 155:21 161:14 | 132:14 150:10 | permits 66:24 |
| opinion 61:6,10 | page 3:1 8:1 | 173:10 214:11 | 152:13 157:8 | 90:18 |
| 61:17 | 18:16 20:5,13 | paraphrase 18:7 | 198:16 206:2 | permitting 124:19 |
| opinions 61:15 | 21:8 22:16 36:8 | paraphrasing | particularly 42:24 | person 85:9 122:2 |
| 183:1 | 37:8 42:13 | 104:2 156:2 | parties 116:19 | personally 166:15 |
| opportunity | 55:24 56:1 | parens 37:14 | 127:5 | 168:9 |
| 142:18 | 60:16,16,19 | part 6:24 9:8 | partner | perspective 148:7 |
| opposed 1 | 61:23 63:12 | 10:22 15:9,11 | partners 102:7 | peruses 54:15 |
| 186:7 | 66:5,14,15,17 | 16:14 17:11 | passed 89:2,15 | 77:15 |
| option 1 | 76:23 77:7 87:1 | 25:19,24 31:4,21 | 115:9,21 179:6,9 | Peter 190:20 |
| options 142:24 | 112:18 134:1,21 | 32:21,24 34:1 | 214:8 | petition 7:7,18 9:9 |
| order 17:24 18:3 | 135:19 136:15 | 43:21 50:8,10,20 | passing 43:12 | 11:2,6 16:8,22 |
| 18:7,9 123:2,3 | 136:17 137:21 | 55:8 57:8,19 | pasture 160:14 | 93:19 126:17,23 |
| orders 73:13 | 149:5 167:10 | 58:4,9,13,18,24 | pathway 142:23 | 129:22 130:6 |
| orient 128:21,23 | 168:3,6 177:12 | 59:7,11 62:16 | Patrick 114:10 | pH 159:19,23 |
| 158:22 197:21 | 177:19 178:17 | 64:12 65:16 | pause 7:11 26:23 | 160:2,5 173:4,10 |
| 212:22 | 180:21,22 | 71:3,4 73:20 | 35:17 40:10 | 173:18 174:3,4,8 |
| originally 184:2 | 183:17 185:18 | 75:11,17,17 | 48:15,19 71:20 | 174:16,18,20 |
| outdated 105:8 | 191:11 197:13 | 79:17,18,18 | 76:8 86:8 96:13 | 175:4,7 177:1 |
| outside 9:12 27:17 | 202:15 207:21 | 80:17 82:24 | 148:20 176:18 | 178:9,21,22 |
| 27:24 28:7 42:4 | 215:4,5 | 83:3 84:13 85:5 | paying 110:3 | 179:14,17,19,21 |
| 51:2,5,10,24 | pages 5:23 20: | 88:21,21 89:6,10 | PCB 1:7 | 179:23 180:1,3 |
| 52:3,13,21,23 | 60:14 66:12 | 89:12,14,18,20 | PDF 66:5 | 180:14,18,20 |
| 53:3,7 95:16 | 88:14,14 129:7 | 90:17 91:20 | PE 41:6 130:20 | 181:2,4,6,8,10 |
| 128:5 | 129:19 180:24 | 94:13,19 97:6 | peculiar 206:18 | 181:12,14,16,18 |
| outweigh 63:23 | 201 | 99:16 103:9 | pendency 95:20 | 181:20,22,24 |
| overall 118:6 | paragraph 20:17 | 106:8,21 110:16 | peninsula 99:8 | 182:2 183:10,19 |
| 196:11 | 21:10 33:9 37:7 | 110:17 116:21 | people 85:8 | 184:2,14 185:11 |
| overgrown 190:2 | 61:23 77:7,10,13 | 118:6 124:23 | 156:15 199:8 | 185:12 |
| overlap 115:15 | 77:18 134:10,17 | 125:2 126:19 | percent 142.6 | phase 86:11 |
| overrule 43:7 | 135:10 136:2,22 | 131:19 132:5 | percolates 156:19 | 197:14 199:1 |
| overruled 13:1 | 136:23 138:15 | 139:3 141:23 | performed 29:5 | 212:9 |
| 46:18 78:9 | paragraphs 21:9 | 142:9 150:8 | 47:10,18 51:23 | phenomenal |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 61:19 | 35:24 36:5,9 | 22:10 23:10,13 | 30:18 32:21 | 111:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| photo 204:20,23 | 37:1 45:12 | 23:22 27:14 | 36:12,24 37:20 | Prairie 1:3 2:13 |
| 204:24 205:18 | 46:10,13 93:1,16 | 28:2 30:18,19,19 | 42:4 68:24 | 4:22 |
| 206:11 209:2,20 | 98:7 | 30:19 31:7,7 | 69:11 71:18 | pre-filed 54:18 |
| 210:12 | planes 83:19 | 33:2,11,17 34:1 | 72:5,5 73:3,13 | pre-hearing |
| photograph 41:17 | plans 35:8 36:23 | 34:9,16,20 35:4 | 79:5 92:4 118:6 | 106:19 |
| 41:21 43:12 | 88:10,13,17,20 | 35:6,24 36:1,13 | 126:11 131:4 | Precisely 56:10 |
| 172:12 | 89:3 90:1,4,9 | 36:17,23 37:9,13 | 132:14,15,15,17 | prediction 142:4 |
| pHs 185:9 186:20 | plant 137:11, 13 | 37:23 38:2,9,20 | 132:22 133:1,17 | 142:5,15,22 |
| physical 11:15 | 159:5 172:16 | 38:20 39:19,22 | 139:17 213:4 | 143:2,3,8 150:15 |
| 139:22 | 196:15 198:10 | 40:3,5,9,18 42:5 | portion 143:24 | 151:3,4,14,22 |
| picked 18:3 | 201:22 202:1 | 45:12,21 56:13 | 213:2 | 152:16,19,21 |
| picture 200:20 | 212:24 213:5 | 56:14,14,15 58:3 | pos- 56:21 | 153:10,15 |
| 201:21 202:21 | please 8:17 15:19 | 58:8,12,12,17 | position 10:23 | 155:16,23 156:1 |
| 204:15 205:2,22 | 39:10 54:24 | 67:7,8,9,20,24 | 11:8,9 56:22 | 156:7 |
| 206:4,20 208:1,3 | 70:5 76:17 | 68:2 69:14,18,24 | 57:6,18 58:2,7,7 | preliminary 88:16 |
| 209:4 210:16,19 | 100:16 107:4 | 70:8,10,21,23 | 58:11,16,22 59:5 | 89:3 90:4,8 |
| pictures 201:14 | 113:3 128:22 | 71:7,8,16,22 | 59:10,15 107:16 | preparation 16:18 |
| 201:15,16 | 149:5,24 157:18 | 72:1,10 76:18 | 110:15 | 17:6 31:23 89:3 |
| 202:10 205:24 | 167:10 171:14 | 79:5,8 93:2,7,7 | possible 21 | 149:13 |
| 210:8 | 176:3 177:15 | 93:12,14 103:21 | 215:20 | prepare 145:19,20 |
| pile 213:7,8 | 185:3,15 188:2 | 104:7,23 118:1,1 | Post 63:2 | 145:21 147:18 |
| pilot 83:17 84:1 | 191:12 192:20 | 118:4,4,13,18,19 | post-gradu | 149:17,21 |
| pilots 84:6 | 192:21 195:23 | 118:24 119:9 | 09:15 | prepared 147:16 |
| pink 158:19 | plus 73:19 194:4 | 120:14,20 | posted 35 | 190:15 |
| pixelated 162:9 | point 8:24 9:1,4 | 130:23 131: | potential 119:15 | preparing 147:16 |
| place 13:8 34:17 | 18:15 29:4 33:7 | 132:15,15 | 128:5 150:11,18 | present 57:12,23 |
| 34:21 36:13,18 | 36:5 56:17,20 | 133:11,13,1 | 154:15,17 197:7 | 77:23 |
| 45:13 79:6,9 | 61:21 67:17 | 134:2,6,13,24 | potentially 154:19 | presented 91:13 |
| 120:8 203:12 | 68:13 92:10 | 135:8,15,17,19 | power 111:11,13 | presenting 125:4 |
| place- 32:14 | 102:19 103:22 | 135:20 136:4,6 | 201:22 202:1 | preservation |
| placed 27:2 | 111:4 122:5 | 136:16,20 137:1 | Powerton 56:16 | 115:2 |
| 101:17 120:9 | 123:10 124:20 | 137:9,22 138:1,4 | 56:18 57:17 | preservatives |
| 121:22,24 214:2 | 157:2 168:22 | 138:15,17 139:5 | 58:23 59:6 66:1 | 121:23 |
| placement 42:7 | 202:4 211:10 | 141:23,24 | 67:9,9,15 68:18 | preserved 121:21 |
| 103:4,5,7,17 | pointed 12:19 | 154:17 155:4,5,6 | 93:24 104:7,8 | presume 32:7 |
| 104:15,19 | pointing 22:16 | 155:15 158:23 | 105:20 111:16 | pretty 113:23 |
| places 57:18 | policy 1:3 94:18 | 158:23,24,24 | 117:12,13 | 116:22,23 117:5 |
| placing 35:19 | Pollution 1:1 4:4 | 159:1 160:7,8,10 | 122:19 124:4 | 26:21 174:9 |
| 114:24 | 129:16,21 | 160:12,14 | 130:23 132:16 | 206:20 213:14 |
| Plaines 81:3 82:2 | pond 6:13 14:9 | 197:22 | 139:5 | previous 21:8 |
| 99:9 101:5 | 17:12,17,19,22 | pond's 77:23 | Poz-o-Pac 18:17 | 62:19 63:12 |
| 205:1 206:9 | 18:6,17,20 19:1 | ponds 14:2,4,5,6,7 | 18:21 19:4,6,8 | 116:19 139:18 |
| plan 8:15,21 9:6 | 19:4,8,17 20:10 | 14:11 19:9,9,10 | 19:12,13,15 21:9 | 139:18 163:24 |
| 9:12 34:16,23 | 21:8,11,18 22:1 | 27:17,24 28:7,9 | practice 110:1 | 192:1,3 193:14 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| previously 5:16 | 193:18,20,22 | 192:22 194:21 | 186:17 193:19 | 100:12,14,18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 86:5,10 175:9 | 198:1,4 199:2,5 | 196:1 201:11 | questionable 25:8 | 101:11 103:15 |
| 190:10 195:8 | 199:10,24 | pursuant 5:14 | questioning 38:23 | 147:5 182:11,16 |
| 198:24 202:23 | 210:23 213:11 | 25:19,24 33:10 | 42:17 | 182:20 183:20 |
| primarily 111:1 | proposed 33:1,10 | 33:14 36:10 | questions 28:23 | reading 68:21 |
| principal 110:16 | 33:15,24 35:8 | 50:20 66:24 | 34:15 42:20 | 156:3 182:5 |
| 110:17 | 95:7 161:10 | 79:16,21 87:15 | 43:5 105:6 | 193:13 |
| prior 33:12 | protection 91:5 | 105:16 | 106:1 125:20 | ready 30:10 60:7 |
| 114:24 115:2 | 91:10 144:23 | pushing 187:21 | 140:7 206:1 | 76:13 160:9 |
| probably 24:5 | 145:1,11,15 | put 78:15,23 84:6 | quickly 193:13 | really 28:12 43:14 |
| 126:22 156:14 | protective 60:24 | 104:5 112:7 | quiet 189:24 | 45:2 71:24 |
| 178:2 207:4 | 63:16 | 120:8 131:12 | quite 160:16,16 | 73:17 76:18 |
| problem 125:18 | protects 40:23,23 | 140:20 169:12 | 160:23 175:11 | 85:9,17 99:5 |
| 187:15 | provide 148:1 | 169:15,17 | 175:11 190:1 | 112:21 133:10 |
| proceed 5:6 60:9 | 183:19 | 170:11 200:7 | 208:11 | 169:8 170:13 |
| 108:9,23 128:8 | provide- 183:18 | 212:6 | quote 8:4,5 | 173:4 196:12 |
| 148:22 163:11 | provided 37:12 | putting 170:9,10 | 200:13,15 | reask 7:14 |
| proceeding 73:17 | $121: 22 ~ 123: 21$ $126: 8174 \cdot 17$ | Q | R | reason 99:17 |
| 105:14 | 126:8 174:17 |  | $\mathbf{R}$ | reasonableness |
| proceedings 1:11 | provides 152:11 | qualify $214: 3$ | R 128:1 217:3,17 | 90:8 |
| 217:6,10 | 190:4 | quantify 71:24 | rail 207:3 | reasons 155:11,12 |
| process 34:8 89:7 | public 10:21 | quantities 72:15 | rails 189:2 | 175:6 |
| 119:10 121:14 | 46:22 53:21 | 72:17,20 130:22 | raise 108:19 | reboot 187:16 |
| 136:12 144:14 | 54:19 55:4 | 139:4 | range 159:21,22 | recall 9:1 17:9 |
| produce 121:9 | 102:1 217:18 | quantity 134:12 | 184:4,18,19,24 | 25:4 26:12 32:1 |
| 170:15 | pull 76:16 112:6 | quarries 208:9 | 185:1,8,21 | 32:5 48:7 61:10 |
| produced 41:9 | 126:12 148:15 | quarrying 208:10 | 186:20,22,23,24 | 77:7 79:6 80:4,6 |
| 49:5 | 169:20,21,24 | quarter 47:20 | 187:1 | 85:14,17 87:5 |
| produces 121:6 | pulling 164:4 | 114:1,1,10 | ranging 174:21 | 88:11 91:1 93:2 |
| profession 109:9 | pumps 119:14 | 123:22 152:15 | RCX 3:2 | 93:5 94:1 101:7 |
| professional 23:18 | purchase 98:7,9 | 155:20 156:6 | RDX 3:2 | 111:8 113:18 |
| 24:24 39:2 | purchasing 97:2,5 | quarterly 112:24 | re-up 110:8 | 115:9 149:22 |
| 79:14 109:17 | purge 119:16 | 114:8,11 116:9 | re-upped 109:24 | 212:9 |
| program 92:5 | purpose 32:10 | 122:5,6 123:21 | rea-169:8 | receive 147:8 |
| 114:17 116:7,8 | 46:21 93:19 | 147:5,12 | reach 39:18 | received 3:6,10 |
| 118:20 132:24 | 94:8 157:10 | question 15:18 | reached 6:21 7:2 | 13:4 30:3 47:4 |
| 142:10 159:17 | 159:11 161:6 | 29:10 39:8,10 | reactivate 110:8 | 74:5 75:8 141:5 |
| programs 47:22 | 168:14 189:5 | 40:14 43:15 | read 6:6 11:22 | 163:14 167:5 |
| project 2:11 81:12 | 214:1 | 49:21 70:5,13,17 | 15:18,20 18:16 | 171:10 175:21 |
| 102:1,5,8 110:6 | purposes 94:3 | 72:8 94:6 | 19:5,9 21:14 | 186:1 189:17 |
| 132:10,13 | 129:9 130:1 | 100:12,15 117:9 | 33:21 36:20 | 191:7 192:16 |
| proof 105:3 106:2 | 158:9 160:9 | 117:11,19 162:8 | 37:16 39:7,10,12 | 194:15 195:19 |
| 106:4 107:14 | 167:12 169:10 | 164:16 169:1 | 44:11 45:15 | 201:6 211:21 |
| property 24:19 | 171:16 176:4 | 177:3 180:11 | 61:1 62:13 63:2 | receiving 33:15 |
| 188:22,23 | 188:6 191:15 | 182:8 185:3 | 67:2 70:5 77:12 | 62:23 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| recess 60:5 108:3 | 38:4 | relatively 60:22 | 69:10 104:12 | 170:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| recessed 127:9 | records 24:4 | 3:13 119:19 | 215:2, | representing 4:18 |
| recognize 5:21 | 25:16 26:2 | release 8:8 154:17 | removing $33: 12$ | 4:20,21,24 5:3 |
| 13:12,13 54:8 | 51:19,21 72:24 | 157:12 | 63:23 | request $14: 1$ |
| 201:14 | recover 121:7 | relevance 46:5,1 | repair 200:15 | 17:21 18:13 |
| Recognizing | recovers 121:13 | 46:19 183:21 | repaired 190:11 | requested 7:13 |
| 204:14 | redirect 96:8,16 | relevancy 183:9 | 195:8 | 15:20 39:12 |
| recollection 17:23 | 96:17 | relevant 12:21,23 | repairs 192:4 | 100:18 |
| 27:4,21,23 28:13 | reestablis | 46:9 73:10,17,24 | rephrase 6:24 | require 62:3 91:8 |
| 28:15,19 35:11 | 204:7 | 105:13 106:3,9 | 10:10 21:22 | 103:23 115:12 |
| 36:5,22 45:19 | refer 30:21 54:20 | 106:24 107:10 | 22:21 38:15,17 | 115:15,24 |
| 56:13 99:4 | reference 32:17 | reline 69:15 | 49:12 52:10 | 146:13 157:5,14 |
| 213:20,22 | referred 11:23 | relined 18:24 19:4 | 57:14 122:14 | required 47:15 |
| recommendation | referring 69:17 | 30:24 67:11,15 | 125:22,23 | 148:4 188:17 |
| 6:3,9,23 7:1,6 | 193:22 | 67:21 68:1,3,24 | replace $34: 9$ | 214:5 |
| 7:10,17 10:20 | reflect 75:19 | relining 19:13 | report 1:11 113:1 | requirement 91:8 |
| 13:18,21,24 | refresh 27:4 35:10 | 69:10 71:5 | 123:21 145:20 | 116:4 123:8,14 |
| 16:23 17:1,3 | 36:4,22 45:19 | relinings 70:23 | 145:23 147:19 | 148:8 214:12,12 |
| 18:4,11 29:20 | 114:3 | rely 45:18 83:10 | 148:11 149:9 | requirements |
| 76:17 | refreshed 33:24 | remainder 77:10 | 171:22 172:2 | 57:8,19 58:4,9 |
| recommended | regard 20:9 33:6 | 77:12,18 | 176:7 200:3 | 58:13,18,24 59:7 |
| 7:20 17:20,23 | 54:19 80:12,12 | remedy 107:2 | 212:15 | 59:11 132:22 |
| record 4:2,5,9,16 | regarding 9:12 | remember 28:5 | report's 147:20 | 147:22 169:5 |
| 15:5,14,16,20 | 15:18 187:11 | 55:5 91:13,16 | reported 217:5 | 170:8 |
| 16:2 19:18 | regards 185:17 | 93:8 94:10 | Reporter 10:8 | requires 90:13 |
| 22:20 27:2 | 188:10 | 100:24 125:5 | 11:4 14:21 15:5 | 110:7 |
| 29:20 35:18 | regs 103:16 | 146:19 159:20 | 16:10 18:8 | resampled 150:16 |
| 39:12 47:6,7 | regulated 10:14 | 161:22,24 | 20:21 34:5 | reserve 162:19 |
| 48:18,21,24 60:4 | 66:19 141:24 | 174:24 214:18 | 36:14 50:9 | residual 173:22 |
| 60:7,8 69:7 73:7 | 143:9 | reminder 187:3 | 54:22 62:6 68:6 | respect 6:5 9:7 |
| 73:23 74:7,9,11 | regulation 30:17 | reminding 171:8 | 80:14 95:9 | 10:24 11:2 |
| 74:13 75:10,18 | 30:17 142:23 | remnants 159:4 | 100:8 104:16 | 17:22 26:4 |
| 76:2,7,10 86:9 | regulations 10:13 | remote 34:3,7 | 112:9 120:15 | 59:15 102:22 |
| 96:12,15 100:18 | 106:7 170:6 | removal 60:22 | 133:2 141:15 | 110:22 |
| 105:4 106:11 | regulators 89:7,24 | 61:18 63:4,13 | 143:5 146:20 | respectfully 22:24 |
| 107:15 108:2,5 | reinspect 200:4 | 64:8 93:6 | 150:22 153:4 | respectively 37:10 |
| 126:14 127:1,3,5 | related 26:9 46:6 | removals 61:19 | 160:21 166:6 | Respondent 1:9 |
| 128:3 130:1 | 73:19 75:15,17 | remove 17:17 | 173:6 177:13 | 2:19 167:4 |
| 148:18,22 182:6 | 81:12 93:6 | 19:3 64:15 | 180:7 207:11,17 | 171:9 175:20 |
| 182:7,17,21 | 106:12 117:9,15 | 69:13 | 217:4 | 189:16 191:6 |
| 183:20 187:18 | 146:5 | removed 19:6,8 | reports 145:18 | 192:15 194:14 |
| 202:14 211:15 | relates 13:19 | 19:13,16 23:21 | 147:1 148:2 | 195:18 201:5 |
| 212:1,2,4,13 | relation 158:22 | 27:13,16,24 28:2 | 149:17 | 211:20 |
| 215:11,14,16,18 | relative 193:16 | 28:4,10 33:12 | representative | Respondent's |
| recorded 37:13 | 208:23 | 50:24 51:2 | 119:20 142:20 | 3:10 108:11 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 129:11 141:4 | Revised 212:14 | 198:14,16,20 | 147:5,11,14,18 | 177:22,23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 157:23 163:13 | revoked 125:15 | 199:12 201:18 | 147:20 149:16 | 178:19 185:18 |
| 163:15 167:6 | Rhodes 124:16,18 | 202:2,19 204:14 | 150:9 | 185:18 186:2,5,6 |
| 171:11 175:22 | RICHARD 3:3 | 204:20 206:9 | rulemaking 54:18 | sampled 81:1 |
| 187:23 191:8 | 108:24 | 208:5 213:4 | 55:7 73:19 | 115:3 118:22 |
| 192:17 194:16 | right 4:12 12:7 | 214:18 215:15 | rules 5:16 90:1 | 119:1,2 133:22 |
| 195:20 201:7 | 20:5 27:8 28:5,5 | right-hand 201:22 | 95:2,6,7,7,20 | 136:6 138:4 |
| response 14:1 | 28:13 29:21 | river 81:4,22,23 | 103:23 104:2 | 150:13 159:16 |
| 35:13 | 30:5,21 31:10 | 82:3,5,7 100:1,1 | 115:9 132:23 | 173:23 212:19 |
| responsibi | 41:7 42:10,13 | 101:2,4,4,15 | 141:9,11,13,17 | 214:4 |
| 100:21 | 43:10,20 54:2 | 205:1,4 206:8,9 | 141:20 146:7 | sampler 136:12 |
| responsible 85:6 | 55:17 68:23 | 207:23 208:6 | 152:12 | 185:24,24 |
| 100:1 | 75:23 76:9 | 209:8 210:9,17 | ruling 107:6 | samples 112:1 |
| rest 107:21,23 | 79:11,14,17 81:9 | riverbed 99:16 | rulings 12:15 | 114:12 115:5,17 |
| result 62:10 134: | 81:14 82:9,12,17 | Rivers 1:4 2:13 | rumbling 62:12 | 116:6 119:3,7 |
| 173:2 177:5 | 82:24 83:8,24 | 4:22 | run 83:21 110:19 | 120:3,7 121:19 |
| result-182:22 | 84:11,14,17,21 | road 2:2 82:9 97:8 | 120:8 | 121:20,21 122:1 |
| results 116:17 | 84:24 85:22 | 155:7 156:16,18 | runoff 188:11 | 140:15 141:22 |
| 117:13,17,22 | 86:14 87:6,16,19 | 199:10,11 213:1 | 189:3 | 144:20 146:9 |
| 123:17,18,19,20 | 88:14,22 89:4,12 | 213:9,12,15 | runs 123:16 | 161:13,22 |
| 124:13,18 | 90:1,5,14,19,22 | roads 77:24 | Rural 64:10 | 166:17,17 |
| 174:13,15 182:6 | 91:5,10,20,23 | roadway 212:23 | Russ 2:11 4:21,21 | 171:24 172:3,5 |
| 182:23 183:2,12 | 92:5,13 93:17 | 213:10 | S | 174:19 176:10 |
| 183:18,19 | 94:4,14,23 95:2 | rock 208: | S | 177:4 184:20 |
| 213:24 | 95:21 104:11 | 209:8 | S 2:16 3:5 35:5 | 214:7 |
| resumed 5:17,19 | 107:20,24 108:4 | rocks 207:22 | 128:1,1,1 | sampling 18:5 |
| 128:13 | 108:16 109:6,8 | role 17:5 83:16 | S's 213:9 | 79:24 80:3,20,23 |
| retaining | 111:23 114:18 | 85:5 | 215:21 | 81:3 87:10,15 |
| retired 190:2 | 115:6,21 118:9 | Roman 61:24 | safety 215:20 | 97:15 98:22,23 |
| reuse 93:16 | 118:14 120:4,10 | round 137:20 | saith 5:17 | 98:24 99:3,6,21 |
| reverting 155:22 | 121:17 124:9 | 144:20 | salt 156:18,18, | 100:6 114:6,9,11 |
| review 51:19,21 | 125:10 126:13 | rounds 141:21 | salting 156:16 | 115:6,11,16,23 |
| 69:21 72:24 | 127:4 128:2 | 142:3 151:17 | sam- 116:15 | 116:7,15,16 |
| 82:17,19 106:14 | 129:2 132:11 | routine 113:23 | 21:21 | 118:3,8,17 |
| 166:14 168:10 | 133:6 135:18 | rubbed 136:8,8 | sample 47:21 | 119:17,23 |
| reviewed 15:4 | 138:20 140:20 | 138:6 | 114:23,24 115:1 | 120:10 121:2,11 |
| 17:7 22:15 32:6 | 144:1,3 147:12 | RUINING 1:4 | 118:20,23 | 122:4,9,10,15,15 |
| 32:7 46:21 | 157:17 159:20 | rule 5:15 33:11 | 119:21,22 120:5 | 122:18,18 123:7 |
| 131:19 132:2,5 | 161:3,7 166:3,20 | 46:6 89:19 | 121:7,22 142:14 | 123:7,12,16 |
| 139:7,11 166:16 | 167:21 170:1 | 115:13,20,24 | 142:17,19 | 124:8 132:24 |
| 172:7 | 172:21 176:14 | 116:1,3 122:23 | 144:15 161:18 | 139:2,3 142:21 |
| reviewing 25:15 | 178:9 183:3,24 | 126:9 132:19,20 | 168:17,23 169:7 | 147:5,6 150:11 |
| 83:6 84:9 | 186:9 189:10 | 142:1 145:5,17 | 169:10,18,19,24 | 151:15,18 |
| 113:20 | 194:12 196:21 | 146:3,4,6,11,14 | 170:16 174:13 | 152:14 155:19 |
| reviews 42:23 | 197:2 198:6,7,13 | 146:18,23 147:4 | 176:9,10,23 | 156:5 185:21 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 212:10,13 | 181:6,8 | 196:12,13,20 | 68:18 70:24 | 202:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 214:21 | SB-8 165:7,7 | 197:8,9,14,20 | 104:12 118:14 | showed 155:20 |
| San 2:9 | 181:10,12 | 198:1,5 199:2 | 130:24 132:16 | showing 158:14 |
| sand 44:8 135:14 | SB-9 165:9,9 | 200:18 202:16 | 139:6 | 211:3 |
| 196:19 197:10 | 181:22,24 | 204:12 205:8 | servicing 110:21 | shown 82:11 88:9 |
| sandy 196:18 | SBs 183:5 | 206:5,24 207:2 | session 65:11,12 | shrubs 204:3 |
| Sargent 20:6 | scale 174:4,2 | 207:23 209:7 | set 13:10 30:8 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i c }} 73: 1092: 8$ |
| saturated 40:6 | SCC 34:4,7 | 210:9,11 211:12 | 114:16 115:14 | 101:19 102:3 |
| 168:24 | sciences 109:12 | 212:23 213:3,4,6 | 116:2 143:17 | 109:13 132:19 |
| saw 172:12 197:7 | scratch 23:9 50:24 | 213:8,16 214:22 | 145:6,15 169:23 | 192:22 |
| saying 182:16 | screen 170:9,11 | 215:22 | 172:3 | side 13:10 41:24 |
| says 8:10 16:15 | 197:12 212:6,16 | seeing 157:13 | sets 116:19 | 123:1 188:22,23 |
| 21:6 33:4 38:1 | screening 169:10 | 159:19 160:3 | settle 137:17 | 197:19 199:11 |
| 45:17 66:18 | seasonality 157:1 | 166:19 183:11 | settling 138:17 | 201:22 210:22 |
| 77:17 87:1 | second $2: 8,8$ | seen 88:19 117:1 | setup 146:10 | 212:11,23 213:4 |
| 129:15 130:15 | 20:16,17 37:7,8 | 159:15 185:8 | seven 56:5,8 57:5 | Sierra 1:2 2:10 |
| 134:24 137:8 | 54:13 57:4 77:6 | 203:6,19,21 | 57:7 68:19 | 4:18,20,24 |
| 161:10 193:8 | 87:2 142:21 | 204:3 205:16 | 142:4,11 143:22 | sig- 71:23 |
| SB- 180:22 | 144:20 148:19 | seep 41:4 | 150:13 174:4,6 | signature 55:13 |
| SB-1 164:8 180:14 | 185:10 202:8 | seepage 192:5 | 176:17 | 55:16 159:18 |
| 180:16,20,23 | seconds 76:3 | seeps 190:12 | seventh 56 | signed 86:18 |
| 181:1 | section 5:15 66:6 | 192:5 193:17 | sewer 136:13 | 185:24 186:1 |
| SB-10 165:11,11 | 186:13 | 195:10 196:13 | 138:10 | significance 63:6 |
| 181:14,16 | secure 32:13 | 205:7 | shaded 43:24 | significant 11:20 |
| SB-11 165:13,13 | sediment 81:3 | semiannual 116:8 | 44:22 | 62:24 63:4 |
| 181:18,20 | 130:22 133:11 | 142:7,14 145:20 | shading 44:6 | 71:23 150:12,19 |
| SB-12 165:15,15 | 133:14,19,20,21 | 152:10 | SHARENE 3:3 | 151:9 154:15 |
| SB-13 165:17,17 | 139:4 | semicolon 21:16 | 5:13 | signing 126:4 |
| SB-14 165:19,19 | see 8:5 16:3 20:6 | Senate 54:20,21 | Shealey 3:3 4:13 | silos 28:4,8,9 |
| 177:23 185:18 | 21:8 22:22 23:6 | 55:1 91:1 | 5:13 8:17 16:2 | silty 44:7,8 136:7 |
| 186:3,6 | 26:17 38:17 | sense 184:3 | 42:21 46:20 | 138:6 |
| SB-15 165:21,21 | 42:1,14 43:10,21 | sent 124:15 172:1 | 60:12 75:22 | similar 48:9 106:5 |
| 182:2 | 43:23 44:4,14,16 | sentence 11:22 | 76:11,16 78:19 | 113:24 116:22 |
| SB-16 165:23,23 | 60:20 66:16 | 12:19 20:17 | 82:11 88:9 | 117:6 137:10 |
| SB-2 164:15 180:1 | 78:1 117:24 | 36:10 37:8 | 96:19 | 146:7 148:8 |
| 180:3 | 130:15 136:17 | 60:20,21 66:6,21 | sheet 185:20 | 196:11 208:3 |
| SB-3 164:19,19 | 138:9 153:11 | 135:10 136:23 | shift 120:6 | similarly 83:2 |
| 179:21,23 | 156:12,24 157:3 | 137:6,8,24 138:9 | shoreline 210:24 | 89:14 |
| SB-4 164:22,22 | 158:19,24 | 138:18 | shores 99:10 | simply 106:3 |
| 179:16,19 | 161:17 162:21 | sentences 22:7 | Short 60:5 108 | 183:9 |
| SB-5 165:1,1 | 168:1 176:16 | 39:1 77:6 | shorter 121:9 | single 12:19,19 |
| 178:19 179:11 | 187:11 189:1 | separate 100:22 | shorthand 217:4 | 174:13 177:7 |
| SB-6 165:3,3 | 190:7 191:24 | service 12:11 | 217:6,9 | 183:17 185:7 |
| 181:2,4 | 192:4,21 193:17 | 56:15 58:23 | show 86:4 134:15 | sir 185:13 189:15 |
| SB-7 165:5,5 | 195:5,9 196:10 | 59:17 63:14 | 152:8 201:23 | sit 18:22,23 19:17 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 24:9 28:5,13 | 78:13 80:7,11,17 | 177:2 180:5,21 | splay 213:13,16 | 138:21 147:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51:16 71:15 | 111:1 161:12 | 180:22 186:16 | spoils 82:2,6,7 | 171:18 |
| 72:9 | 164:5 168:10 | 193:23 203:2 | 100:1 101:2,4,15 | stat-141:18 |
| site 9:24 11:1,16 | 174:15,16 | 207:10 212:21 | 101:17 | state 30:14 52:20 |
| 24:14,17 28:11 | 176:10 183:11 | 215:21 | spoke 101:5 | 61:24 63:11 |
| 29:6,8 30:13 | 209:18,18 | sort 83:7,16,21 | spoken 97:20,22 | 79:18 110:7 |
| 33:17 47:10,19 | 210:11 214:22 | 84:10 99:12 | 98:11,15,16,21 | 116:8,15 118:21 |
| 48:2 50:16 51:3 | 215:1 | 106:7 204:12 | spook 207:5 | 119:23 132:19 |
| 51:6,11,14 52:1 | soils 33:19 177:1 | 206:8 207:7 | spring 196:23 | 169:6 199:22 |
| 52:14 123:15 | 179:5 | sought 6:12 14:8 | 200:4,9,18 | 214:5 217:1,4 |
| 188:21 189:5 | solids 137:10 | 17:12,16 | SS 217:1 | stated 42:19 52:2 |
| 201:17 204:17 | 150:21,24 | Sounds 177:8 | stakes 200:7 | 52:22 67:16 |
| 211:3 213:3 | somebody 204:10 | source 25:13 26 | Stamp 33:8 41:8 | 73:16 80:8 |
| site-specific | somewhat 116:18 | 26:9 47:14 | stand 5:17 42:19 | statement 8:11 |
| 144:23 | 137:16 142:13 | 143:1 149:21 | 76:11 107:6 | 24:18 52:12 |
| sites 116:24 117:5 | 148:8 156:15 | 150:3,6 154:9,13 | standard 5:24 9:9 | statements 23:20 |
| 203:22,24 | 179:6 207:1 | 154:18,21 155:3 | 11:2,5 13:18 | 38:8 86:19 |
| situation 205:15 | 213:16 | 155:10 157:15 | 14:8,12,18 15:10 | states 8:5 16:8 |
| six 56:16 57:2,18 | sonar 133:10 | 157:16 | 15:12 16:9,12 | 20:18 21:2 22:2 |
| 77:21 88:14 | sor- 157:3 | south 30:19, 22,22 | 17:12 93:20 | 23:17 36:10 |
| 176:17 208:20 | sorry 6:6 7:14 | 30:22 33:1,11 | 126:18 129:22 | 37:8 44:6 56:4 |
| size 64:7 134:23 | 8:18 10:19 | 34:20 35:6,24 | 144:23 145:1,5,7 | 66:21 77:18 |
| 135:1,2,3,14 | 13:13 15:13 | 36:1,12,23 37:9 | 145:12,15 | 109:19 110:3,5 |
| 194:3 208:15,17 | 16:22 20:1 | 38:2,20 45:21 | 151:23 152:2,4 | static 37:12 |
| 208:23 | 30:17 32:2 35 | 197:24 | 152:17 153:2,7 | 119:19 |
| slack 44:10 | 35:6 36:15 | southerly 113:12 | 179: | stating 43:5 |
| slag 20:18 21:3,18 | 39:11 40:11 | speak 8:17 20:23 | standards 14:2 | station 6:1 20:11 |
| 22:3,10 23:3,22 | 41:11,12 54:11 | 29:8 54:24 | 31:4 179:4 | 23:14 26:3 |
| slightly 115:14 | 57:1 60:1 62:7 | 100:13 120:6 | 214:12,13 | 31:21 32:11,13 |
| 116:21 122:13 | 65:4 66:10 68:9 | 160:18 168:15 | stands 37:1 102:4 | 34:12 41:18 |
| 133:18,20 | 70:6,7,18 71:19 | speaking 155:11 | 105:23 | 52:21,24 53:8,19 |
| 146:10 214:23 | 72:21 73:18 | 176:24 185:8 | start 33:18 111:23 | 65:18 71:2 97:6 |
| slow 20:23 68:8 | 82:1 85:2 88:1 | speci- 169:5 | 112:17 114:5 | 111:15,15,16,16 |
| 100:13 177:15 | 96:1 97:3 | species 81:13 | 120:7 130:6 | 113:2,9,17 114:7 |
| slowly 211:1 | 108:18 110:13 | 102:6 | 201:20 211:1 | 117:13 123:3,11 |
| slurred 80:16 | 112:16 115:3 | specific 71:1 | started 17:10 | 124:2,4,6,11,12 |
| small 44:1 60:22 | 118:24 120:16 | 99:13 152:1 | 141:20 159:19 | 124:17 126:19 |
| 63:13 66:7,8,16 | 126:10 128:11 | 169:5 170:8 | 159:24 160:3 | 129:24 132:16 |
| 66:18 72:1 | 129:8,18 138:11 | 173:11 | 175:6 190:3 | 132:17 135:8 |
| smaller 143:17 | 143:14 146:21 | specifically 17:15 | starting 119:13 | 141:14 149:19 |
| smell 136:10 | 153:22 157:21 | 19:7 23:12 32:6 | 152:12 196:16 | 150:5 152:11 |
| 138:8 | 157:22 160:13 | 61:14 91:16 | 196:20 210:23 | 158:18 159:5 |
| snow 196:23 | 160:20 166:13 | 99:14 101:5 | 215:18 | 160:15,24 |
| soil 17:18 29:6 | 167:21 171:2 | 172:4 173:9 | starts 60:21 | 188:22 204:11 |
| 47:9,16 69:10 | 173:7 175:5 | 174:18 179:8 | 119:16,21 130:2 | 204:17 212:11 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 212:17 | 214: | 161:13 173:4,10 | sworn 5:17 108:19 | 126:11 139:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| station's 130:23 | stuff 209:10,16, | 173:17 174:16 | 108:21 109:1 | talked 101:24 |
| 139:6 | stuttered 80:16 | 174:18,20 | system 18:6,21 | 164:1 172:4 |
| stations 25:17 | subject 14:11 57:7 | 178:11,23 | 34:4,7,8,10 | 202:23 213:4 |
| 89:23 111:11,13 | 57:19 58:3,8,12 | summary 123:23 | 36:18 71:6 | talking 24:5 25:16 |
| 111:19 122:10 | 58:18,23 59:6,11 | 152:9 176:9 | 104:23 154:23 | 81:6 101:24 |
| 130:22 139:5 | 111:20 122:11 | 185:18 188:9 | 154:24 155:2 | 195:3 214:18 |
| 141:19 | 130:21 139:2 | 191:20 193:6,10 | 156:10,12 157 | TDS 151:1 154:16 |
| statistical 14 | 155:22 163:4,4 | 195:2 196:7 | 157:4 205:12 | 155:15 |
| 145:2,4 151:19 | submerged 34:4,8 | summer 156:23 |  | team 84:14,16 |
| statistically | 40:19 | 204:18 | T | ical 83:22 |
| 150:12,18 15 | submit 33: | suppleme | T 2:15 3:5 12 | 90:3 |
| 154:15 | 147:9,11 190:19 | 148:11 | tab 128:22,22 | technician 119:9 |
| status 105: | submittal 32:12 | support 173 | 129:1,6 157: | 119:11 121:2 |
| stay 170:14 | 68:13 148:3,4 | sur- 110:24 | 158:8 162:1 | tell 26:17,20 61:14 |
| step 12:4 | submitted 9:8 | sure 9:21 17:7 | 163:19 167:9 | 72:13,23 97:17 |
| steps 10:12 27:10 | 32:8 88:20 | 32:6 40:15,21 | 176:2 188:3 | 102:3 152:19 |
| sticky/pasty 136:7 | 103:13 106:13 | 41:12 45:9,17 | 192:21 | 164:10 185:10 |
| 138:5 | 124:18 140:1,2 | 57:24 60:3 74:8 | table 37:9 152:5,8 | 202:11 206:4 |
| stipulated 182:10 | submitting 125:4 | 96:11,21 103:5 | 152:9,14 161:19 | tem-158:15 |
| stop 21:14 102:5,5 | Subsection 60:15 | 160:23 | tabulate 123:20 | temp 168:15 |
| 123:11 133:6 | subsequent 155:1 | surface 10:6,12 | 146:9 147:9 | 172:8 174:19 |
| stopped 27:20 | 155:19 | 51:2 56:6,18 | tabulation 179:7 | temporally 121:3 |
| stored 36:13,17 | subset 143 | 60:21,23 63:12 | take 10:13,24 11:9 | 121:3 |
| 40:4 | 172:3 | 63:14,20 65:2,5 | 13:1 20:13 | temporarily |
| stormwat | substanti | 66:18,23 67:5,18 | 41:11 43:16 | 167:19 |
| 160:12 188: | 13:21 1 | 67:22,23 68:4,12 | 60:1 64:15 66 | temporary $158: 16$ |
| stream 104:6 | substantive 12 | 69:4 73:14 | 78:24 83:19 | 161:16 167:21 |
| Street 2:5,8,16 | subsurface 111:1 | 77:23 90:14 | 107:10 121:8, | 69:2,8,12,17,23 |
| stressed 203:6,15 | successfully $87: 1$ | 91:8,20 92:11,18 | 121:15 127:6 | 170:4 172:6 |
| 203:19,21,23 | 87:14 | 94:21 95:8,11,17 | 145:4 148:14 | ten 68:20 69:1 |
| 204:2,10,12 | sudden 159:18 | 103:1 115:8 | 161:14 178:3 | 176:17 198:14 |
| strictly 151:24 | suffice 123:14 | 118:15 178:8 | 194:6 196:22 | tends 152:3 |
| 197:10 | sufficient 106:22 | surge 67:9 104:9 | 205:2 | Tennessee 63:4 |
| Strike 78:13 | 140:13 | 104:20 | take- 25:2 | tense 57:12,23,24 |
| , | suggest 119:18 | Surprisingly 77:2 | taken 9:18,23 | term 56:7 93:11 |
| strip 199:9,21 | 164:23 | survey 135:20,23 | 10:17 11:16 | 94:6,15 137:4 |
| strong 13:13 | suggesting 119:19 | Susan 129:17 | 2:14 23 | term- 14:17 |
| struggling 85:4 | suggests 162:10 | suspended 137:10 | 24:20 25:2 | terminated |
| stuck 136:11 | Suite 2:5,12,16 | suspends 137:16 | 51:13 63:11 | 168:19 |
| study 43:14 96:20 | sulfate 150:21 | 137:17 | 62:8 204:15 | terminology 14:17 |
| 96:21 97:10,14 | 151:1 152:18,20 | sustained 53:14 | 216:1 217:9 | 55:18 |
| 99:21 133:1,8,9 | 152:22 153:2,7 | 59:23 100:24 | takes 119 | terms 86:21 111:4 |
| 133:9,16 134:5,8 | 153:17,19 | 125:21 177:9 | talk 14:23 30:5 | 116:17 123:13 |
| 172:3 213:23 | 154:16 155:15 | 209:14 | 38:16 100:10 | 148:9 169:23 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 186:6 190:8 | 81:14 82:7 | 105:12 106:23 | times 79:4 90:12 | transcript 101:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 197:3 206:19 | 85:11 86:12 | 107:22 117:7,10 | 90:18 97:23 | 217:8 |
| 207:16,19 | 88:8 93:9 96:6 | 120:12,18,19 | 98:17 104:10 | transient 154:18 |
| test 25:5,18 47:20 | 100:17 107:4,16 | 141:9,9 146:23 | 122:6 | 154:20 155:10 |
| 47:22 143:18 | 107:17 108:1,10 | 159:7 161:2 | timestamp 28:12 | 156:8,21 157:3 |
| 214:8,10 | 120:18 128:10 | 175:9,9 182:11 | timestamps 27:12 | 157:15 |
| testified 42:21 | 131:24 138:11 | 187:9,12,12 | timing 8:23 9:20 | treatment 137:11 |
| 43:1 46:8,12 | 141:3 148:23 | 197:19 206:3 | 9:20 25:8 | 137:13 159:5 |
| 73:11 82:22 | 154:2 158:6 | 214:19 | title 129:15 | 172:16 |
| 99:19,23 101:2 | 163:10,12 | third 53:5 108:20 | 145:23 | tree 204:5 |
| 105:9 109:2,9 | 166:13 167:2 | 138:15 152:15 | today 4:6,10 | trees 204:3,16 |
| 111:7 113:14,16 | 170:17,22 171:8 | 155:20 156:5 | 18:22,23 24:9 | 205:19 211:4 |
| 141:10 163:2 | 174:2 175:17 | 161:24 | 37:2 51:16 61:9 | tri- 198:7 |
| 175:1 | 177:17 180:13 | thoroughly 163:2 | 61:13 63:18 | triangle 198:6,8 |
| testify 100:20 | 185:4,13 187:2,3 | thought 16:570:8 | 71:15 72:9 | tried 161:16 184:2 |
| 131:11 | 187:8 189:14,15 | 184:10 189:13 | 74:20 82:12 | truck 62:23 64:22 |
| testifying 108:16 | 191:3 192:12 | 193:15 | 90:11 104:21 | trucks 62:3,12 |
| 109:5 | 195:16 197:5 | three 8:4 21: | 128:4 215:16,19 | 64:14 |
| testimony 54:18 | 198:22 209:24 | 65:14 76:3 | toe 196:20,24 | true 21:24 22:3 |
| 63:7,18 70:3 | 211:17 212:4 | 122:10 124:21 | 197:2,7,18,20 | 45:21 55:19 |
| 74:19 75:11 | 215:22,23 | 129:7,18,18 | 208:5 | 71:8 83:11 |
| 79:6 80:4,10 | Thanks 57:14 | 131:3 132:14 | told 81:20 97:13 | 88:17 124:1 |
| 85:14 91:2 98:2 | 64:5 | 152:22 158:15 | 97:16 99:19 | 130:4 154:3 |
| 100:20 101:7 | Thereabouts 96:3 | 176:17 178:8 | 101:22 | 217:8 |
| 111:8 113:18 | they'd 107:10 | 213:4 | tons 215:7 | truly 130:8 |
| 131:7,9 149:22 | thick 204:4 | Thursday 58:21 | $\boldsymbol{t o p} 42: 13$ 43:10 | try $23: 538: 17$ |
| 161:5 162:21 | thickness 133: | tied 151:22 152:1 | 43:23 60:19 | 52:10 121:14 |
| testing 25:3 29:6 | thing 73:18 | till 121:13 128:4 | 78:12 133:10 | 142:24 184:4 |
| 47:9,15,16,18 | 183:19 200:3 | 187:13 | 134:23 153:1,6 | 205:23 |
| 80:7,11,17 96:22 | 205:24 206:8 | time 23:6 32:12 | 168:19 213:11 | trying 7:9 54:11 |
| 96:24 98:4 | things 19:19 | 32:13,16 41:11 | topics 92:22 | 69:7 92:4 98:1 |
| tests 24:21 51:14 | 61:15 83:23 | 43:16 46:2 53:5 | total 21:10 115:16 | 99:11 173:13 |
| 214:15 | 156:12 159:2 | 55:21 59:2,3 | 116:5 117:21 | 183:9 184:1 |
| thank 5:5 15:2,21 | 172:14 200:14 | 61:21 69:2 | 118:22 123:8 | 187:10 |
| 15:24 19:20 | think 8:23 10:3 | 78:24 83:19,21 | 134:12 150:20 | turbidity 116:4 |
| 27:8 29:24 | 12:23 26:22 | 85:21 87:21 | 150:24 | 146:17 |
| 30:10,11 35:21 | 39:3 43:4 45:4 | 88:4 89:2 91:1 | totals 117:2 | turn 21:7 126:20 |
| 39:10 42:10 | 46:20 47:21 | 92:1,2 95:5 | touched 116:10 | 130:5,5 134:20 |
| 45:10 48:23 | 48:12,12 50:17 | 98:16 101:19,2 | track 59:16 | 138:20 149:24 |
| 49:14 53:16 | 52:9,9 53:5 | 105:2 107:12 | traffic 61:19 | 154:8,10 157:17 |
| 55:2 59:24 | 57:22,23 69:1 | 108:15,20 109:5 | 62:11,23 63:21 | 162:1 167:9 |
| 60:10 74:14,24 | 82:5 85:18 | 114:15 119:4 | 83:16,21,24 | 171:14 174:11 |
| 75:4,19,24 76:13 | 86:24 90:11 | 120:4,10 121:4 | 84:14 | 177:11 179:16 |
| 76:22 78:15,17 | 91:4,12 93:4 | 157:2 160:7,8 | trail 207:4,5 | 188:2 191:11 |
| 78:21 79:1,20 | 95:12,22 102:19 | 170:15 204:6 | tramped 207:1 | 195:23 201:10 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 204:20 207:21 | 89:24 155:7 | 31:2 | 174:10 | Wachspress 2:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 209:2,20 | 212:24 | undertaken 27:9 | values 116:20 | 4:19,19 5:6,7,20 |
| turning 158:8 | ultimate 154:9,12 | undertook 54:19 | 117:5 174:17,20 | 7:15 8:22 9:5 |
| 206:11 | ultimately 139:16 | unfiltered 119:24 | 185:12 214:10 | 10:15 11:5,11 |
| TW 158:15 169:3 | 140:17 | unique 159:13 | 214:20,23 | 12:7 13:7 14:24 |
| TW-1 167:24 | un- 119:24 | unit 10:14 143:9 | Vanessa 4:10 | 15:3,7,13,23,24 |
| 168:10 169:3 | unaware 53:4 | 146:1 | various 116:19 | 16:1,11 18:10 |
| 174:14 | unclear 101:13 | units 71:1 | 147:22 169:16 | 21:1,23 22:21,23 |
| TW-2 168:4 | unconsolidated | 173:20 | vegetated 198:5 | 23:7 26:24 27:7 |
| TW-3 168:7 | 161:20 168:23 | University | 203:4,5 206:6 | 29:18 30:4 |
| 174:14 | under-9:15 | 09:14,16 | vegetation 203:7 | 31:11,16 34:14 |
| two 17:24 21:9 | under-drain 71:6 | unrelated 73:20 | 203:15,20,21,23 | 35:15,18,22 36:3 |
| 22:4,6 30:15 | underline 153:14 | 90:17 | 204:2,10,13 | 36:15,16 38:12 |
| 32:9 38:3,8 48:3 | underlined | unsigned | 206:17,22,22 | 38:15,18 39:20 |
| 50:5,6,15,17,19 | 153:11 | unsuccessful | 209:6 210:8,17 | 40:12,16 43:8,9 |
| 76:5 77:6,21 | underlying 33:19 | 144:2 | ver-101:10 | 45:6,8,9,11,24 |
| 88:14 89:23 | underscore 77:1 | unsure 7:8 | verbatim 101:10 | 47:8 48:24 49:4 |
| 100:21 103:6 | 130:2 158:11 | updated 73:12 | verification | 49:12,15,22 |
| 116:14 121:15 | 163:21 167:16 | updates 187:11 | 142:19,21 | 50:14 52:11 |
| 129:18 138:15 | 171:18 176:5 | upgradient | verified 150:16 | 53:1,15,17 54:1 |
| 142:23 154:3 | 177:12,19 186:9 | 120:23 145:3 | verify $142: 19$ | 54:7 55:6 57:15 |
| 158:15 159:6 | 191:16 193:2 | 151:2,6,15 154:5 | Vermont 2:12 | 58:1 59:21 60:9 |
| 161:23 172:14 | 194:22 196:3 | 154:19 155:5,6 | version 35:16 | 60:10,11 62:7,8 |
| 174:19 176:16 | 202:16 205:20 | 155:17 156:1,4 | versus 14:18 | 64:13 68:15 |
| 180:24 195:1,6 | 206:12 207:24 | upper 20:19 21:3 | 145:5 152:17,17 | 70:19 72:7 73:1 |
| 214:7,15,20 | 209:21 210:20 | 22:4 | vicinity 118:6 | 73:5 74:6,10,13 |
| two-inch 170:6 | 215:4 | urban 64:11,12 | 158:17 | 74:14 75:20 |
| type 119:16 | understand 10 | 65:3 156:13,14 | view 204:10 | 77:5 78:7 80:2 |
| 133:22 157:14 | 11:20 14:16 | 205:16 | vinyl 36:18 | 92:24 93:11 |
| 159:18 190:12 | 43:15 89:19 | use 6:21 7:2 32:15 | violation 10:20 | 96:8,9,16,18 |
| 191:19 204:5 | 95:4 112:21 | 94:14 104:10 | 53:20 92:9,19 | 100:4,11,16 |
| 205:11,15 207:3 | 125:13,14,17 | 125:6 137:5 | visual 188:17 | 101:1 105:1,20 |
| 208:10 214:6 | 126:2 147:10 | 151:17 169:15 | 190:5 | 106:17 107:17 |
| types 61:24 | 156:15 177:4 | 197:18 200:13 | visually 188:21 | 107:22 170:24 |
| 116:14 | understanding | uses 133:9 160:16 | 206:2 | 171:3 187:14 |
| typical 156:15 | 7:5 21:17 31:9 | 160:16,24 | volume 27:10 | wait 16:20 54:11 |
| 205:9 206:20 | 38:24 69:20 |  | 51:24 93:7,12,14 | 121:13 |
| typically 115:5 | 80:2 81:17 86:1 | V | 34:6 214:22 | waiting 104:23 |
| 121:16 | 87:12,20 89:11 | 1,8 | volumes 62:10 | 105:9 |
| typo 186:4 | 89:19 95:5 | val-151:13 | voluminous | walk 188:19,24 |
| typographic | 101:14 125:16 | value 142:15,18 | 06:11 | 189:6 201:17 |
| 193:7 | 131:3 140:2,19 | 3:2 | voluntarily 8:6 | 204:12,17 |
| U | 184:19 185:6 |  | vs 1:7 | 206:15,16 207:6 |
| U.S 81:1,8 89:8,11 | understood 24:12 | 153:15,17 | W | 210:7,16,23 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| walked 206:2 | 169:14,18 | week 45:16 62:4 | Wilmette 2:3 | 119:10 132:5,9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 210:24 | 173:20,22,22 | 95:1 146:24 | winter 91:14 92:3 | 145:24 146:1,7 |
| walking 205:3,22 | 196:21 197:3 | 199:4 200:12 | 156:16 | orked 84:20 |
| walkover 188:14 | 198:15,19 210:9 | weeks 18:1 62:4,9 | Wisconsin 102:7 | working 27:13 |
| walks 193:17 | waterway 99:3 | weigh 12:24 163:1 | 109:20 110:19 | 91:22 131:19 |
| 203:12 | Waukegan 6:1 | well's 169:8 | withdrawn 34:12 | 190:20 |
| walls 118:5 | 57:5 59:10 | wells 24:1,6,10 | 46:8 78:14 | world 101:18 |
| Wannier 2:8 4:23 | 65:22 67:7,8 | 26:15 42:1,3,8 | witness 3:2 4:13 | worries 16:6 |
| 4:23 | 71:13,16 93:1 | 43:13,20 48:1,4 | 5:14 7:12 8:18 | wouldn't 6:22 |
| want 4:8,9 57:13 | 111:14 117:16 | 48:8 49:3,6,10 | 8:19 10:9 11:7 | 102:18 |
| 57:24 79:2 80:1 | 122:13,21,22 | 49:17,24 50:4,16 | 15:8 18:9 27:1,3 | written 22:17 |
| 80:10 100:11,14 | 123:11 124:11 | 112:4,11 118:4 | 27:5 34:6 35:20 | 35:23,24 39:2 |
| 104:10 112:17 | 124:12,17 | 118:18,19,21 | 35:21 39:5,7,13 | 59:14 69:2 |
| 114:3 119:6 | way 39:16 85:9 | 119:3,14 120:20 | 40:11,13 43:1 | 131:7,10 |
| 126:11,20 130:5 | 126:22 130:7 | 120:24 121:6,8 | 48:16,22 49:1 | wrong 71:19 |
| 137:19 154:8,9 | 147:4 188:22 | 121:12 141:22 | 50:10,11 52:19 | 104:10 |
| 156:8 160:15 | 211:1 | 144:16 145:3 | 54:15,16 55:1,3 | wrote 62:16 |
| 162:1 164:8 | ways 188:24 | 151:1,3,16,21 | 60:1 64:6 68:9 | 149:11 |
| 182:16 | we'll 23:6 77:13 | 152:22 154:4 | 68:10 70:14,18 | X |
| wanted 40:20 | 177:6 208:22 | 155:18,22 | 71:21 72:20,22 | X |
| 140:8 184:1 | 212:1 | 157:13 159:16 | 74:17,18 77:15 | 3:1 |
| Washington 2:12 | we're 4:1,5 $24: 4$ | 159:17 161:16 | 78:20 80:15 | Y |
| 63:2 | 25:16 42:16 | 167:19 168:15 | 95:10 100:5 |  |
| wasn't 34:10 57 | 48:17,21 60:4,8 | 168:15 | 104:17 105:8 |  |
| 61:20 70:18 | 74:13 76:6,10,23 | went 67:22 68:4 | 108:21 109:1 | 134:14 |
| 104:14 131:11 | 78:23 81:6 86:4 | 68:13 140:14 | 130:10 131:14 | yeah 5:7 9:3,3 |
| waste $93: 12,14$ | 96:14 108:5 | 161:4,11 176:11 | 133:3 143:6 |  |
| 103:18 104:6 | 112:22 114:16 | 183:14 184:7 | 150:23 160:20 | 34:23 37:17 |
| wastewater 93:7 | 119:18 127:6 | 185:22 200:17 | 160:22 166:7 | 40:17 43 |
| 137:11,13 159:5 | 128:3 148:1,15 | 214:20 | 173:7,8 176:19 |  |
| 172:15 | 148:21 157:13 | weren't 65:9 80:9 | 180:8 182:5,11 | 5:12 68:7 |
| water 37:12 44:1 | 164:4 183:11 | 159:15 161:21 | 182:24,24 183:1 | . 5 |
| 44:21 56:15 | 187:12,14,15,18 | west $6: 12,13$ 42:9 | 207:12,18 210:1 | 81:13 83:14 |
| 58:23 68:18 | 198:23 202:2,24 | 67:8 71:23 72:1 | word 37:17 | 85:24 |
| 71:7 103:17 | 202:24 208:19 | 93:1 172:5,11,18 | 104:10 137:14 | 90:21 91:17 |
| 104:6 113:8 | 212:3 215:8,16 | 172:19 173:1,15 | 171:1 208:13 |  |
| 115:17 119:13 | 215:17 | 173:15 174:7 | word-for-word | 124:10 177:16 |
| 119:20 120:1,8 | we've 55:8 75:21 | 188:22 202:2,5 | 156:3 | 183:13 200:5 |
| 121:6,9,14 | 119:19 141:7,8 | 202:19,24 203:1 | words 163:8 171:4 |  |
| 130:24 132:16 | 166:5,9 169:13 | 210:24 211:1 | 171:6 189:9 | ar 62:4,9 122:7 |
| 139:6 145:6 | 173:14 182:9,19 | 213:15,16 | 197:19 | 48.5,11,13 |
| 159:19,23 | 212:6 | western 42:4 | work 84:14 85:10 | 48:5,11,13 |
| 160:10 161:17 | website 12:24 | whatnot 148:5 | 90:14,19 109:15 | 92:1 193:14 |
| 161:18,19,22 | 35:9 74:1 | 205:11 | 110:2,9,20 111:3 | years 25:16 62.5 |
| 168:16,17,22 | Webster 2:5 | white 213:13 | 111:7,10,14,17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { years } 25: 1662: 5 \\ 62: 1094: 22 \end{gathered}$ |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 110:12,14 195:6 | 108316 206:12 | 13-15 1:7 | 167:13,17 | 19,000 178:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yep 131:23 | 108349 207:24 | 130 152:23 | 170:19 171:6,9 | 1913 3:14,14 |
| yesterday 4:6 8:23 | 108350 209:21 | 1300 2:5 | 15063:13 171:11 | 192 3:14,15 |
| 12:10 14:6 | 108351 209:3 | 1302 112:10 | 171:16 175:14 | $1943: 15,15$ |
| 58:15,20 74:19 | 108352 210:12 | 1303 112:6,14 | 175:18,20 | 1953 3:15,16 |
| 82:14 83:7 | 108375 210:20 | 128:24 129:2 | 1507 3:13 175:22 | 19th 1:13 4:6 |
| 84:19 85:4,12 | 108394 194:22 | 1329 214:14 | 176:4 186:15,19 | 130:21 |
| 88:9 90:11 | $1093: 3$ | $14144: 9$ 172:8 | 187:5 189:9,11 | 1N 30:23 31:7 |
| 92:23,24 93:23 | 11 42:14 102:22 | 178:20 179:19 | 189:16 | 42:9 56:13 58:3 |
|  | 103:3,16 159:15 | 179:23 180:16 | 1508 3:14 187:23 | 69:24 70:21,24 |
| Z | 180:3,4,9 181:20 | 180:20,23 181:1 | 188:6 190:24 | 72:11,11 |
| zone 125:5,8 | 1100 2:12 | 181:8,16 | 191:4,6 | 1S 30:23 31:7 |
| 0 | 114021 150: | $140178: 24$ | 1509 3:14 191:8 | 42:9 56:14 58:8 |
|  | $114024154: 10$ | 1400 3:6 74: | 191:15 192:9,13 | 70:11,24 71:8 |
| 8-003160 | 114029 152:6 | 75:2,5,7 | 192:15 | 72:11,12 |
|  | 119367 126:23 | 1401 54:4 | 1510 3:15 192:17 |  |
| 1 | 130:2 | 1405 105:4,13,19 | 192:24 194:9,13 | $\frac{2}{217: 12,17,19}$ |
| 114:6 30:18, 22, 22 | 11th 32:17 60:16 | $14083: 7$ 5:9,10 | 194:14 | $214: 9$ 17:12,17,19 |
|  | 103:10 104:13 | 12:8 13:2,3,10 | 15113:15 194:16 | 17:22 18:6,17,20 |
|  | 150:5 | 1409 3:7 13:5,9 | 194:21 195:13 | 19:1,4,7,8 20:10 |
| $5: 1,1458: 12$ | 12 42:15 172:7 | 29:19 30:1,2 | 195:17,18 | 21:8 23:10,13 |
| 67:20 68:17 | 178:20 179:19 | 76:16 78:20 | 15123:16 195:20 | 7:14 28:2 |
| 70:8 71:1,1 | 179:23 180:16 | 126:12,15,16 | 195:24 196:1 | 30:19,19,22 |
| 118:1,4 126:11 | 180:20,23 181:1 | $1413: 10$ | 200:24 201:3,5 | 34:16,20 35:24 |
| 128:19 130:23 | 181:8,12,16 | 1410 3:8 31:13,14 | 1513 3:16 201:7 | 36:12,24 67:9,24 |
| 132:15 134:2,24 | 215:18,23 216:2 | 46:1 47:1,3 | 201:12 211:7,18 | 1:1,2 113:4 |
| 135:15,17 | 120498 130:7,13 | $14113: 8$ 54:4,4 | 211:20 | 118:13,18,19,24 |
| 137:22 138:2,4 | 130:14 | 73:6 74:2,4 | 158 3:11 | 119:9 120:14,20 |
| 139:5,17 152:5 | 120499 132:12 | 75:11 | 16 176:13 180:22 | :23 154:17 |
| 172:9 173:17 | 139:15 | 14402114 | 160 152:23 | 55:4,5,6,15 |
| 174:19 176:13 | 120501 134:1 | 1480 5:8 | $1633: 11,11$, | 168:10 169:3 |
| 1,000 199:14,18 | 137:21 | $1564: 23180$ | 167 3:12,12 | 172:9 181:22 |
| 1,062.88 215:7 | $120502134: 21$ | 1501 3:10 129:1 | 171 3:12,13 | 21:11,18 22:1 |
| 1.5 134:16,19 | 120503 138:12 | 129:11 140:23 | 175 3:13,13 | :10 23:22 |
| 1/2 159:21 160:1 | 120515 138:21 | 141:4 192:22 | 18 8:1 20:7 96:2 | $2.036: 8$ |
| 1:10 127:7,10 | 12177:2 | 1502 3:11 158:3 | 180 174:20 | $2.4136: 1$ |
| 1:15 128:3 | 1215 20:1 | 163:9,9,13 | $1873: 14$ | 2:00 128:4,7 |
| 10 2:16 42:1,14 | 121578 19:22 | 1503 3:11 157:20 | 18825215 | :30 186: |
| 44:13,21 120:23 | 121582 20:14 77:1 | 157:23 158:9 | 189 3:13 | 20 28:2 48:4 62:5 |
| 181:12 | 124452 196:4 | 162:4 163:6,14 | 18th 4:6 | 62:10 130:6 |
| 1000 2:12 | 124453 167:16 | 172:20,22 | 19 48:4 92:16,16 | 138:21 140:9 |
| 1004 2:2 | 129 3:10 | 1504 3:12 163:15 | 26: | 20-54:17 85:23 |
| 108285 202:16 | 12A 106:6 | 163:20 166:23 | 130:6,16, | 200052 |
| 108293 204:21 | 13 3:7,7 144:8 | 167:3,4 | 132:12 139:14 | 200 |
| 108305 205:20 | 180:4,9 181:20 | 1505 3:12 167:6 | 168:18 215:17 | 2005 21:13 23:13 |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

| 23:14,16 | 54:12 113:2 | 152:11 155:4 | 31st 146:3 148:13 | 582.5 37:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 19:1 | 149:10 196:8,9 | 158:18 188:11 | 149:9 | $\mathbf{5 8 4 . 1 4 3 7 : 2 1}$ |
| 2008 19:2 | 2023 1:13 4:7 36:2 | 188:21 195:3 | 3400 2:16 | 5th 37:14 188:10 |
| 201 3:16,16 | 63:3 128:18 | 204:11 212:7,15 | 35 36:10 |  |
| 2010 114:10 | 215:17,18 216:2 | 212:17 | 350 209:22 | 6 |
| 2013 30:24 31:3 | 20th 113:2 | 293 212:14 | 3rd 196:9 | $6155: 7$ 177:12,19 |
| 66:22 | 21 110:12,14 | 2S 30:23,24 42:4,9 | 3S 30:23 42:5,9 | 193:8,9 212:24 |
| 2014 85:18 | 155:20 156:6 | 67:872:14 | 67:8 72:14 | 60 147:8 |
| 2015 85:19,23 | 2101 2:5 | 3 | 4 | 60-day 147:1,7 |
| 115:8 152:13 | 211 3:16 | 3 | 4 | 0091 2:3 |
| 2016 27:20,22 | 21B 106:6 | 3 14:6 30:19,22 | 4 5:15 118:21 | 60603 2:16 |
| 28:3,11 88:11 | 22nd 41:13 | 33:2 34:1 35:4,6 | 120:24 172:7 | 61 177:12,19 |
| 2017 109:8 111:6 | 247 25:24 | 36:1,12,24 37:9 | 177:23,24 178:2 | 180:23 |
| 2018 49:11 91:18 | $250178: 14$ | 37:23 38:2,9,20 | 178:5 179:12,16 | 611(c) 5:15 |
| 91:23 96:3 | 257 25:19 31:4 | 56:15 58:17 | 179:21 180:1,6 | 620 153:2,7 |
| 109:8 111:6 | 32:21 73:20 | 68:2,18 118:1,4 | 180:14 181:2,6 | 626 105:16 |
| 113:14,16,22 | 75:17 79:17 | 118:21 120:24 | 181:10,14,18,22 | 630 86:5 |
| 188:9,10,12 | 82:24 89:12 | 126:11 130:23 | 182:2 185:19,20 | 637 86:5 |
| 190:6 191:19 | 91:20 94:13,19 | 132:15,15 | 186:6,7 191:21 | $65186: 6$ |
| 2019 24:2,3,11,21 | 103:9 106:21 | 135:19,20 136:4 | 4-62:5 | 661 86:5 |
| 25:3,6,7 27:11 | 257.102(d) 36:12 | 136:6,16,20 | 4-foot 185:21 | 667 197:13 198:24 |
| 27:14,18,19 28:2 | 257.103 31:21 | 137:2,9 138:15 | 186:3 | 212:7,18 |
| 28:17,21 29:7 | 26th 139:2 | 138:17 139:5,17 | 4-inch 186:4 | 68 173:16 |
| 37:15 47:12 | 27th 55:11 63:19 | $152: 20153: 23$ $158.24159 \cdot 1$ | 4,000 178:12 $\mathbf{4 0} 31 \cdot 2136 \cdot 11$ | 7 |
| 48:2,6 51:4 52:6 | 29 13:19 14:3,5,7 | 158:24 159:1 $160 \cdot 178168 \cdot 11$ | $4031: 2136: 11$ | $: 1.14159: 21$ |
| 52:15 53:19,24 | 20:10 24:2,11,19 | 160:1,7,8 168: | $194: 4$ $40-29.19$ | 742:1,14 159:21 <br> $159 \cdot 21$ 181:4,24 |
| 80:8 91:14,18 | 24:21 25:11,14 | $169: 3172: 7,9$ $177 \cdot 23,24178.2$ | 40-29:19 | $\begin{array}{r} 159: 21181: 4,24 \\ 7.4 \text { 178:10 185:11 } \end{array}$ |
| 92:3 95:24 96:1 | 27:10,17 28:11 | 177:23,24 178:2 | 40-acre 198:12 |  |
| 96:3 155:15 | 28:16,21 29:6 | 178:5 179:11,16 | 400 153:3,8,18,20 | 7.5 174:21,21 <br> $7.6173 \cdot 18174: 8$ |
| 191:20,21 193:8 | 56:15,15 65:19 | 179:21 180:1,14 | 154:7 174:20 $415-977-56462.6$ | 7.6 173:18 174:8 <br> $181 \cdot 3$ |
| 2020 27:15 31:3 | 70:9 73:2,3 | 181:2,6,10,14,18 | 415-977-5646 2:6 | $\begin{gathered} 181: 3 \\ 7.7181: 21 \end{gathered}$ |
| 32:3 48:6 55:11 | 76:18,21 79:24 | 182:2 185:19,20 | 47 3:8 | $\text { \| } 7.7 \text { 181:21 }$ |
| 59:14 61:8,12 | 80:8,18,21 82:4 | 186:6,7 197:22 | 48 62:4,9 | $7.9179: 15$ $72564: 19$ |
| 63:7,19 130:21 | 82:8 97:6 98:8 | 3's 33:11 | 485 57:8 58:13,18 | 725 64:19 |
| 193:6,9 195:2 | 98:10 99:7 | 3-185:21 186:3,3 | 58:24 65:16 | 735 5:15 |
| 2021 32:17 50:13 | 101:3,6,16 | $3.5175: 10$ | 89:20 126:19 | 74 3:8 |
| 102:22 103:3,11 | 111:23 112:1 | 3:00 187:13 | 498 130:11,15 | 75 3:6 |
| 103:16 104:13 | 113:1,9,17,22 | 211:23 |  | 7794537.5 |
| 113:8 115:18,20 | 114:6 116:12,13 | 3:11 216:1 | 5 | 77945 37:5 |
| 139:2 149:9 | 117:10 124:1,23 | $303: 7121: 11$ | $53: 3,762: 4$ | 77949 33:8 |
| 150:5 152:14,15 | 125:1,7 126:19 | 123:22 | 18:21 120:24 | 78 20:2 |
| 201:18,19 | 129:23 132:16 | $313: 8$ | 178:19 190:6 | 78014 41:8 |
| 2021-000 15:11 | 135:8,15 136:21 | 312-251-5250 | 5,124 134:13 | 79316 191:16 |
| 2022 9:2,18 13:22 | 141:14,18 146:5 | 2:17 | 5/2-1102 5:15 | 79317 193:3 |
| 20:7 23:15 | 149:19 150:5 | 312-282-9119 2:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5 0} 24: 662: 3 \\ & \mathbf{5 4} 3: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 79341 & 163: 21 \\ 79357 & 171: 19 \end{array}$ |

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

312-419-9292

L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.


[^0]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^1]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^2]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^3]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^4]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^5]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^6]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^7]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^8]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^9]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^10]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^11]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^12]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^13]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^14]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^15]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^16]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^17]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^18]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^19]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^20]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^21]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^22]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^23]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^24]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^25]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^26]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^27]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^28]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^29]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^30]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^31]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^32]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^33]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^34]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^35]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^36]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^37]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^38]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^39]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^40]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^41]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^42]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^43]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^44]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^45]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^46]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^47]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^48]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^49]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^50]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^51]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^52]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^53]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^54]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^55]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^56]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^57]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^58]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^59]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^60]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^61]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^62]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^63]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^64]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^65]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^66]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^67]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^68]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^69]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^70]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^71]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

[^72]:    L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C.

